ADDENDUM 1 In-session version

SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL COMMENTS (arising from ScC-SC6)

PROPOSAL FOR A CONCERTED ACTION FOR THE BLUE SHARK (*Prionace glauca*) ALREADY LISTED IN APPENDIX II OF THE CONVENTION

UNEP/CMS/COP14/Doc.32.3.7

(ScC-SC6 Agenda Item 14.3.7)

RECOMMENDATIONS TO COP14

- SC6 recommended the proposal for adoption, but with amendments as provided below.

GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE DOCUMENT

- It was noted with concern that many activities were directed to Parties and that Concerted Action should not be an alternative way of giving mandates to Parties and the Secretariat without going through the normal procedures for resolutions and decisions. Especially if an NGO develops a Concerted Action proposal with implications for Parties, those Parties should be consulted before the document is submitted.
- It was also noted that a number of proposed activities are beyond the mandate of CMS and should be dealt with in the competent fora such as CITES and RFMOs.
- It was agreed that with regards to the timeline of activities related to the protection and identification of critical sites needs adjustment as sites must first be known before they can be protected.
- It was recommend to add wording about close cooperation with the Sharks MOU.
- Law of the Wild offered support with the legal gap analyses.

COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC SECTIONS/ INCLUDING POSSIBLE PROPOSALS FOR TEXT REVISION

- SC6 recommended the following amendments to be made to the list of activities and to align other relevant text parts accordingly :

Activity list under this Concerted Actions for blue shark. This detailed activity list is intended to guide positive change for the species under CMS and can be expanded and molded into a conservation action plan for the species.

Activity		Expected Outcomes	Timefram e	Entity responsible for implementation	Indicators for success
		Managen	nent	· · ·	
engag <u>ing</u> e wit other relevant shark manage development Conservation for Pelagic Sh	arties to <u>continue</u> th <u>other MEAs</u> , <u>RFBs</u> , <u>and</u> <u>entities to enhance blue</u> <u>ement including the</u> <u>of the IUCN SSC SSG</u> <u>Strategy and Action Plan</u> <u>marks and Rays.</u> <u>CITES for</u> <u>ent of non-detriment</u>	<u>???</u> NDFs conducted	2024- 2026	NGOs, experts	<u>??International trade from</u> sustainable populations
2. Support Partie a species ma shark, throu collaboration, and	es with recommendations for anagement plan for the blue gh consultation and d in-line with the IUCN SSC prvation Strategy for Pelagic avs-	Species Management Plans for the blue shark	2025	NGOs, experts	Successful long- term management of the blue shark
3. <u>Encourage</u> recommendat t-RFMOs, in li Conservation for Pelagic Sh	Parties Develop ions for Parties to work with ne with the IUCN SSC SSG Strategy and Action Plan parks and Rays.	Cooperation between stakeholders	2025- 2026	NGOs, experts	Active <u>stakehol</u> der engagement and data reporting
	gement/critical habitats				
critical habitat	e protection of blue shark is (i.e. feeding or mating migratory routes.	Established network of MPAs for key blue shark habitats and migratory routes	2025	CMS Parties (and non-Party Range States)	Critical habitats for blue sharks effectively managed
		Internatio cooperat			
	pordination with RMFOs to Iformation and knowledge	RMFOs knowledge exchanges	2024 – 2025	CMS Parties	A coordinated network of knowledge exchange across RFMOs

6.	Engage non- Party Range States in the	non-CMS Party Range	2024 - 2025	CMS Parties	non-CMS Party Range
	conversation to protect blue sharks and encourage their integration.	States become engaged in the implementation of this CA			States engaged
7. Of	Develop action plans for the conservation	National blue shark	2024 – 2026	CMS Parties (and	All Party Range — States
	blue sharks.	conservation action plans		non-Party ————————————————————————————————————	developing shark National
		in Party Range States developed		States)	Action Plans

Activity	Expected Outcomes	Timefram e	Entity responsible for implementation	Indicators for success
8. Develop regional action plans to foster cooperation between Party Range States with connected populations.	Regional conservation action plans developed	2024 – 2026	CMS Parties (and non-Party Ran go States)	Regional Action Plan committee formed with the aim of coordinating Parties
Sustainable tourism				
 Develop guidelines from countries with established blue shark tourism (e.g. Azores, UK, South Africa). 	Protocols for responsible blue shark tourism interaction established	2024	CMS Parties (and non-Party Range States)	All Parties with tourism activities implementing or incorporating the implementation of good practices into their tourism management plans
	Resear ch			
10. Support the assessment into the impacts of fisheries on blue shark interactions (fisheries-induced mortality, discards to design mitigation strategies	 Interactions incidence and mortality rates determined ; Proposals to reduce risk of interactions of blue sharks produced; Effects of fisheries interaction on blue shark populations assessed 	2024 – 2026	CMS Parties (and non-Party Range States)	80% of Party Range State developing research on interactions and catch risk
11. Support the identification of sub-population and genetic differences (to support regional TACs through fisheries-independent data)	Sub-populations identified	2025	CMS Parties (and non-Party Range States)	All management units /populations/ stocks genetically identified.

12. Support the assessment of post-release mortality of blue sharks across regions, demographics and fishing gears Suggested to be merged with 10	Post-release survival for blue sharks determined.	2024 – 2026	CMS Parties (and non-Party Range States)	Good knowledge of species- specific post- release survival across gears and regions.
 Identify inconsistencies in the level of protection ensured by different Party Range States. 	Protection gap analysis undertaken.	2024	NGOs	All of Party Range States with gaps identified
14. Identify critical habitats and understand the connectivity and migrations	 Critical areas identified; Migratory routes identified; 	2024 – 2025	NGOs/Researc h groups <mark>/ISRAs?</mark>	A global database of blue shark key habitats and key migratory routes PACs identified.

Activity	Expected Outcomes	Timefra me	Entity responsible for implementation	Indicators for success
	 Priority areas for conservation (PAC) identified. 			
15. Support assessments into the impacts of climate change on blue sharks by stock or region	Vulnerability and impacts of climate change on blue shark populations better understood.	2025	NGOs/Research groups	Risk assessments done to define blue sharks' vulnerability to climate change