The deadline for submission of the reports is 24 April 2017. The reporting period is from May 2014 to April 2017.

Parties are encouraged to respond to all questions. Parties are also requested to provide comprehensive answers, including, where appropriate, a summary of activities, information on factors limiting action and details of any assistance required.

The reporting format was agreed by the Standing Committee at its 40th Meeting (Bonn, November 2012) for mandatory use by Parties, for reports submitted to the Eleventh Meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP11). The 45th meeting of the Standing Committee recommended the use of the same format for reports submitted to COP12, with necessary adjustments to take into account relevant COP11 decisions, in particular amendments to the Appendices and resolutions.

COP Resolution 9.4 adopted at Rome called upon the Secretariats and Parties of CMS Agreements to collaborate in the implementation and harmonization of online reporting implementation. The CMS Family Online Reporting System (ORS) has been successfully implemented and used by AEWA in their last Meeting of the Parties (MOP 5, 2012) reporting cycle. CMS now offers the Convention’s Parties to use the ORS for submitting their national reports for the COP11 (2014) reporting cycle.

Please enter here the name of your country
› Kyrgyzstan

Which agency has been primarily responsible for the preparation of this report?
› The State Agency on Environment Protection and Forestry of the Kyrgyz Republic
I(a). General Information

Please enter the required information in the table below:

Party

Date of entry into force of the Convention in your country
› November 22, 2013

Period covered
› 2014-2017

Territories to which the Convention applies
› Kyrgyz Republic

Designated National Focal Point

Full name of the institution
› The State Agency on Environment Protection and Forestry of the Kyrgyz Republic

Name and title of designated Focal Point
› Kumar Mambetaliev

Mailing address
› Kyrgyzstan, Bishkek, 228 Toktogul str., 720001

Telephone
› 996 550 04 00 80

Fax
› 996 312 61 45 11

E-mail
› kumar@fauna.kg

Appointment to the Scientific Council

Full name of the institution
› Science Academy of the Kyrgyz Republic

Name and title of contact officer
› Askar Davletbakov

Mailing address
› Kyrgyzstan, Bishkek, 265-A Chui avenue, 720481

Telephone
› 996550965108

E-mail
› askar.davl@rambler.ru

Submission

Name and Signature of officer responsible for submitting national report

Name:
› Kumar Mambetaliev

Address:
› Kyrgyzstan, Bishkek, 87 Isanova str.

Tel.:  
› 996550040080

Fax:
› 996312614511
Date of submission
› 21.04.2017

Implementation

Competent Authority:
› The State Agency on Environment Protection and Forestry of the Kyrgyz Republic

Relevant implemented legislation:
› Regulation on the Redbook of the Kyrgyz Republic approved Government Decree of the Kyrgyz Republic #189 dated 11.04.16

Other relevant Conventions/Agreements (apart from CMS) to which your country is a Party:
› CBD, Ramsar, CITES, UNFCCC

National policy instruments (e.g. national biodiversity conservation strategy, etc.):
› Kyrgyzstan's biodiversity conservation priorities for 2014-2024, Strategic Plan for Biodiversity, National Strategy on conservation of the Snow leopard and its ecosystem

CMS Agreements/MoU
Please indicate whether your country is part of the following Agreements/MoU. If so, please indicate the competent national institution

Wadden Sea Seals (1991)
☐ Non-party Range State

EUROBATS (1994)
☐ Non-party Range State

ASCOBANS (1994)
☐ Non-party Range State

AEWA (1999)
☒ Non-party Range State

☐ Non-party Range State

ACCOBAMS (2001)
☐ Non-party Range State

Involvement of other government departments/NGOs/private sector

1. Which other government departments are involved in activities/initiatives for the conservation of migratory species in your country? (Please list.)
› National academy of the Kyrgyz Republic, State Border Service of the Kyrgyz Republic, Ministry of agriculture of the Kyrgyz Republic, Ministry of Culture and Tourism of the Kyrgyz Republic
2. If more than one government department is involved, describe the interaction/relationship between these government departments:

› The interaction between the above mentioned government departments is based on Memorandum of cooperation

3. Has a national liaison system or committee been established in your country?

☑ No
I(b). Information about involved Authorities
Identify the ministry, agency/department or organization that is responsible for leading actions relating to Appendix I species

1- Birds
   › Academy of science of the Kyrgyz Republic

3- Reptiles
   › Academy of science of the Kyrgyz Republic

4- Terrestrial Mammals
   › Academy of science of the Kyrgyz Republic

5- Fish
   › Academy of science of the Kyrgyz Republic
II. Appendix I species

1. BIRDS

1.1 General questions on Appendix I bird species

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I bird species prohibited by the national implementing legislation cited in Table I(a) (General Information)?
☑ Yes

If other legislation is relevant, please provide details:
› Law of the Kyrgyz Republic on the wildlife

1a. If the taking of Appendix I bird species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?
☑ No

2. Identify any obstacles to migration that exist in relation to Appendix I bird species:
☑ By-catch

2a. What actions are being undertaken to overcome these obstacles?
› Conducting of joint activities with law enforcement organs in order to prevent poaching

2c. What assistance, if any, does your country require in order to overcome these obstacles?
› expert and consultant assistance

3. What are the major pressures to Appendix I bird species (transcending mere obstacles to migration)?
☑ Poaching

3a. What actions have been taken to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger bird species beyond actions to prevent disruption to migrating behaviour?

› Enhancing of fines and penalties for damages and harm brought to environment

3b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.
› reducing of poaching
III. Appendix II Species

2. QUESTIONS ON CMS AGREEMENTS

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Bird Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country initiated the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Bird Species?
   ☐ No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Bird Species?
   ☐ No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Bird Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
   ☐ No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Marine Mammal Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country initiated the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Marine Mammal Species?
   ☐ No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Marine Mammal Species?
   ☐ No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Marine Mammal Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
   ☐ No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Marine Turtle Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country initiated the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Marine Turtle Species?
   ☐ No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Marine Turtle Species?
   ☐ No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Marine Turtle Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
   ☐ No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country initiated the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species?
   ☐ Yes

If Yes, what is the current state of development?

➢ The activity on conservation of terrestrial mammals (ibex, argali, gazelle) is going according to the Bonn Convention. We are acting as a main initiators on studies of migratory routes of argali, they population at the area between Kyrgyzstan and Tadjikistan.
2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species?
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details:
› In November 2014 we participated at the conference on Central-Asian Initiative on Mammals (CMS COP 11)

3. If your country has initiated or is participating in the development of a new Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding, what assistance, if any, does your country require in order to initiate or participate in the instrument’s development?

   › Our country requires advisory expert assistance on achievement of taken off bordering fences between Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan where terrestrial mammals have migratory routes. Technical support on migratory routes, population, daily activity studies.

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
☑ No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Bat Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Bat Species?
☑ No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Bat Species?
☑ No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Bat Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
☑ No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Fish

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Fish?
☑ No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Fish?
☑ No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Fish, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
☑ No

3. Listing of Migratory Species in Appendix II

1. Is your country a Range State for any migratory species that has an unfavourable conservation status, but is not currently listed in Appendix II and could benefit from the conclusion of an Agreement for its conservation?

   N.B.: States in which a species occurs as a vagrant (i.e. not “on its normal migration route”) should not be treated as Range States. Please refer to Article 1 of the Convention for clarification.

☑ No

1a. Is your country taking any steps to propose the listing of this/these species in Appendix II?
☑ No
IV. National and Regional Priorities

1. What priority does your country assign to the conservation and, where applicable, sustainable use of migratory species in comparison to other biodiversity-related issues
☑ High

2. Are migratory species and their habitats addressed by your country's national biodiversity strategy or action plan?
☑ Yes

2.1. If Yes, please indicate and briefly describe the extent to which it addresses the following issues:
☑ Conservation, sustainable use and/or restoration of migratory species
☑ Conservation, sustainable use and/or restoration of the habitats of migratory species, including protected areas
☑ Actions to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger migratory species (e.g. alien invasive species or by-catch)
☑ Minimizing or eliminating barriers or obstacles to migration
☑ Research and monitoring of migratory species

3. Does the conservation of migratory species currently feature in any other national or regional policies/plans (apart from CMS Agreements)
☑ Yes

3a. Do these policies/plans cover the following areas?

Exploitation of natural resources (e.g. fisheries, hunting, etc.)
☑ Yes

Economic development
☑ Yes

Land-use planning
☑ No

Pollution control
☑ No

Designation and development of protected areas
☑ Yes

Development of ecological networks
☑ Yes

Planning of power lines
☑ No

Planning of fences
☑ No

Planning of dams
☑ No
V. Protected Areas

1. Are migratory species taken into account in the selection, establishment and management of protected areas in your country?
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details:
› In the establishment and management of protected areas we studied migratory species, in our case snow leopards and argali, and their movements, habitats.

1a. Please identify the most important national sites for migratory species and their protection status:
› Sarychat Eertash State Reserve - Specially protected area.

1b. Do these protected areas cover the following areas?

Terrestrial
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details and include the amount of protected areas coverage and the number of protected areas
› The coverage of protected area is - 149 117,9 ha.

Aquatic
☑ No

Marine
☑ No

1c. Identify the agency, department or organization responsible for leading on this action in your country:
› Protected Areas Management Department

2. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
› Disturbance factor has decreased for wild animals as a result number of wild animals increased significantly, including snow leopards, ibexes, argali.
VI. Policies on Satellite Telemetry

1. In the current reporting period, has your country undertaken conservation/research projects that use satellite telemetry?
☑ Yes

If yes what is the state of those projects
☑ on-going

Please provide details
› In accordance to the memorandum between the State Agency of Environmental Protection and Forestry under the Government of KR and National Academy of Science of KR and Panthera, 5 snow leopards were collared by satellite collars.

2. Are any future conservation/research projects planned that will use satellite telemetry?
☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details (including the expected timeframe for these projects):
› We are planning to set satellite collars on 5 snow leopards together with the National Academy of Science and Panthera during this year (2017).

3. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
› Study of daily activities of snow leopards
VII. Membership

1. Have actions been taken by your country to encourage non-Parties to join CMS and its related Agreements?
☑ No
VIII. Global and National Importance of CMS

1. Have actions been taken by your country to increase national, regional and/or global awareness of the relevance of CMS and its global importance in the context of biodiversity conservation?
   ☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details:
› Awareness increased in national and regional level.
   The issue of wild animals conservation was discussed by Government of KR.

2. Identify the agency, department or organization responsible for leading on this action in your country:
   › The State Agency of Environmental Protection and Forestry under the Government of KR

3. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken
   › Efforts have been taken to ban trophy hunting on argali by Parliament in fall 2016 and spring 2017, but hasn't been approved. However, by Govermental decision limits on hunting for particular species of wild animals were established (ibex, argali, roe, wild boar, himalayan ular) by regions: 2017-2020 in Talas and Jalal-Abad regions and 4 districts of Chui region (Alamudun, Sokuluk, Kemin, Jayil), 2020-2023 - Osh and Batken regions and other 4 districts of Chui region (Chui, Panfilov, Moskow, Issyk-Attinskyi), 2023-2026 - Naryn and Issyk-Kul regions.
IX. Mobilization of Resources

1. Has your country made financial resources available for conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in your country?
   ☑ No

2. Has your country made voluntary contributions to the CMS Trust Fund to support requests from developing countries and countries with economies in transition?
   ☑ No

3. Has your country made other voluntary financial contributions to support conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in other countries (particularly developing countries)?
   ☑ No

4. Has your country provided technical and/or scientific assistance to developing countries to facilitate initiatives for the benefit of migratory species?
   ☑ No

5. Has your country received financial assistance/support from the CMS Trust Fund, via the CMS Secretariat, for national conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in your country?
   ☑ No

6. Has your country received financial assistance/support from sources other than the CMS Secretariat for conservation activities having direct benefit for migratory species in your country?
   ☑ Yes

If Yes, please provide details (Indicate the migratory species that have benefited from these activities):

› From CBR Convention on Biodiversity
X. Implementation of COP Resolutions and Recommendations

Please provide information about measures undertaken by your country relating to recent Resolutions and Recommendations since the last Report. For your convenience please refer to the list of COP Resolutions and Recommendations listed below:

**Strategic and Institutional Matters**

Strategic Plan for Migratory Species 2015-2023 (Res. 11.2)
› The Strategic Plan for migratory species is included in the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity of the Kyrgyz Republic 2014-2024

Financial and Administrative Matters and Terms of Reference for the Administration of the Trust Fund (Res. 11.1)
› No comments

Relationship between the CMS Family and the Civil Society (Res. 11.11)
› The cooperation with the civil society is being conducted on the permanent base. “Dzhamats” - the groups of representatives of the civil society are established, these groups are assisting in conservation of the migratory species.

World Migratory Bird Day (Res. 11.9)
› Different activities are being held.

Outreach and Communication Issues (Res. 11.8)
› No comments

Development of CMS Agreements (Res. 11.12)
› In the process

Concerted and Cooperative Actions (Res. 11.13)
› No comments

Synergies and Partnerships / Cooperation with other Conventions (Res. 11.10)
› Cooperation in the framework of CBD, Ramsar, CITES

Future strategies of the CMS Family / “Future Shape” (Res. 10.9)
› No comments

**Other resolutions/recommendations:**
› No comments

**Avian Species and Issues**

Electrocution of Migratory Birds (Res. 7.04 / Res. 10.11)
› the cases of electrocution of migratory birds were not registered in the territory of the country.

Southern Hemisphere Albatross Conservation (Res. 6.3)
› no comments

Migratory Landbirds in the African Eurasian Region (Res. 11.17)
› no comments

Global Flyway Conservation (Res. 10.10 / Res. 11.14)
› no comments

Saker Falcon (Res. 11.18)
› The penalty for poaching of Saker Falcon is going to be enhanced.

Illegal Killing, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds (Res. 11.16)
› No cases were registered.

Migratory Species and Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (Res. 8.27 / Res. 9.8 / Res. 10.22)
› No cases were registered.
Poisoning Migratory Birds (Res. 11.15)
› no cases

**Aquatic Species and Issues**

Migratory Marine Species (Res. 9.9 / Res. 10.15)
› No comments

Conservation of Migratory Sharks and Rays (Res. 11.20)
› No comments

Live capture of Cetacean from the Wild (Res. 11.22)
› No comments

Adverse Anthropogenic Impacts on Cetaceans and other Biota (Res. 9.19 / Res. 10.24)
› No comments

Loggerhead Turtle in the South Pacific Ocean (Res. 11.21)
› No comments

Conservation Implications of Cetacean Culture (Res. 11.23)
› No comments

Improving the Conservation Status of the Leatherback Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) (Rec. 7.6)
› No comments

Antarctic Minke, Bryde’s and Pygmy Right Whales (Res. 7.15)
› No comments

Migratory Freshwater Fish (Res. 10.12)
› No comments

**Terrestrial Species and Issues**

Sahelo-Saharan Megafauna (Rec. 9.2)
› No comments

Tigers and other Asian Big Cats (Rec. 9.3)
› The National Strategy on the conservation of the Snow leopard and its ecosystems was adopted.

Conservation of the African Lion (Res. 11.32)
› No comments

**Cross-cutting Issues**

Marine Debris (Res. 10.4 / Res. 11.30)
› No comments

Bycatch (incl. Recommendation) (Res. 6.2 / Rec. 7.2 / Res. 8.14 / Res. 9.18 / Res. 10.14)
› No comments

Wildlife Crime (Res. 11.31)
› The activities on detection and combating poaching are being undertaken.

Ecological Networks (Res. 10.3 / Res. 11.25)
› No comments

Climate Change Impacts on Migratory Species (Res. 7.5 / Res. 11.26)
› In the process of research.

Modus Operandi for Conservation Emergencies (Res. 10.2)
› In the process of development.

Marine Wildlife Watching (Res. 11.29)
› No comments
Oil Pollution and Migratory Species (Res. 7.3)
› No cases

Impact Assessment and Migratory Species (Res. 7.2)
› Every 5 years the authorized state bodies conduct monitoring of the wildlife including migratory species.

Invasive Alien Species and Migratory Species (Res. 11.28)
› No cases

Renewable Energy and Migratory Species (Res. 7.5 / Res. 11.27)
› No comments

Other remarks:
› No comments
**Annex: Updating Data on Appendix II Species**

1. The drop-down lists below contain the list of all species listed in Appendix II. Parties which did not submit a National Report in 2014 are requested to complete the entire form. Parties that did submit a report in 2014 are requested to review and update the data (e.g. new published distribution references and details concerning species added to Appendix II at COP11).

References cited in the Annex:

› no comments