The deadline for submission of the reports is 24 April 2017. The reporting period is from May 2014 to April 2017.

Parties are encouraged to respond to all questions. Parties are also requested to provide comprehensive answers, including, where appropriate, a summary of activities, information on factors limiting action and details of any assistance required.

The reporting format was agreed by the Standing Committee at its 40th Meeting (Bonn, November 2012) for mandatory use by Parties, for reports submitted to the Eleventh Meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP11). The 45th meeting of the Standing Committee recommended the use of the same format for reports submitted to COP12, with necessary adjustments to take into account relevant COP11 decisions, in particular amendments to the Appendices and resolutions.

COP Resolution 9.4 adopted at Rome called upon the Secretariats and Parties of CMS Agreements to collaborate in the implementation and harmonization of online reporting implementation. The CMS Family Online Reporting System (ORS) has been successfully implemented and used by AEWA in their last Meeting of the Parties (MOP 5, 2012) reporting cycle. CMS now offers the Convention’s Parties to use the ORS for submitting their national reports for the COP11 (2014) reporting cycle.

Please enter here the name of your country
› Latvia

Which agency has been primarily responsible for the preparation of this report?
› Nature Protection Department of Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development

Please list any other agencies that have provided input
› State Forest Service, Nature Conservation Agency
I(a). General Information
Please enter the required information in the table below:

**Party**

Date of entry into force of the Convention in your country
› 01/07/1999

Period covered
› 01 January 2014- 01 January 2017

Territories to which the Convention applies
› Latvia

**Designated National Focal Point**

Full name of the institution
› Ministry of the Environmental Protection and Regional Development of Latvia

Name and title of designated Focal Point
› Mr. Vilnis Bernards
Senior Desk Officer of Species and Habitats Conservation Division, Nature Protection Department

Mailing address
› Peldu iela 25
LV-1494 Riga
Latvia

Telephone
› (+371) 6 702 65 24

Fax
› (+371) 6 782 04 42

E-mail
› Vilnis.Bernards@varam.gov.lv

**Appointment to the Scientific Council**

Full name of the institution
› Biota Ltd

Name and title of contact officer
› Dr. Otars Opermanis

Mailing address
› Kr.Valdemara iela 106/108-122
Riga, LV-1013
Latvia

Telephone
› (+371) 2948 5626

Fax
› N/A

E-mail
› opermanis@gmail.com

**Submission**

Name and Signature of officer responsible for submitting national report

Name:
› Mr. Vilnis Bernards
Address:
› Peldu iela 25
LV-1494 Riga
Latvia

Tel.:
› (+371) 6 702 65 24

Fax:
› (+371) 6 782 04 42

E-mail:
› Vilnis.Bernards@varam.gov.lv

**Date of submission**
› March, 2017

**Membership of the Standing Committee (if applicable):**

Name:
› N/A

Address
› N/A

Tel
› N/A

Fax
› N/A

E-mail
› N/A

**Implementation**

**Competent Authority:**
› Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development of Latvia

**Relevant implemented legislation:**
› Law on Species and Habitat Protection (2000)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 396 “On list of specially protected species and species with exploitation limits” (2000)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 421 “On list of specially protected habitats” (2000)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 940 “On establishment, management and protection of Micro Reserves” and it bufer zones” (2012)
› Law on Specially Protected Nature Territories (1993)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 264 “On the General Protection and Use of Specially Protected Nature Territories” (2010)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No 17 “On Protected Marine Territories” (2010)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 421 “Hunting Regulations” (2014)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 10 “Regulations on the Agreement on the Conservation of Bats in Europe” (2003)
› Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 629 “On Agreement on African-Eurasian migratory waterbirds conservation” (2005)

**Other relevant Conventions/Agreements (apart from CMS) to which your country is a Party:**
› CBD, Ramsar Convention, Bern Convention “On Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats”, Eurobats, CITES

**National policy instruments (e.g. national biodiversity conservation strategy, etc.):**
› National Programme on Biological Diversity (Accepted by Cabinet of Ministers in 1 February, 2000), Environmental Policy Strategy 2009-2015 (Accepted by Cabinet of Ministers 25 June 2009)
CMS Agreements/MoU
Please indicate whether your country is part of the following Agreements/MoU. If so, please indicate the competent national institution

Wadden Sea Seals (1991)

☑ Non Range State

National Focal Point

Name
› N/A

Address
› N/A

Tel
› N/A

Fax
› N/A

E-mail
› N/A

Membership of the Trilateral Seal Expert Group

Name
› N/A

Address
› N/A

Tel
› N/A

Fax
› N/A

E-mail
› N/A

EUROBATS (1994)

☑ Party

Appointed member of the Advisory Committee

Name
› Dr Gunars Petersons

Address
› K.Helmana 8, Jelgava, LV-3004

Tel
› +371 3027666

Fax
› + 371 3027344

E-mail
› gunars.petersons@llu.lv

Administrative Focal Point
Mr. Vilnis Bernards

Peldu iela 25, Riga LV-1494
Latvia

+371 67026524
+371 67820442
vilnis.bernards@varam.gov.lv

ASCOBANS (1994)

☑ Non-party Range State

National Coordinator

Name
N/A

Address
N/A

Tel
N/A

Fax
N/A

E-mail
N/A

Appointed member of the Advisory Committee

Name
N/A

Address
N/A

Tel
N/A

Fax
N/A

E-mail
N/A

AEWA (1999)

☑ Party

National Focal Point

Name
Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development

Address
Appointed member of the Technical Committee

Name
Dr. Otars Opermanis

Address
Kr.Valdemara 106/108-122
Riga, LV-1013
Latvia

Tel
(+371) 2948 56 26

Fax
N/A

E-mail
opermanis@gmail.com

ACAP (2001)

☐ Non Range State

Focal Point

Name
N/A

Address
N/A

Tel
N/A

Fax
N/A

E-mail
N/A

Member of Advisory Committee

Name
N/A

Address
N/A

Tel
N/A

Fax
N/A

National Focal Point
Name
› N/A
Address
› N/A
Tel
› N/A
Fax
› N/A
E-mail
› N/A

Member of Technical Committee
Name
› N/A
Address
› N/A
Tel
› N/A
Fax
› N/A
E-mail
› N/A

ACCOBAMS (2001)

National Focal Point
Name
› N/A
Address
› N/A
Tel
› N/A
Fax
› N/A
E-mail
› N/A

Appointed member of the Scientific Committee
Siberian Crane MoU (1993/1999)
Siberian Crane MoU (1993/1999)
☑ Non Range State

Slender-billed Curlew MoU (1994)
Slender-billed Curlew MoU (1994)
☑ Non Range State

Atlantic Turtles MoU (1999)
Atlantic Turtles MoU (1999)
☑ Non Range State

Middle-European Great Bustard MoU (2001)
Middle-European Great Bustard MoU (2001)
☑ Non Range State

IOSEA Marine Turtles MoU (2001)
IOSEA Marine Turtles MoU (2001)
☑ Non Range State

Bukhara Deer MoU (2002)
Bukhara Deer MoU (2002)
☑ Non Range State

Aquatic Warbler MoU (2003)
Aquatic Warbler MoU (2003)
☑ Signatory

Competent authority

Name
› Nature Conservation Agency

Address
› Baznicas Str.7, Sigulda, LV-2150

Tel
› (+371) 67509545

Fax
› (+371) 67509544

E-mail
› dap@daba.gov.lv
Contact point

Name
› Helmuts Hofmanis

Address
› Sliteres meznieciba
   Dundagas novads, LV-3270

Tel
› (+371) 26444121

Fax
› N/A

E-mail
› helmuts.hofmanis@daba.gov.lv

West African Elephants MoU (2005)

West African Elephants MoU (2005)
☑ Non Range State

Pacific Islands Cetaceans MoU (2006)

Pacific Islands Cetaceans MoU (2006)
☑ Non Range State

Dugong MoU (2007)

Dugong MoU (2007)
☑ Non Range State

Western African Aquatic Mammals MoU (2008)

Western African Aquatic Mammals MoU (2008)
☑ Non Range State

Birds of Prey (Raptors) MoU (2008)

Birds of Prey (Raptors) MoU (2008)
☑ Non-signatory Range State

High Andean Flamingos MoU (2008)

High Andean Flamingos MoU (2008)
☑ Non Range State

Sharks MoU (2010)

Sharks MoU (2010)
☑ Non Range State

Involvement of other government departments/NGOs/private sector

1. Which other government departments are involved in activities/initiatives for the conservation of migratory species in your country? (Please list.)
› Nature Conservation Agency, State Forest Service, Department of Fisheries Ministry of Agriculture
The Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development has been appointed as coordinator for implementation of the Convention.

2. If more than one government department is involved, describe the interaction/relationship between these government departments:
› Department of Fisheries is responsible on fisheries issues. State Forest Service on hunting issues. Nature Conservation Agency on elaboration of species management plans, issuance of permits, management of protected areas.
3. Has a national liaison system or committee been established in your country?
☑ No

4. List the main non-governmental organizations actively involved in activities/initiatives for the conservation of migratory species in your country, and describe their involvement:
› The Institute of Biology (University of Latvia), Latvian Fund for Nature, Latvian Ornithological Society, Theriological Society, Nature Conservation Agency and other partners carried out research activities. WWF Latvia and Latvian Fund for Nature are implementing EU Life Nature projects.

4a. Please provide detail on any devolved government/overseas territory authorities involved.
› N/A

5. Describe any involvement of the private sector in the conservation of migratory species in your country:
› Microreserves and Specially Protected Nature Territories for migratory species conservation are established also on private property. Compensation system for land owners for properties use restrictions in protected areas.

6. Note any interactions between these sectors in the conservation of migratory species in your country:
› N/A
I(b). Information about involved Authorities
Identify the ministry, agency/department or organization that is responsible for leading actions relating to Appendix I species

1- Birds
   › Nature Protection Department, Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development

2- Aquatic Mammals
   › N/A

3- Reptiles
   › N/A

4- Terrestrial Mammals
   › N/A

5- Fish
   › Fisheries Department, Ministry of Agriculture
II. Appendix I species

1. BIRDS

1.1 General questions on Appendix I bird species

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I bird species prohibited by the national implementing legislation cited in Table I(a) (General Information)?
☑ Yes

If other legislation is relevant, please provide details:
› Species and Habitats Protection Law, Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No. 396 “On list of specially protected species and species with exploitation limits” (2000)

1a. If the taking of Appendix I bird species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details (Include the date on which the exception was notified to the CMS Secretariat pursuant to CMS Article III(7):
› N/A

2. Identify any obstacles to migration that exist in relation to Appendix I bird species:
☑ Other
› N/A

2a. What actions are being undertaken to overcome these obstacles?
› N/A

2b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.
› N/A

2c. What assistance, if any, does your country require in order to overcome these obstacles?
› N/A

3. What are the major pressures to Appendix I bird species (transcending mere obstacles to migration)?
☑ Other
› N/A

3a. What actions have been taken to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger bird species beyond actions to prevent disruption to migrating behaviour?
› N/A

3b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.
› N/A

3c. Describe any factors that may limit action being taken in this regard:
› N/A

3d. What assistance, if any, does your country require to overcome these factors?
› N/A

1.2 Questions on specific Appendix I bird species

In the following section, using the table format below, please fill in each Appendix I bird species for which your country is considered to be a Range State. Please complete each table as appropriate, providing information in summary form. Where appropriate, please cross-reference to information already provided in national reports that have been submitted under other conventions (e.g. Convention on Biological Diversity, Ramsar Convention, CITES). (Attach annexes as necessary.)

Species name: Acrocephalus paludicola

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ stable
› Lake Liepajas is the only site in which singing males were recorded. Population 0-10 pairs.

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ stable
› Lake Liepajas is the only site in which singing males were recorded. Population 0-10 pairs.

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Species protection
☑ Specially protected species
☑ Habitat protection
› Lake Liepaja is Natura2000 site
☑ Habitat restoration
› LIFE project
☑ Other
› The Aquatic Warbler is a specially protected species in Latvia.

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
› N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
› N/A

Species name: Anser erythropus

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
› Celmins,A., Matrozis, R., (Latvijas putni.) Internet site: http://www.putni.lv

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ not known
› Irregular migrant

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ not known
› Very few records during migration

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Species protection
☑ Specially protected species
☑ Other
› Specially protected species

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
› N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
› N/A

Species name: Aquila clanga

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ stable
› rare, regular migrant

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ unclear
› irregular breeder

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Species protection
› Specially protected species
☑ Other
› nest protection micro-reserves must be established for Aquila clanga (area size 10-200 ha).
National Biodiversity Monitoring System (operating since 2008) includes yearly monitoring of most important habitats of the species and present population.

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
› N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
› N/A

**Species name: Aythya nyroca**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ not known
› Irregular migrant

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ not known
› Irregular migrant

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Species protection
› Specially protected species
☑ Other
› The Ferruginous Duck is a specially protected species in Latvia.

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
› N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
› N/A

**Species name: Branta ruficollis**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
› Celmins,A.,Matrozis, R.,(Latvijas putni.) Internet site: http://www.putni.lv

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ not known
› Irregular migrant
2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ not known
› Very few records during migration

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Species protection
› Specially protected species
☑ Other
› The Red-breasted Goose is a specially protected species in Latvia

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
› N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
› N/A

**Species name: Emberiza aureola**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
› Only one record in 1985

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ not known

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ not known

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Other
› One record in 1985

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
› N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
› N/A

**Species name: Haliaeetus albicilla**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ increasing
› 60-80 pairs

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ stable
› 6-80 pairs

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Research
› Satellite telemetry
☑ Identification and establishment of protected areas
› Natura2000 sites and mik-roreserves
☑ Monitoring
Birds monitoring is part of Biodiversity Monitoring Programme
☑ Species protection
☑ Specially protected species
☑ Habitat protection
☑ Natura2000 sites and micro-reserves
☑ Other

Breeding sites are under protection.
Nest protection micro-reserves must be established for Haliaeetus albicilla (area size 5-60 ha).
National Biodiversity Monitoring System (operating since 2008) includes yearly monitoring of most important habitats of the species and present population.

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
☑ N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
☑ N/A

**Species name: Polysticta stelleri**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ not known
   > Steller’s Eider appears irregularly. The wintering population 0-20i

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ not known
   > Steller’s Eider appears irregularly

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Species protection
☑ Specially protected species
☑ Other
   > Steller’s Eider is a specially protected species in Latvia

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?
☑ N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:
☑ N/A

**Species name: Falco vespertinus**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
   > Celmins,A., Matrozis, R., (Latvijas putni.) Internet site: http://www.putni.lv

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
☑ not known
   > summer visitors only

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
☑ not known
   > rare, regular migrant

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
☑ Other
4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?

- N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:

- N/A

**Species name: Calidris canutus rufa**

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
- Celmins, A., Matrozis, R., (Latvijas putni.) Internet site: http://www.putni.lv

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
- Not known
- Rare, regular migrant

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
- Not known
- Rare, regular migrant

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):
- Habitat protection
- Natura2000 sites
- Habitat restoration
- Life Projects in wetlands
- Other
- Wetlands protection and management

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?

- N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:

- N/A

Miscellaneous information or comments on Appendix I birds in general:

- No

2. AQUATIC MAMMALS

2.1 General questions on Appendix I aquatic mammals

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I aquatic mammals species prohibited by the national implementing legislation cited in Table I(a) (General Information)?

- No

If other legislation is relevant, please provide details:

- N/A

1a. If the taking of Appendix I aquatic mammals species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?

- No

If Yes, please provide details (Include the date on which the exception was notified to the CMS Secretariat pursuant to CMS Article III(7):

- N/A

2. Identify any obstacles to migration that exist in relation to Appendix I aquatic mammals:

- Other

- N/A
2a. What actions are being undertaken to overcome these obstacles?
› N/A

2b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.
› N/A

2c. What assistance, if any, does your country require in order to overcome these obstacles?
› N/A

3. What are the major pressures to Appendix I aquatic mammals species (transcending mere obstacles to migration)?
☑ Other
› N/A

3a. What actions have been taken to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger aquatic mammals species beyond actions to prevent disruption to migrating behaviour?
› N/A

3b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.
› N/A

3c. Describe any factors that may limit action being taken in this regard:
› N/A

3d. What assistance, if any, does your country require to overcome these factors?
› N/A

Miscellaneous information or comments on Appendix I marine mammals in general:
› No

5. FISH

5.1 General questions on Appendix I fish species

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I fish species prohibited by the national legislation listed as being implementing legislation in Table I(a) (General Information)?
☑ No

If other legislation is relevant, please provide details:
› Species and Habitats Protection Law in case of restoration

1a. If the taking of Appendix I fish species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?
☑ No

If Yes, please provide details (Include the date on which the exception was notified to the CMS Secretariat pursuant to CMS Article III(7):
› N/A

2. Identify any obstacles to migration that exist in relation to Appendix I fish species:
☑ Other
› N/A

2a. What actions are being undertaken to overcome these obstacles?
› N/A

2b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.
› N/A

2c. What assistance, if any, does your country require in order to overcome these obstacles?
› N/A

3. What are the major threats to Appendix I fish species (transcending mere obstacles to migration)?
Other

3a. What actions have been taken to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger fish species beyond actions to prevent disruption to migrating behaviour?

N/A

3b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.

N/A

3c. Describe any factors that may limit action being taken in this regard:

N/A

3d. What assistance, if any, does your country require to overcome these factors?

N/A

5.2 Questions on specific Appendix I fish species

In the following section, using the table format below, please fill in each Appendix I fish species, for which your country is considered to be a Range State. Please complete each table as appropriate, providing information in summary form. Where appropriate, please cross-reference to information already provided in national reports that have been submitted under other conventions (e.g. Convention on Biological Diversity, Ramsar Convention, CITES). (Attach annexes as necessary.)

Species name: Acipenser sturio

1. Please provide published distribution reference:
   - Last time reported in 1963, extinct

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):
   - Not known
     - Probably extinct

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):
   - Not known
     - Probably extinct

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):

   - Species restoration
     - Here are planned species restoration, fish keeping in fish farm for restoration
   - Habitat protection

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?

N/A

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:

   - Restoration planned in Daugava river and in Gulf of Riga

Miscellaneous information or comments on Appendix I bats in general:

N/A

6. Listing of other endangered migratory species in Appendix I

1. Is your country a Range State for any other endangered migratory species currently listed in Appendix I? (according to the latest IUCN red data list). N.B.: States in which a species occurs as a vagrant (i.e. not “on its normal migration route”) should not be treated as Range States. Please refer to Article 1 of the Convention for clarification.

   - No

If Yes, please provide details:

N/A

1a. Is your country taking any steps to propose listing any of these species?
No

If yes, please provide details:
› N/A

1b. What assistance/measures, if any, does your country require to initiate the listing of these species?
› N/A
### III. Appendix II Species

#### 1. INFORMATION ON APPENDIX II SPECIES

Information pertaining to the conservation of Appendix II species that are the object of CMS Agreements will have been provided in periodic Party reports to those instruments. It will suffice therefore to reference (below), and preferably append, a copy of the latest report that has been submitted to the Secretariat of each of the Agreement/MoUs to which your country is a Party.

**Wadden Sea Seals (1991)**

- Date of last report: N/A
- Period covered: N/A

**Siberian Crane MoU (1993/1999)**

- Date of last report: N/A
- Period covered: N/A

**EUROBATS (1994)**

- Date of last report: May 2014
- Period covered: 2011-2014

**ASCOBANS (1994)**

- Date of last report: N/A
- Period covered: N/A

**Slender-billed Curlew MoU (1994)**

- Date of last report: N/A
- Period covered: N/A

**Atlantic Turtles MoU (1999)**

- Date of last report: N/A
- Period covered: N/A

**AEWA (1999)**

- Date of last report: May 2015
- Period covered: 2012-2014

**ACCOBAMS (2001)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**Middle-European Great Bustard MoU (2001)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**IOSEA Marine Turtles MoU (2001)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**ACAP (2001)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**Bukhara Deer MoU (2002)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**Aquatic Warbler MoU (2003)**
Date of last report: 2013
Period covered 2011-2012

**West African Elephants MoU (2005)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**Pacific Islands Cetaceans MoU (2006)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered: N/A

**Saiga Antelope MoU (2006)**
Date of last report: N/A
Period covered:
› N/A

**Ruddy-headed Goose MoU (2006)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**Monk Seal in the Atlantic MoU (2007)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**Southern South American Grassland Birds MoU (2007)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**Dugong MoU (2007)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**Gorilla Agreement (2008)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**Western African Aquatic Mammals MoU (2008)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**Birds of Prey (Raptors) MoU (2008)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A

**High Andean Flamingos MoU (2008)**

Date of last report:
› N/A

Period covered:
› N/A
2. QUESTIONS ON CMS AGREEMENTS

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Bird Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country initiated the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Bird Species?
   ☑ No

If Yes, what is the current state of development?
   > N/A

2. In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Bird Species?
   ☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:
   > N/A

3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?
   > N/A

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Bird Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
   ☑ No

4.1. If Yes, please provide details:
   > N/A

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Marine Mammal Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country initiated the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Marine Mammal Species?
   ☑ No

If Yes, what is the current state of development?
   > N/A

2. In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Marine Mammal Species?
   ☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:
   > N/A
3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?
   › N/A

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Marine Mammal Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
   ☑ No

4.1. If Yes, please provide details:
   › N/A

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Marine Turtle Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Marine Turtle Species ?
   ☑ No

If Yes, what is the current state of development?
   › N/A

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Marine Turtle Species ?
   ☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:
   › N/A

3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?
   › N/A

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Marine Turtle Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
   ☑ No

4.1. If Yes, please provide details:
   › N/A

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species ?
   ☑ No

If Yes, what is the current state of development?
   › N/A

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species ?
   ☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:
   › N/A

3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?
4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
☑ No

4.1. If Yes, please provide details:
☑ N/A

**Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Bat Species**

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Bat Species?
☑ No

If Yes, what is the current state of development?
☑ N/A

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Bat Species?
☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:
☑ N/A

3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?
☑ N/A

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Bat Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
☑ No

4.1. If Yes, please provide details:
☑ N/A

**Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Fish**

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Fish?
☑ No

If Yes, what is the current state of development?
☑ N/A

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Fish?
☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:
☑ N/A

3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?
☑ N/A

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Fish, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
☑ No

4.1. If Yes, please provide details:
☑ N/A
3. LISTING OF MIGRATORY SPECIES IN APPENDIX II

1. Is your country a Range State for any migratory species that has an unfavourable conservation status, but is not currently listed in Appendix II and could benefit from the conclusion of an Agreement for its conservation?

N.B.: States in which a species occurs as a vagrant (i.e. not “on its normal migration route”) should not be treated as Range States. Please refer to Article 1 of the Convention for clarification.

☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:

☑ N/A

1a. Is your country taking any steps to propose the listing of this/these species in Appendix II?

☑ No

If Yes, please provide details:

☑ N/A

1b. What assistance, if any, does your country require to initiate the listing of this/these species?

☑ N/A
IV. National and Regional Priorities

1. What priority does your country assign to the conservation and, where applicable, sustainable use of migratory species in comparison to other biodiversity-related issues
☑ Medium

2. Are migratory species and their habitats addressed by your country's national biodiversity strategy or action plan?
☑ Yes

2.1. If Yes, please indicate and briefly describe the extent to which it addresses the following issues:
☑ Conservation, sustainable use and/or restoration of migratory species
› Migratory species are included in the list of specially protected species, several species are included in the list of game species and used in the sustainable way.
☑ Conservation, sustainable use and/or restoration of the habitats of migratory species, including protected areas
› Several LIFE+ projects are targeted to restoration of habitats for migratory species.
☑ Actions to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger migratory species (e.g. alien invasive species or by-catch)
› Introduction is prohibited by Species and Habitats Protection Law. Hunting season for several invasive species whole year.
☑ Minimizing or eliminating barriers or obstacles to migration
› EIA procedures form wind farms
☑ Research and monitoring of migratory species
› Biodiversity monitoring subprogram is part of State Monitoring Programme.
☑ Transboundary co-operation
› Migratory species are included in the list of specially protected species. Cooperation with Estonia (Eagles cross borders), cooperation in management of protected areas, including LIFE+ projects.

3. Does the conservation of migratory species currently feature in any other national or regional policies/plans (apart from CMS Agreements)
☑ Yes

3.1. If Yes, please provide details:
› Environmental Policy Strategy 2014-2020
National Development Plan 2014 – 2020
Latvian Rural Development Program 2014–2020

3a. Do these policies/plans cover the following areas?
Exploitation of natural resources (e.g. fisheries, hunting, etc.)
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details
› Action Program for Fisheries Development 2014-2020

Economic development
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details
› National Development Plan 2014 – 2020

Land-use planning
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details
› Latvian Rural Development Program 2014–2020

Pollution control
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details
› Environmental Policy Strategy 2009-2015
Designation and development of protected areas
☐ Yes
If Yes, please provide details
› Environmental Policy Strategy 2009-2015

Development of ecological networks
☐ Yes
If Yes, please provide details
› Environmental Policy Strategy 2009-2015

Planning of power lines
☐ Yes
If Yes, please provide details
› Impacts to Specially protected species and Natura 2000 sites are examined for development projects

Planning of fences
☐ Yes
If Yes, please provide details
› Fences building prohibition in particular Natura2000 sites

Planning of dams
☐ Yes
If Yes, please provide details
› EIA procedures

Other
☐ No
If Yes, please provide details
› N/A

4. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
› N/A
V. Protected Areas

1. Are migratory species taken into account in the selection, establishment and management of protected areas in your country?
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details:
› Protection of natural habitats with system of Specially Protected Nature Territories is taken as a base an the most important measure to conserve breeding, wintering and resting habitats of the Appendix I and II species.

1a. Please identify the most important national sites for migratory species and their protection status:

1b. Do these protected areas cover the following areas?

Terrestrial
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details and include the amount of protected areas coverage and the number of protected areas
› 324 Natura2000 sites, total area 7 442 km², covered 11.52% of land area

Aquatic
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details and include the amount of protected areas coverage and the number of protected areas
› Inland aquatic habitats are part of terrestrial protected areas

Marine
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details and include the amount of protected areas coverage and the number of protected areas
› 7 Natura2000 sites, total area 1 725.21 km²

1c. Identify the agency, department or organization responsible for leading on this action in your country:
› Department of Nature Protection, Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development

2. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
› Protected nature area system in Latvia: National Parks -4; Strict Nature Reserves-4; Nature reserves-278; Nature Parks- 43, Protected Landscape Areas-9. Total area -11.9% of country territory
VI. Policies on Satellite Telemetry

1. In the current reporting period, has your country undertaken conservation/research projects that use satellite telemetry?
☑ Yes

If yes what is the state of those projects
☑ on-going

Please provide details
› Restoration of Bittern habitats in two coastal lakes in Latvia (LIFE COASTLAKE)
   Bittern (Botaurus stellaris) 3 birds with transmitters

2. Are any future conservation/research projects planned that will use satellite telemetry?
☑ No

If Yes, please provide details (including the expected timeframe for these projects):
› N/A

If No, please explain any impediments or requirements in this regard:
› N/A

3. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
› Action from previous reporting period:
   Black-stork telemetry project. The eight female Black Storks were equipped with satellite transmitters to follow their travel to wintering areas in Africa and back. The aim of this study is to find out the causes of female mortality after fledging and identify the places where the Black Storks breeding in Latvia might have contracted poisoning with pesticides that have been found in the birds' eggs in recent years.
VI. Membership

1. Have actions been taken by your country to encourage non-Parties to join CMS and its related Agreements?
   ☐ No

   If Yes, please provide details. (In particular, describe actions taken to recruit the non-Parties that have been identified by the Standing Committee as high priorities for recruitment.)
   › N/A

1a. Identify the agency, department or organization responsible for leading on this action in your country:
   › N/A

2. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
   › N/A
VIII. Global and National Importance of CMS

1. Have actions been taken by your country to increase national, regional and/or global awareness of the relevance of CMS and its global importance in the context of biodiversity conservation?
☐ No

If Yes, please provide details:
› N/A

2. Identify the agency, department or organization responsible for leading on this action in your country:
› Nature Protection Department
   Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development

3. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
› Several aspects related to CMS and AEWA were discussed in informal way with Russian Hunters magazine journalists
IX. Mobilization of Resources

1. Has your country made financial resources available for conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in your country?
   ☑ Yes

   If Yes, please provide details (Indicate the migratory species that have benefited from these activities):
   › Several LIFE Nature project were co-financed. List of LIFE Nature projects important for migratory species conservation and ERAF EST-LAT project:

   LIFE+
   Project duration: 01.10.2010 – 31.03.2015
   Total budget: 4 138 673 LVL
   Securing sustainable farming to ensure conservation of globally threatened bird species in agrarian landscape. The main project objective is to ensure the favourable conservation status of globally threatened species – Aquatic Warbler (Acrocephalus paludicola).
   Project duration: 01.09.2010-01.8.2015
   Total budget: 1 540 325 LVL
   Restoration of Corncrake habitats in protected Natura 2000 site “Dvietes paliena”
   Project duration 01.10.2010-01.09.2014
   Total budget: 822 490 LVL
   Restoration of hydrological regime in Kemer National Park
   Project duration: 01.09.2011-01.08.2016
   Total Budget: 677 379 LVL
   Restoration of forest habitats in Gauja National Park
   Project duration: 01.09.2014-01.08.2015
   Total budget: 578 578 LVL
   Restoration of Bittern habitats in coastal lakes (Costlakes)
   Project duration: 01.09.2013-31.08.2017
   Total budget: 1 282 980 LVL
   ERAF EST-LAT Tran boundary cooperation project
   Eagles cross borders
   Project duration: 01.07.2011-01.06.2013
   Total budget: 361 424 EURO
   Activities related to Crex crex, Aquila pomarina, Pandion haliaetus, Heliaetus albicilla, Acrocephalus paludicola, Botaurus stellaris.

2. Has your country made voluntary contributions to the CMS Trust Fund to support requests from developing countries and countries with economies in transition?
   ☑ No

   If Yes, please provide details:
   › N/A

3. Has your country made other voluntary financial contributions to support conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in other countries (particularly developing countries)?
   ☑ No

   If Yes, please provide details (Indicate the migratory species that have benefited from these activities):
   › N/A

4. Has your country provided technical and/or scientific assistance to developing countries to facilitate initiatives for the benefit of migratory species?
   ☑ No

   If Yes, please provide details (Indicate the migratory species that have benefited from these activities):
   › N/A

5. Has your country received financial assistance/support from the CMS Trust Fund, via the CMS Secretariat, for national conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in your country?
   ☑ No

   If Yes, please provide details (Indicate the migratory species that have benefited from these activities):
6. Has your country received financial assistance/support from sources other than the CMS Secretariat for conservation activities having direct benefit for migratory species in your country?
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details (Indicate the migratory species that have benefited from these activities):
› Activities related to Crex crex, Aquila pomarina, Pandion haliaetus, Haliaetus albicilla, Acrocephalus paludicola, Botaurus stellaris, Ciconia nigra
X. Implementation of COP Resolutions and Recommendations

Please provide information about measures undertaken by your country relating to recent Resolutions and Recommendations since the last Report. For your convenience please refer to the list of COP Resolutions and Recommendations listed below:

**Strategic and Institutional Matters**

Capacity Building Strategy (Res. 9.12 / Res. 10.6)
› No specific capacity building strategy for CMS. Capacity building for Nature Conservation sector. Good cooperation within international agreements focal points.

Strategic Plan for Migratory Species 2015-2023 (Res. 11.2)
› Integration the goals and targets of the Strategic Plan within relevant policy and planning instruments

Financial and Administrative Matters and Terms of Reference for the Administration of the Trust Fund (Res. 11.1)
› Contributions of Party to the Convention, based on the UN Scale of Assessment, as listed in Annex II to the present Resolution

Relationship between the CMS Family and the Civil Society (Res. 11.11)
› Involvement of NGO in CMS processes (specially in Eurobats, AEWA)

World Migratory Bird Day (Res. 11.9)
› Migratory Bird Day activities in Kolka organized by Owls research society in cooperation with www.latvijasputni.lv and tour operator "Dabas tures" (www.dabastures.lv)

Outreach and Communication Issues (Res. 11.8)
› No voluntary contribution provided for development and implementation of the communication strategy and towards ongoing communication activities being carried out by the Secretariat

Development of CMS Agreements (Res. 11.12)
› No voluntary financial contribution provided for the effective operation of Agreements under the Convention

Concerted and Cooperative Actions (Res. 11.13)
› No specific actions during reporting period. Planned actions for restoration of sturgeon population Baltic Sea

Synergies and Partnerships / Cooperation with other Conventions (Res. 11.10)
› Close collaboration at the national level between the focal point of the CMS, AEWA, Eurobats and the focal points of the Ramsar, CBD and Bern Conventions

Future strategies of the CMS Family / “Future Shape” (Res. 10.9)
› N/A

**Other resolutions/recommendations:**
› N/A

**Avian Species and Issues**

Electrocution of Migratory Birds (Res. 7.04 / Res. 10.11)
› EIA procedures for development of energy sector project. Good cooperation within Nature Protection authorities and energy company "Sadales tikli"

Southern Hemisphere Albatross Conservation (Res. 6.3)
› N/A

Migratory Landbirds in the African Eurasian Region (Res. 11.17)
› Participation in Bern Convention activities related in order to facilitate the national and international mitigation of the problem of illegal killing of birds in line with Resolution

Global Flyway Conservation (Res. 10.10 / Res. 11.14)
› Activities in the framework of AEWA

Saker Falcon (Res. 11.18)
› Only one record of species in 2010
Illegal Killing, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds (Res. 11.16)
› Several activities regarding prevention of illegal killing, taking and trade of birds planed in 2017

Migratory Species and Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (Res. 8.27 / Res. 9.8 / Res. 10.22)
› Responsible state institution on wildlife diseases including Avian Influenza is State Food and Veterinary Service. Coordinated EU Member States action regarding wildlife seasides.

Poisoning Migratory Birds (Res. 11.15)
› Prohibited use of lead ammunition in protected nature territories (all main wetlands covered)

**Aquatic Species and Issues**

Migratory Marine Species (Res. 9.9 / Res. 10.15)
› N/A

Conservation of Migratory Sharks and Rays (Res. 11.20)
› N/A

Live capture of Cetacean from the Wild (Res. 11.22)
› N/A

Adverse Anthropogenic Impacts on Cetaceans and other Biota (Res. 9.19 / Res. 10.24)
› Environmental Impact Assessments consider potential impacts on marine biota, species and their migration routes.

Loggerhead Turtle in the South Pacific Ocean (Res. 11.21)
› N/A

Conservation Implications of Cetacean Culture (Res. 11.23)
› N/A

Improving the Conservation Status of the Leatherback Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) (Rec. 7.6)
› N/A

Antarctic Minke, Bryde’s and Pygmy Right Whales (Res. 7.15)
› N/A

Migratory Freshwater Fish (Res. 10.12)
› Monitoring of migratory fish species

**Terrestrial Species and Issues**

Sahelo-Saharan Megafauna (Rec. 9.2)
› N/A

Tigers and other Asian Big Cats (Rec. 9.3)
› N/A

Conservation of the African Lion (Res. 11.32)
› N/A

**Cross-cutting Issues**

Marine Debris (Res. 10.4 / Res. 11.30)
› Development of effective solid waste management system as well public awareness on pollution issues

Bycatch (incl. Recommendation) (Res. 6.2 / Rec. 7.2 / Res. 8.14 / Res. 9.18 / Res. 10.14)
› Data collection on bycatch (seals and birds). Bycatch problem is one of treats taken in account during of development of marine birds species engagement plans under frame of AEWA

Wildlife Crime (Res. 11.31)
› Increasing awareness to wildlife crime. Implementation of EU CITES requirements and initiatives in order to minimize wildlife crime.

Ecological Networks (Res. 10.3 / Res. 11.25)
› Monitoring of sites important for migratory species using IBA monitoring framework and international
waterbirds census. All IBAs are included in Natura2000 network.

Climate Change Impacts on Migratory Species (Res. 7.5 / Res. 11.26)
› Active participation in Bern Convention expert group on Biodiversity and Climate Changes

Modus Operandi for Conservation Emergencies (Res. 10.2)
› Food and Veterinary Service nonparticipating in EU level activities.

Marine Wildlife Watching (Res. 11.29)
› N/A

Oil Pollution and Migratory Species (Res. 7.3)
› Legal requirements to prevent oil pollution and potential negative impact to environment. System of oil pollution

Impact Assessment and Migratory Species (Res. 7.2)
› Protection of species (including migratory) as well their habitats are includes in EIA and SEA.

Invasive Alien Species and Migratory Species (Res. 11.28)
› Introduction of species prohibited by Law. EU Invasive Species regulation.

Renewable Energy and Migratory Species (Res. 7.5 / Res. 11.27)
› EIA procedures for energy projects

Other remarks:
› N/A
Annex: Updating Data on Appendix II Species

1. The drop-down lists below contain the list of all species listed in Appendix II. Parties which did not submit a National Report in 2014 are requested to complete the entire form. Parties that did submit a report in 2014 are requested to review and update the data (e.g. new published distribution references and details concerning species added to Appendix II at COP11).

**Coraciiformes**

**Merops apiaster**

Please choose the one that applies.
- ☑ Range State

Published distribution reference

**Coracias garrulus**

Please choose the one that applies.
- ☑ Range State

Published distribution reference

**Anguilliformes**

**Anguilla anguilla**

Please choose the one that applies.
- ☑ Range State

Published distribution reference

References cited in the Annex:
- N/A