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|  |
| --- |
| HIGH-LEVEL SUMMARY OF KEY MESSAGES |
| In your country, in the reporting period, what does this report reveal about:The most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention? (List up to five items):………………………………………………………….....………………………………………………………….....The greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention? (List up to five items):………………………………………………………….....………………………………………………………….....The main priorities for future implementation of the Convention? (List up to five items):………………………………………………………….....…………………………………………………………..... |

|  |
| --- |
| I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION |
| Name of Contracting Party | …………………………………………………………..... |
| Date of entry into force of the Convention in your country | DDMMYY [automatic population] |
| Any territories which are excluded from the application of the Convention | ………………………………………………………….....………………………………………………………….....………………………………………………………….....………………………………………………………….....[this question can be left blank] |
| Report compiler | Name and title:……………………….........................Full name of institution:………………………………….Telephone:….………………………………………….........Email: …………………………………………………............ |
| Designated CMS National Focal Point | Name and title of designated Focal Point:……………………………………………………………..Full name of institution: …………………………………Mailing address:………………………………………………Telephone: ……………………………………………………..Email:….…………………………………………..................[automatic population] |
| Representative on Scientific Council | Name and title: …………………………………………....Full name of institution:……………………………………………………..Mailing address:……………………………………………..Telephone: …………………………………………………….Email:….…………………………………………..................[automatic population] |
| II. ACCESSION/RATIFICATION OF CMS AGREEMENTS/MOUS |
| Please confirm the status of your country’s participation in the following Agreements/MOUs, and indicate any updates or corrections required:* Yes the lists are correct and up to date, or

Updates or corrections:[free text box]**CMS Instrument** [automatic population]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CMS Instrument** | **Party/Signatory**  | **Range State, but not a Party/Signatory** | **Not applicable(= not a Range State)**  |
| ACAP | □ | □ | □ |
| ACCOBAMS | □ | □ | □ |
| AEWA | □ | □ | □ |
| Aquatic Warbler | □ | □ | □ |
| ASCOBANS | □ | □ | □ |
| Atlantic Turtles | □ | □ | □ |
| Birds of Prey (Raptors) | □ | □ | □ |
| Bukhara Deer | □ | □ | □ |
| Dugong | □ | □ | □ |
| EUROBATS | □ | □ | □ |
| Gorilla Agreement | □ | □ | □ |
| High Andean Flamingos | □ | □ | □ |
| IOSEA Marine Turtles | □ | □ | □ |
| Middle-European Great Bustard | □ | □ | □ |
| Monk Seal in the Atlantic | □ | □ | □ |
| Pacific Islands Cetaceans | □ | □ | □ |
| Ruddy-headed Goose | □ | □ | □ |
| Saiga Antelope | □ | □ | □ |
| Sharks | □ | □ | □ |
| Siberian Crane | □ | □ | □ |
| Slender-billed Curlew | □ | □ | □ |
| South Andean Huemul | □ | □ | □ |
| Southern South American Grassland Birds | □ | □ | □ |
| Wadden Sea Seals | □ | □ | □ |
| West African Elephants | □ | □ | □ |
| Western African Aquatic Mammals | □ | □ | □ |

 |
| III. SPECIES ON THE CONVENTION APPENDICES |
| Please confirm that the [automatically populated] lists provided below for each species/subspecies correctly identify the **Appendix I** species for which the country is a Range State* Yes the lists are correct and up to date
* No, the following amendments need making:

[free text box]Terrestrial mammals (not including bats):* *Addax nasomaculatus*
* *Bos grunniens*
* etc….

Aquatic mammals:* *Balaena mysticetus*
* *Megaptera novaeangliae*
* etc….

Bats:* *Tadarida brasiliensis*

Birds:* *Oxyura leucocephala*
* *Anser erythropus*
* etc*…*

Reptiles:* *Chelonia mydas*
* *Eretmochelys imbricata*
* etc*…*

Fish:* *Acipenser sturio*
* *Pangasianodon gigas*
* etc…..
 |
| Please confirm that the [automatically populated] lists provided below for each species/subspecies correctly identify the **Appendix II** species for which the country is a Range State* Yes the lists are correct and up to date
* No, the following amendments need making:

[free text box]Terrestrial mammals (not including bats):* *Equus hemionus*
* *Loxodonta africana*
* etc….

Aquatic mammals:* *Balaenoptera bonaerensis*
* *Caperea marginata*
* etc….

Bats:* *Eidolon helvum*
* *Otomops madagascariensi*
* etc…

Birds:* *Crex crex*
* *Sarothrura boehmi*
* etc*…*

Reptiles:* *Crocodylus porosus*
* *Podocnemis expansa*
* etc*…*

Fish:* *Carcharodon carcharias*
* *Rhincodon typus*
* etc…..

Insects:* *Danaus plexippus*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| IV. LEGAL PROHIBITION OF THE TAKING OFAPPENDIX I SPECIES |
| Is the taking of Appendix I species prohibited by national or territorial legislation in accordance with CMS Article III(5)?* Yes for all Appendix I species
* Yes for some species
* Yes for part of the country, or a particular territory or territories
* No

[If selected yes for ‘all’ this question will appear]Please identify the legal statute(s) concerned…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………[add link(s) and / or upload document(s)][If selected yes for ‘some’ this appears]Please indicate the species for which taking is prohibited:(select all that apply)* Species X
* Species Y

[*we will consider ways to pre-populate this section with relevant species*]Please identify the legal statute(s) concerned…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………[If selected yes for ‘part of the country’ this appears]Please indicate which part of the country or territory/territories:……………………………………………………………Please indicate the species for which taking is prohibited:(select all that apply)* All Appendix I species
* Species X
* Species Y

[*we will consider ways to pre-populate this section with relevant species*]Please identify the legal statute(s) concerned………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………… |
| **Exceptions**: Where the taking of Appendix I species is prohibited by national legislation, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?*[only to appear if the user selects ‘Yes for all Appendix I species’ or “Yes for some” in previous question]** Yes
* No

If yes, please indicate which species, which reasons among those in CMS Article III(5) (a)-(d) (given in the table below) justify the exception, any temporal or spatial limitations applying to the exception, and the nature of the “extraordinary circumstances” that make the exception necessary.[*we will consider ways to prepopulate species list from earlier question on Appendix I species*]

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Species** | **Reasons for exception (as defined in Art III(5))** | **Details of any applicable time or area limitations, and the nature of the “extraordinary circumstances” that make the exception necessary** |
| Species X | * a) the taking is for scientific purposes.
* b) the taking is for the purpose of enhancing the propagation or survival of the affected species.
* c) the taking is to accommodate the needs of traditional subsistence users of such species.
* d) extraordinary circumstances so require.
 | [free text] |
| Species Y |  |  |
| …. |  |  |

 |
| Where the taking of all Appendix I species is not prohibited and the reasons for exceptions in Article III(5) do not apply, are steps being taken to develop new legislation to prohibit the taking of all relevant species? [only appears if ‘Yes for some species’ or ‘Yes for part of the country’ or ‘No’ was selected in ‘Is the taking of Appendix I species prohibited by national legislation in accordance with CMS Article III(5)?’]* Yes
* No

If yes, please indicate which of the following stages of development applies:Legislation being consideredLegislation in draft* Legislation fully drafted and being considered for adoption in [insert year………..]
* Other (please specify) [free text]
 |
| Are any vessels flagged to your country engaged outside national jurisdictional limits in intentionally taking Appendix I species? * Yes
* No
* Don’t know

If yes, please provide more information on the circumstances of the take; including any future plans in respect of such take.[free text box]  |

|  |
| --- |
| V. AWARENESS(*SPMS Target 1: People are aware of the multiple values of migratory species and their habitats and migration systems, and the steps they can take to conserve them and ensure the sustainability of any use.)* |
| During the reporting period, please indicate the actions that have been taken by your country to increase people’s awareness of the values of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems (note that answers given in section XVIII on SPMS Target 15 may also be relevant)(select all that apply)* Campaigns on specific topics
* Teaching programmes in schools or colleges
* Press and media publicity, including social media
* Community-based celebrations, exhibitions and other events
* Engagement of specific stakeholder groups
* Special publications
* Interpretation at nature reserves and other sites
* Other (please specify) [free text]
* No actions taken

[the following questions only appear if an action was selected in ‘During the reporting period, please indicate the actions that have been taken by your country to increase people’s awareness of the values of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems’]Please indicate any specific elements of CMS COP Resolutions 11.8 (Rev. COP12) (*Communication, Information and Outreach Plan*) and 11.9 (*World Migratory Bird Day*) which have been particularly taken forward by these actions.[free text]Overall, how successful have these awareness actions been in achieving their objectives?Tick one box* 1 very little impact
* 2 small impact
* 3 good impact
* 4 large positive impact
* not known

Please identify the main form(s) of evidence that has/have been used to make this assessment.[free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| VI. MAINSTREAMING MIGRATORY SPECIES IN OTHER SECTORS AND PROCESSES(*SPMS Target 2: Multiple values of migratory species and their habitats have been integrated into international, national and local development and poverty reduction strategies and planning processes, including on livelihoods, and are being incorporated into national accounting, as appropriate, and reporting systems.)* |
| Does the conservation of migratory species currently feature in any national or local strategies and/or planning processes in your country relating to development, poverty reduction and/or livelihoods?* Yes
* No

If yes, please provide a short summary:[free text] |
| Do the ‘values of migratory species and their habitats’ referred to in SPMS Target 2 currently feature in any other national reporting processes in your country?* Yes
* No

If yes, please provide a short summary:[free text] |
| Describe the main involvements (if any) of non-governmental organizations and/or civil society in the conservation of migratory species in your country.[free text] |
| Describe the main involvements (if any) of the private sector in the conservation of migratory species in your country.[free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| VII. GOVERNANCE, POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE COHERENCE*(SPMS Target 3: National, regional and international governance arrangements and agreements affecting migratory species and their migration systems have improved significantly, making relevant policy, legislative and implementation processes more coherent, accountable, transparent, participatory, equitable and inclusive.)* |
| Have any governance arrangements affecting migratory species and their migration systems in your country, or in which your country participates, improved during the reporting period?* Yes
* No, but there is scope to do so
* No, because existing arrangements already satisfy all the points in Target 3

If yes, please provide a short summary:[free text][if answered yes for previous question]To what extent have these improvements helped to achieve Target 3 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species (see text above)?Tick one box* 1 minimal contribution
* 2 partial contribution
* 3 good contribution
* 4 major contribution
* not known

Please describe briefly how this assessment was made[free text] |
| Has any committee or other arrangement for liaison between different sectors or groups been established at national or other territorial level in your country that addresses CMS implementation issues?* Yes
* No

If yes, please provide a short summary:[free text] |
| Does collaboration between the focal points of CMS and other relevant Conventions take place in your country to develop the coordinated and synergistic approaches described in paragraphs 23-25 of CMS COP Resolution 11.10 (Rev. COP12) (*Synergies and partnerships*)?* Yes
* No

If yes, please provide a short summary:[free text] |
| Has your country or any jurisdictional subdivision within your country adopted legislation, policies or action plans that promote community involvement in conservation of CMS-listed species?* Yes
* No

If yes, please identify the legislation, policies or action plans concerned:[free text] |
| VIII. INCENTIVES*(SPMS Target 4: Incentives, including subsidies, harmful to migratory species, and/or their habitats are eliminated, phased out or reformed in order to minimize or avoid negative impacts, and positive incentives for the conservation of migratory species and their habitats are developed and applied, consistent with engagements under the CMS and other relevant international and regional obligations and commitments.)* |
| Has there been any elimination, phasing out or reforming of harmful incentives in your country resulting in benefits for migratory species?* Yes
* Partly / in some areas
* No, but there is scope to do so
* No, because no such incentives have existed

If yes or partly, please indicate what measures were implemented and the time-periods concerned.[free text] |
| Has there been development and/or application of positive incentives in your country resulting in benefits for migratory species?* Yes
* Partly / in some areas
* No, but there is scope to do so
* No, because there is no scope to do so

If yes or partly, please indicate what measures were implemented and the time-periods concerned.[free text] |
| IX. SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION*(SPMS Target 5: Governments, key sectors and stakeholders at all levels have taken steps to achieve or have implemented plans for sustainable production and consumption, keeping the impacts of use of natural resources, including habitats, on migratory species well within safe ecological limits to promote the favourable conservation status of migratory species and maintain the quality, integrity, resilience, and ecological connectivity of their habitats and migration routes.)* |
| During the reporting period, has your country implemented plans or taken other steps concerning sustainable production and consumption which are contributing to the achievement of the results defined in SPMS Target 5?* Yes
* In development / planned
* No

[if answered ‘yes’ or ‘in development/planned’ for previous question]Please describe the measures that have been planned, developed or implemented[free text]Please describe what evidence exists to show that the intended results of these measures are being achieved. [free text][if answered no for first question above]What is preventing progress?[free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| X. THREATS AND PRESSURES AFFECTING MIGRATORY SPECIES; INCLUDING OBSTACLES TO MIGRATION*(SPMS Targets 6+7: Fisheries and hunting have no significant direct or indirect adverse impacts on migratory species, their habitats or their migration routes, and impacts of fisheries and hunting are within safe ecological limits; Multiple anthropogenic pressures have been reduced to levels that are not detrimental to the conservation of migratory species or to the functioning, integrity, ecological connectivity and resilience of their habitats.)* |
| Which of the following pressures on migratory species or their habitats are having an adverse impact in your country on migratory species included in the CMS Appendices? (tick the boxes that apply)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pressure** | **Appendix I species** | **Appendix II species** | **Species/species groups affected; and any other details** | **Overall relative severity of impact** *1 = severe* *2 = moderate* *3 = low* |
| **Direct killing and taking** |  |
| Illegal hunting | □ | □ |  |  |
| Legal hunting |  |  |  |  |
| Other harvesting and take | □ | □ |  |  |
| Illegal trade | □ | □ |  |  |
| Deliberate poisoning | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Bycatch** |  |
| Bycatch | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Collisions and electrocution** |  |
| Electrocution | □ | □ |  |  |
| Wind turbines | □ | □ |  |  |
| Other collisions | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Other mortality** |  |
| Predation | □ | □ |  |  |
| Disease | □ | □ |  |  |
| Accidental/indirect poisoning |  |  |  |  |
| Unexplained stranding events | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Alien and/or invasive species** |  |
| Alien and/or invasive species | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Disturbance & disruption** |  |
| Disturbance | □ | □ |  |  |
| Light pollution | □ | □ |  |  |
| Underwater noise | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Habitat destruction/degradation** |  |
| Habitat loss/destruction (including deforestation) | □ | □ |  |  |
| Habitat degradation | □ | □ |  |  |
| Mineral exploration/extraction | □ | □ |  |  |
| Unsustainable land/resource use | □ | □ |  |  |
| Urbanization | □ | □ |  |  |
| Marine debris (including plastics) | □ | □ |  |  |
| Other pollution | □ | □ |  |  |
| Too much/too little water | □ | □ |  |  |
| Fire | □ | □ |  |  |
| Physical barriers | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Climate change** |  |
| Climate change | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Levels of knowledge, awareness, legislation, management etc.** |  |
| Lack of knowledge | □ | □ |  |  |
| Inadequate legislation | □ | □ |  |  |
| Inadequate enforcement of legislation |  |  |  |  |
| Inadequate transboundary management | □ | □ |  |  |
| **Other (please specify)** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

 |
| What are the most significant advances that have been made since the previous report in countering any of the pressures identified above? (Identify the pressures concerned).[free text] |
| What are the most significant negative trends since the previous report concerning the pressures identified above? (Identify the pressures concerned).[free text] |
| Have you adopted new legislation or other domestic measures in the reporting period in response to CMS Article III(4) (b) (“*Parties that are Range States of a migratory species listed in Appendix I shall endeavor … to prevent, remove, compensate for or minimize, as appropriate, the adverse effects of activities or obstacles that seriously impede or prevent the migration of the species*”)?* Yes
* No

If so, please give the title or other reference (and date) for the measure concerned:………………………………………………………..………………………………………………………..[add link][options to add more links and legislation numbers] |
| Please add any further comments on the implementation of specific provisions in relevant CMS COP Resolutions, including for example:* Resolution 12.22 on by-catch.
* Resolution 12.14 on underwater noise.
* Resolution 12.20 on marine debris.
* Resolution 7.3 (Rev. COP12) on oil pollution
* Resolution 11.22 (Rev. COP12) on live captures of cetaceans (and Decision 12.48).
* Resolutions 7.5 (Rev. COP12) and 11.27 (Rev. COP12) on renewable energy.
* Resolutions 7.4 and 10.11 on power lines and migratory birds.
* Resolution 11.15 (Rev. COP12) on poisoning of migratory birds.
* Resolution 11.16 (Rev. COP12) on illegal killing, taking and trade of migratory birds (and Decision 12.26).
* Resolution 11.31 on wildlife crime.
* Resolution 12.21 on climate change (and Decision 12.72).
* Resolution 11.28 on invasive alien species.
* Resolution 12.6 on wildlife disease.
* Resolution 12.25 on conservation of intertidal and coastal habitats.
* Resolution 10.2 on conservation emergencies
* Resolution 7.2 (Rev. COP12) on impact assessment.

[free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| XI. CONSERVATION STATUS OF MIGRATORY SPECIES*(SPMS Target 8: The conservation status of all migratory species, especially threatened species, has considerably improved throughout their range.)* |
| What (if any) major changes in the conservation status of migratory species included in the CMS Appendices (for example national Red List category changes) have been recorded in your country in the current reporting period?**Terrestrial mammals (not including bats)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Aquatic mammals**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Bats**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Birds**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Reptiles**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Fish**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Insects**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species/subspecies*(indicate CMS Appendix where applicable)* | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[ability to add more species/subspecies] |

|  |
| --- |
| XII. COOPERATING TO CONSERVE MIGRATION SYSTEMS*(SPMS Target 9 : International and regional action and cooperation between States for the conservation and effective management of migratory species fully reflects a migration systems approach, in which all States sharing responsibility for the species concerned engage in such actions in a concerted way.)* |
| In the current reporting period, has your country initiated or participated in the development of any proposals for new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II species (following the advice in COP Resolution 12.8)? * Yes
* No

If yes, please provide a short summary.[free text] |
| In the current reporting period, have actions been taken by your country to encourage non-Parties to join CMS and its related Agreements?* Yes
* No

If yes, please specify which countries have been approached.[Add country drop-down list, allowing multiple selections] |
| In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the implementation of concerted actions under CMS (as detailed in COP Resolution 12.28, and see species list [here]) to address the needs of relevant migratory species? * Yes
* No

If yes, please describe the results of these actions achieved so far: [free text] |
| Have any other steps been taken which have contributed to the achievement of the results defined in Target 9 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species (*all relevant States engaging in cooperation on the conservation of migratory species in ways that fully reflect a migration systems approach*), including for example (but not limited to) measures to implement Resolution 12.11 (and Decision 12.34) on flyways and Resolution 12.17 (and Decision 12.54) on South Atlantic whales? * Yes
* No

If yes, please provide details: [free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| XIII. AREA-BASED CONSERVATION MEASURES*(SPMS Target 10 : All critical habitats and sites for migratory species are identified and included in area-based conservation measures so as to maintain their quality, integrity, resilience and functioning in accordance with the implementation of Aichi Target 11, supported where necessary by environmentally sensitive land-use planning and landscape management on a wider scale.)* |
| Have critical habitats and sites for migratory species been identified (for example by an inventory) in your country?* Yes, fully
* Partially – to a large extent
* Partially – to a small or moderate extent
* No

What are the main gaps and priorities to address, if any, in order to achieve full identification of relevant critical habitats and sites as required to achieve SPMS target 10?[free text] |
| Has any assessment has been made of the contribution made by the country’s protected areas network specifically to migratory species conservation?* Yes
* Partly / for some areas
* In development
* No

If yes or partly, please provide a short summary.[free text][ability also to add hyperlinks and/or upload documents] |
| Has your country adopted any new legislation or other domestic measures in the reporting period in response to CMS Article III(4) (a) (“*Parties that are Range States of a migratory species listed in Appendix I shall endeavor … to conserve and, where feasible and appropriate, restore those habitats of the species which are of importance in removing the species from danger of extinction*”)?* Yes
* No

If yes, please give the title or other reference (and date) for the measure concerned:…………………………………………………………….[insert link][ability to add additional rows of text and hyperlinks] |
| In respect of protected areas in your country that are important for migratory species, have any assessments of management effectiveness been undertaken in the reporting period?* Yes
* Partly / for some areas
* In development
* No

If yes, please provide a reference and/or summarise what is covered:[free text] |
| Beyond Protected Areas, are other effective area-based conservation measures implemented in your country in ways which benefit migratory species? * Yes
* No

If yes, please describe: [free text] |
| Please add any particular information about key steps taken to implement specific provisions in relevant CMS COP Resolutions, including for example:* Resolution 12.7 on ecological networks.
* Resolution 12.13 on Important Marine Mammal Areas.
* Resolution 12.24 on Marine Protected Area networks in the ASEAN region.
* Resolution 12.25 on intertidal and other coastal habitats.

[free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| XIV. ECOSYSTEM SERVICES*(SPMS Target 11 : Migratory species and their habitats which provide important ecosystem services are maintained at or restored to favourable conservation status, taking into account the needs of women, indigenous and local communities and the poor and vulnerable.)* |
| Has any assessment of ecosystem services associated with migratory species (contributing to the achievement of SPMS Target 11) been undertaken in your country since the adoption of the SPMS in 2014? * Yes
* Partly / in progress
* No

If ‘yes’ or ‘partly / in progress’, please provide a short summary (including source references where applicable):[free text]Source: Year of publication: Link:Source: Year of publication: Link:Source: Year of publication: Link:[add more] |

|  |
| --- |
| XV. SAFEGUARDING GENETIC DIVERSITY*(SPMS Target 12 : The genetic diversity of wild populations of migratory species is safeguarded, and strategies have been developed and implemented for minimizing genetic erosion.)* |
| Are strategies of relevance to migratory species being developed or implemented to minimize genetic erosion of biodiversity in your country?* Yes
* No

If yes, please select the relevant strategies:(select all that apply)* captive breeding
* captive breeding & release
* gene typing research
* reproductive material archives/repositories
* Other (please specify) [free text]
 |

|  |
| --- |
| XVI. NATIONAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGIES AND ACTION PLANS*(SPMS Target 13 : Priorities for effective conservation and management of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems have been included in the development and implementation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans, with reference where relevant to CMS agreements and action plans and their implementation bodies.)* |
| Are priorities for the conservation and management of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems explicitly addressed by your country's national biodiversity strategy or action plan?* Yes
* No

If yes:a. please provide a link to or attachment of the strategy/action plan[insert link] [upload attachment / relevant extract]b. please identify the elements in the plan/strategy that are particularly relevant to migratory species, and highlight any specific references to the CMS/CMS instruments[free text]c. please add comments on the implementation of the strategy or action plan concerned.[free text] |

|  |
| --- |
| XVII. TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE, INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES OF INDIGENOUS AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES*(SPMS Target 14 : The traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems, and their customary sustainable use of biological resources, are respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations, with the full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities, thereby contributing to the favourable conservation status of migratory species and the ecological connectivity and resilience of their habitats.)* |
| Have actions been taken in your country to foster consideration for the traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities that are relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems? * Yes
* Partly / in some areas
* No
* Not applicable

Have actions been taken in your country to foster effective participation of indigenous and local communities in the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems?* Yes
* Partly / in some areas
* No
* Not applicable

If ‘yes’ or ‘partly/in some areas’ to either of the preceding two questions, please select which actions have been taken:(select all that apply)* research & documentation
* engagement initiatives
* formal recognition of rights
* inclusion in governance mechanisms
* management strategies & programmes that integrate traditional & indigenous interests
* other (please specify) [free text]

Please add comments on the implementation of the actions concerned.[free text] |
| How would you rank progress since the previous report in your country to achieving Target 14 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species (see text above)?Please select one option:1 little or no progress2 some progress but more work is needed3 positive advances have been made4 target substantially achieved (traditional knowledge is fully respected and there is effective participation from communities)Please add comments on the progress made (where applicable).[free text] |
| XVIII. KNOWLEDGE, DATA AND CAPACITY-BUILDING*(SPMS Target 15 : The science base, information, training, awareness, understanding and technologies relating to migratory species, their habitats and migration systems, their value, functioning, status and trends, and the consequences of their loss, are improved, widely shared and transferred, and effectively applied.)* |
| In the current reporting period, which steps taken in your country have contributed to the achievement of the results defined in Target 15 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species? (see text above, and the answers given in Section V concerning SPMS Target 1 on awareness)(select all that apply)Education campaigns in schoolsPublic awareness campaignsCapacity buildingKnowledge and data-sharing initiativesCapacity assessments/gap analysesAgreements at policy level on research prioritiesOther (please specify): [free text]No steps have been takenPlease describe the contribution these steps have made towards achieving the results defined in Target 15:[question will only appear for those strategies selected above]E.g.**Education campaigns in schools** [free text]**Public awareness campaigns** [free text] |
| What assistance (if any) does your country require in order to build sufficient capacity to implement its obligations under the CMS and relevant Resolutions of the COP?(select all that apply)* Funding support
* Technical assistance
* Education/training/mentoring
* Other skills development
* Provision of equipment or materials
* Exchange of information & know-how
* Research & innovation
* Mobilizing volunteer effort (eg citizen science)
* Other (please specify): [free text]
 |

|  |
| --- |
| XIX. RESOURCE MOBILIZATION*(SPMS Target 16: The mobilization of adequate resources from all sources to implement the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species effectively has increased substantially.)* |
| During the reporting period, has your country made financial or other resources available for conservation activities specifically benefiting migratory species?* Yes, made available for activities within the country
* Yes, made available for activities in one or more other countries
* No

If yes, to which particular targets [texts of targets to be linked here] in the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species has this made a contribution? (Identify all those that apply).If yes, please indicate whether the overall levels of resourcing concerned are the same or different from those in the previous reporting period:* Increased
* The same
* Decreased
* Not known
 |
| During the reporting period, has your country received financial or other resources for conservation activities specifically benefiting migratory species?* Yes
* No

If yes, please select the source(s) concerned (select all that apply)* Multilateral investment bank
* The Global Environment Facility (GEF)
* Other intergovernmental programme
* Private sector
* Non-governmental organization(s)
* Individual country governments/government agencies [drop down list allowing multiple selections]
* Other (please specify): [free text]

If yes, to which particular targets [texts of targets to be linked here] in the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species has this made a contribution? (Identify all those that apply).If yes, which migratory species have benefited as a result of this support?…………………………………………………………..[option to add more rows]If yes, please indicate whether the overall levels of resourcing concerned are the same or different from those in the previous reporting period:* Increased
* The same
* Decreased
* Not known
 |
| Which are the most important CMS implementation priorities requiring future support in your country? (name up to three specific types of activity)[free text] |
| Please add any further comments you may wish on the implementation of specific provisions in COP Resolution 10.25 (Rev. COP12) on *Enhancing Engagement with the Global Environment Facility*.[free text] |