

**CONVENTION ON  
MIGRATORY  
SPECIES**

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/CMS/Resolution 9.8

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

---

**RESPONDING TO THE CHALLENGE OF EMERGING AND RE-EMERGING  
DISEASES IN MIGRATORY SPECIES, INCLUDING HIGHLY PATHOGENIC  
AVIAN INFLUENZA H5N1**

Adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its Ninth Meeting (Rome, 1-5 December 2008)

---

*Aware* that diseases of wildlife are a normal cause of mortality and morbidity, and *conscious* that emerging or re-emerging diseases of wildlife can have serious implications for the status of migratory and non-migratory species, especially when populations are small and fragmented;

*Noting* that the increased frequency of such diseases has been linked to processes of landscape fragmentation, unsustainable land-use choices, pollution and other types of ecosystem disruption, these being, in turn, the consequences of unsustainable pressure on resources as highlighted by the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment; and *further noting* that climate change is expected to result in changes in disease distribution and emergence due to altered physiological conditions for hosts and parasites, resulting in the spread of novel micro-organisms with unpredictable consequences or the re-emergence of pathogens in new geographic locations;

*Noting also* that domestic animals, wild animals and humans share many pathogens, with wildlife sometimes being natural reservoirs of pathogens that can cause disease in domestic livestock, and that such pathogens have the potential significantly to affect both public health, food production, livelihoods and wider economies;

*Recalling* the outcomes of Ramsar COP 10 on the theme of ‘*Healthy Wetlands, Healthy People*’, which stressed the functional linkages between the role that wetlands play in providing ecosystem services for the support of both human and wildlife populations; and that aquatic waterbirds and other migratory species can be valuable indicators of ecosystem health;

*Aware* that practical guidance to managing wildlife diseases is of value to Contracting Parties, and the Scientific and Technical Review Panel of Ramsar is currently tasked with developing guidance for wildlife diseases of importance to wetlands as high priority for the 2009-2012 cycle in Ramsar Resolution X.10;

*Aware* that diseases and the need for coordinated responses to them are becoming increasingly highlighted as an important issue in CMS Agreements, Memoranda and other international instruments for the conservation of migratory species, and that such coordinated

surveillance and response efforts require multiple stakeholders responsible for managing the health of humans, livestock and wildlife;

*Noting that* the CMS Secretariat and Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO)'s Animal Health Service have previously discussed developing a Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease, based on the guiding principles of the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds;

*Aware also* of the important work of the FAO and others with regard to domestic animal health and human health, but concerned that national and international responses to wildlife health have, in many situations, yet to be acknowledged as an essential element of disease surveillance or monitoring programmes, epidemiological investigations, and/or outbreak responses;

*Welcoming* the development of national wildlife disease strategies by some Contracting Parties and other governments; *but also noting* that many developing countries lack functional animal health-related programmes and strategies, policies and the infrastructure needed to protect human health, agricultural and wildlife interests from endemic or introduced diseases through local movements, re-establishment programmes, or international trade;

*Aware* of the continued major concerns and implications of the spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) subtype H5N1 of Asian lineage, as reflected, *inter alia*, by CMS Resolution 8.27, AEWA Resolutions 3.18 and 4.15, and Ramsar Resolutions IX.23 and X.21 and the guidance annexed to the latter resolution: guidance on responding to the continued spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1; and *also aware* that national and international responses to the spread of HPAI H5N1 might provide useful models for adoption in response to the challenges of other emerging and re-emerging diseases that affect wildlife;

*Thanking* the CMS Secretariat and the FAO Animal Health Service for their coordination of the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds documented in document Conf. 9.25; and *also thanking* Task Force members and observers for their valuable work in maintaining coordination with respect to policies and advocacy concerning the spread of HPAI H5N1; and

*Noting* that the CMS Working Group on Migratory Species as Vectors of Diseases established by the 12<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Scientific Council provides a means to develop responses to some of the issues highlighted by this Resolution but that integration of both wildlife and domestic animal issues is required to properly understand disease epidemiology as well as address disease transmission, control and prevention;

*The Conference of the Parties to the  
Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals*

1. *Reaffirms* the provisions of Resolution 8.27 on Migratory Species and Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza, and in particular:
  - (i) the need for fully integrated approaches, at both national and international levels, to address HPAI and other animal-borne diseases by bringing ornithological, wildlife and wetland management expertise together with those traditionally responsible for public health and zoonosis, including veterinary, agricultural, virological, epidemiological, and medical expertise; and

- (ii) the need for governments to support coordinated, well-structured and long-term monitoring and surveillance programmes for migratory birds to assess, *inter alia*, current and new disease risks, making best use of, and building on existing schemes, including those developed since 2005;
2. *Calls* on the CMS Secretariat and the FAO Animal Health Service to co-convene a new task force, the Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease; and the Convention *requests* this task force, once convened, to work with the Scientific and Technical Review Panel of Ramsar in its work to develop guidance on responding to wildlife diseases of importance to people, domestic animals and wildlife that are dependent on wetlands;
3. *Also calls* on the CMS Working Group on Migratory Species as Vectors of Diseases to become part of the broader focused Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease and to provide guidance related to past accomplishments and future needs;
4. *Requests* FAO to a) identify diseases that have an impact on both domestic and wildlife species, and that are of greatest concern in regards to food security, economics and sustainable livelihoods; and b) integrate into their “One World One Health” approach, disease and management issues that can be brought to the attention of the Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease for consideration and action;
5. *Also requests* the CMS Secretariat and the FAO Animal Health Service to identify the relevant members and observers that would comprise the Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease, and to determine the relationship between the existing Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds and the proposed Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease;
6. *Urges* the Contracting Parties to integrate wildlife, livestock, human and ecosystem health issues into a) contingency planning, b) monitoring and surveillance, c) outbreak investigations and responses to morbidity and mortality events; and d) current and future capacity building activities;
7. *Congratulates* and *thinks* the members of the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds for their unstinting efforts and output during the period 2005 – 2008 which have made a significant contribution to improving understanding and awareness of the causes of, and responses to, the spread of HPAI H5N1; and *requests that* the CMS Secretariat and FAO continue to act as co-convenors of the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds with the engagement of the CMS Scientific Council, building on international activities already undertaken, and responding to new developments related to the spread of HPAI H5N1 and other subtypes as they occur;
8. *Endorses* the Scientific Summary of H5N1 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza: Wildlife and Conservation Considerations prepared by the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds and attached as Appendix 1 of this resolution as similarly endorsed by AEW Resolution 4.15 and Ramsar Resolution X.21; *calls on* other relevant bodies including FAO, UNEP and MEAs also to endorse this statement, and requests the Secretariat to ensure maximum circulation, translation and understanding of the statement;
- 8 bis. *Encourages* the Contracting Parties to utilise, as appropriate, in relation to issues for migratory species the guidance available in Ramsar Resolution X.21: guidance on responding to the continued spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1;
9. *Agrees* to provide appropriate funding in the CMS budget 2009 - 2011 for the work of CMS in relation to the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds and related aspects of work on avian influenza including awareness-raising and capacity building activities; *urges* other organisational members of the Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds to continue

to contribute to its work, through financial or in-kind support; and *consents* to provide appropriate funding in the CMS budget 2009 – 2011 for the development of the Scientific Task Force on Wildlife Disease; and

10. *Requests* the CMS Secretariat to report progress and developments to COP 10.

## APPENDIX 1: Scientific summary of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1: wildlife and conservation considerations

### Definition of avian influenza

Avian influenza is a highly contagious disease caused by influenza A viruses, affecting many species of birds. Avian influenza is classified according to disease severity into two recognised forms: low pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI) and highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI). LPAI viruses are generally of low virulence, while HPAI viruses are highly virulent in most poultry species resulting in nearly 100% mortality in infected domestic flocks (Center for Infectious Disease Research & Policy 2007). The natural reservoir of LPAI viruses is in wild waterbirds – most commonly in ducks, geese, swans, waders/shorebirds and gulls (Hinshaw & Webster 1982; Webster *et al.* 1992; Stallknecht & Brown 2007).

To date, influenza A viruses representing 16 haemagglutinin (HA) and nine neuraminidase (NA) subtypes have been described in wild birds and poultry throughout the world (Rohm *et al.* 1996; Fouchier *et al.* 2005). Viruses belonging to the antigenic subtypes H5 and H7, in contrast to viruses possessing other HA subtypes, may become highly pathogenic having been transmitted in low pathogenic form from wild birds to poultry and subsequently circulating in poultry populations (Senne *et al.* 1996).

Notifiable avian influenza is defined by the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) as "an infection of poultry caused by any influenza A virus of the H5 or H7 subtypes or by any avian influenza virus with an intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI) greater than 1.2 (or as an alternative at least 75% mortality)" as described by the OIE's Terrestrial Animal Health Code (OIE 2008). The OIE divides notifiable avian influenza into "highly pathogenic notifiable avian influenza (HPNAI) and low pathogenicity notifiable avian influenza (LPNAI):

- HPNAI viruses have an IVPI in 6-week-old chickens greater than 1.2 or, as an alternative, cause at least 75% mortality in 4-to 8-week-old chickens infected intravenously. H5 and H7 viruses which do not have an IVPI of greater than 1.2 or cause less than 75% mortality in an intravenous lethality test should be sequenced to determine whether multiple basic amino acids are present at the cleavage site of the haemagglutinin molecule (HA0); if the amino acid motif is similar to that observed for other HPNAI isolates, the isolate being tested should be considered as HPNAI;
- LPNAI are all influenza A viruses of H5 and H7 subtype that are not HPNAI viruses" (OIE 2008).

### Genesis of highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses

In wild waterbirds, LPAI viruses are a natural part of the ecosystem. They have been isolated from over 90 species of wild bird (Stallknecht & Shane 1988; Olsen *et al.* 2006; Lee 2008), and are thought to have existed alongside wild birds for millennia in balanced systems. In their natural hosts, avian influenza viruses infect the gastrointestinal tract and are shed through the cloaca; they generally do not cause disease although some behavioural anomalies have been reported, such as reduced migratory and foraging performance in Bewick's Swans *Cygnus columbianus bewickii* (van Gils *et al.* 2007). Instead, the viruses remain in evolutionary stasis as indicated by low genetic mutation rates (Gorman *et al.* 1992; Taubenberger *et al.* 2005).

When LPAI viruses are transmitted to vulnerable poultry species, only mild symptoms such as a transient decline in egg production or reduction in weight gain (Capua & Mutinelli 2001) are induced. However, where a dense poultry environment supports several cycles of infection, the viruses may mutate, adapting to their new hosts, and for the H5 and H7 subtypes these mutations can lead to generation of a highly virulent form. Thus, HPAI viruses are essentially products of intensively farmed poultry, and their incidence has increased dramatically with the greatly enhanced volume of poultry production around the world (GRAIN 2006; Greger 2006). In the first few years of the 21<sup>st</sup> century the incidence of HPAI outbreaks has already exceeded the total number of outbreaks recorded for the entire 20<sup>th</sup> century (Greger 2006). In general, they should be viewed as something artificial, made possible by intensive poultry production techniques.

After an HPAI virus has arisen in poultry, it has the potential both to re-infect wild birds and to cause disease in various mammalian taxa. If influenza A viruses adapt inside these new hosts to become highly transmissible, there could be devastating consequences, such as the human influenza pandemics of the 20th century (Kilbourne 2006). The conditions necessary for cross-infection are provided by agricultural practices that bring together humans, poultry and other species in high densities in areas where there is also the potential for viral transmission from infected poultry, poultry products and waste to wild birds, humans and other mammals in shared wetlands and in 'wet' (live animal) markets (Shortridge 1977; Shortridge *et al.* 1977).

### **Highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 of Asian lineage (HPAI H5N1)**

H5N1 HPAI of Asian lineage has infected domestic, captive and wild birds in more than 60 countries in Asia, Europe and Africa (OIE 2008). By November 2005, i.e., before widespread occurrence in western Eurasia and Africa, over 200 million domestic birds had died from the disease or been slaughtered in attempts to control its spread; the economies of the worst affected countries in southeast Asia have suffered greatly, with lost revenue estimated at over \$10 billion (Diouf 2005), and there have been serious human health consequences. By November 2008, the World Health Organisation had confirmed more than 380 human cases, over 60% of those fatal (World Health Organisation 2008).

Sporadic deaths in wild birds have been reported since 2002 and the first outbreak involving a large number of wild birds was reported in May 2005, in Qinghai province, China (Chen *et al.* 2005; Liu *et al.* 2005). Between 2002 and the present, the virus has infected a wide range of wild bird species (Olsen *et al.* 2006; USGS National Wildlife Health Center 2008; Lee 2008), but which species are important in H5N1 HPAI movement and whether the virus will become endemic and prevalent in wild bird populations is still unknown (Brown *et al.* 2006).

The virus has also infected a limited number of domestic, captive and wild mammals, including captive Tigers *Panthera tigris* and Leopards *Panthera pardus* and domestic pigs in southeast Asia, as well as domestic cats and a wild Stone Marten *Martes foina* in Germany. These cases were the result of 'spillover' infection from birds. There is no known reservoir of H5N1 HPAI virus in mammals, and there remains no sound evidence that the virus can be readily transmitted from mammal to mammal.

### **Emergence of H5N1 HPAI in poultry in southeast Asia (1996 – 2005)**

HPAI H5N1 first received widespread recognition following a 1997 outbreak in poultry in Hong Kong, PR China with subsequent spread of the virus to humans. During that outbreak, 18 human cases were recognised and six patients died. The outbreak ended when all domestic chickens held by wholesale facilities and vendors in Hong Kong were slaughtered (Snacken 1999). A precursor to the

1997 H5N1 strain was identified in Guangdong, China, where it caused deaths in domestic geese in 1996 (Webster *et al.* 2006).

Between 1997 and 2002, different reassortments (known as genotypes) of the virus emerged, in domestic goose and duck populations, which contained the same H5 HA gene but had different internal genes (Guan *et al.* 2002; Webster *et al.* 2006).

In 2002, a single genotype emerged in Hong Kong, PR China and killed captive and wild waterbirds in nature parks there. This genotype spread to humans in Hong Kong in February 2002 (infecting two, killing one) and was the precursor to the Z genotype that later became dominant (Sturm-Ramirez *et al.* 2004; Ellis *et al.* 2004).

Between 2003 and 2005, the Z genotype spread in an unprecedented fashion across southeast Asia, affecting domestic poultry in Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, Cambodia, Laos, the Republic of Korea, Japan, China and Malaysia. Later analysis showed that the H5N1 viruses that caused outbreaks in Japan and the Republic of Korea were genetically different from those in other countries (the V genotype) (Mase *et al.* 2005; Li *et al.* 2004; Webster *et al.* 2006).

In April 2005, the first major outbreak in wild birds was reported. Some 6,345 wild birds were reported dead at Lake Qinghai in central China. Species affected included Great Black-headed Gull *Larus ichthyaetus*, Bar-headed Goose *Anser indicus*, Brown-headed Gull *Larus brunnicephalus*, Great Cormorant *Phalacrocorax carbo* and Ruddy Shelduck *Tadorna ferruginea* (Chen *et al.* 2005; Liu *et al.* 2005).

### **Geographical spread of H5N1 HPAI out of Southeast Asia (2005 – 2006)**

In July 2005, Russia reported its first outbreaks; domestic flocks were affected in six regions of western Siberia and dead wild birds were reported in the vicinities of some of these outbreaks. Kazakhstan reported its first outbreak in August 2005 in domestic birds. In the same month, 89 wild birds described as migratory species were reported infected at two lakes in Mongolia.

Europe reported its first outbreaks in October 2005 when infection was detected in domestic birds in Romania and Turkey. In the same month, Romania reported sporadic cases in wild birds as did Croatia and European parts of Russia. In November, the virus spread to domestic birds in the Ukraine, and the Middle East reported its first case: a captive flamingo in Kuwait. During December, two outbreaks were reported in European Russia in wild swans (species unreported) in regions near the Caspian Sea.

In the first half of 2006, the spread of HPAI H5N1 continued across Europe (Sabirovic *et al.* 2006; Hesterberg *et al.* 2007; Hesterberg *et al.* in press) and the Middle East and into Africa. Between January and May, infection was reported in 24 European countries with the majority of cases occurring in February and March in wild birds. During the same period, outbreaks were reported across central Asia and the Middle East, affecting domestic birds in Azerbaijan, India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Iran and Iraq, with Azerbaijan also reporting infected wild birds. The first reported outbreak in Africa occurred in January in poultry in Nigeria, and by the end of April, eight other African nations had reported outbreaks: Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Djibouti, Egypt, Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire, Niger and Sudan (OIE 2008).

By May 2006, reports of outbreaks in Europe, the Middle East and Africa had for the most part decreased in frequency. Small numbers of cases of infection were reported in Hungary, Spain and the Ukraine in June, Pakistan and Russia in July, and one case was identified in a captive swan in Germany in August. Egypt was exceptional, continuously reporting outbreaks throughout 2006. It is

also considered likely that outbreaks continued in poultry in Nigeria (UN System Influenza Coordinator & World Bank 2007).

Throughout the time H5N1 HPAI was spreading across central Asia, Europe, the Middle East and Africa, it maintained a stronghold in poultry in southeast Asia. In 2006, outbreaks were reported in Cambodia, PR China including Hong Kong, Indonesia, the Republic of Korea, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Thailand and Vietnam (OIE 2008).

### **Period following the geographic spread westward (2007 – October 2008)**

Compared with 54 countries reporting 1,470 outbreaks to the OIE in 2006, 30 countries reported 638 outbreaks in 2007 (OIE 2008). In 2007, six European countries (Poland, Hungary, Germany, the United Kingdom, Romania and the Czech Republic) reported sporadic and relatively isolated outbreaks in poultry that were quickly controlled. Outbreaks in domestic birds were also reported in European parts of Russia and in Turkey. Infected wild birds were reported in Germany, France, the United Kingdom and the Czech Republic, and birds at a rehabilitation centre were affected in Poland. In the Middle East and central Asia, poultry outbreaks occurred throughout 2007. Some 350 outbreaks were reported from Egypt and Bangladesh alone. Poultry (and in some cases captive birds) were also affected in India, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Afghanistan and Israel with most outbreaks occurring between February and April, and again between October and December. In Africa, H5N1 HPAI was reported in domestic birds in Togo, Ghana and Benin, and is considered to have become endemic in Nigeria (OIE 2008; UN System Influenza Coordinator & World Bank 2007). Again, as in 2006, poultry outbreaks continued across southeast Asia. Sporadic cases in wild birds were reported in Japan and Hong Kong, PR China. By the end of 2007, the virus was considered to be endemic in poultry in Egypt, Indonesia and Nigeria, and possibly endemic in Bangladesh and China (UN System Influenza Coordinator & World Bank 2007).

Until the end of October 2008, no new countries had reported outbreaks. Outbreaks in domestic birds were reported in Bangladesh, China, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Republic of Korea, Russia, Turkey and Vietnam between January and July, with outbreaks in Bangladesh, Germany, Laos, Togo and Vietnam in September and October. Infected wild birds were reported in four countries: Mute Swans *Cygnus olor* and a Canada Goose *Branta canadensis* in the United Kingdom in January and February; sick and dead swans in three areas of Japan in April and May; one apparently asymptomatic Pochard *Aythya ferina* in Switzerland in March; and one dead House Crow *Corvus splendens* in Hong Kong, PR China in October. Bangladesh reported its first human case of H5N1 infection in March. China, Egypt, Indonesia and Vietnam also reported human cases in 2008.

### **Significant outbreaks of HPAI H5N1 in wild birds**

Prior to HPAI H5N1, reports of HPAI in wild birds were very rare. The broad geographical scale and extent of the disease in wild birds is both extraordinary and unprecedented. The following table (Table 1) summarises the known major outbreaks of HPAI H5N1 in wild birds.

Table 1: Significant known outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 in wild birds\*

Year	Month(s)	Location(s)	Description of affected birds
2005	April	Lake Qinghai in central China	6,345 waterbirds, the majority of which were Great Black-headed Gulls <i>Larus ichthyaetus</i> , Bar-headed Geese <i>Anser indicus</i> and Brown-headed Gulls <i>Larus brunnicephalus</i>
	July	Lake Chany, Russia	Over 5000 wild birds including Pochard <i>Aythya ferina</i> , Mallard <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> , and Teal <i>Anas crecca</i>
	August	Lake Erhel & Lake Khunt in Mongolia	89 waterbirds including ducks, geese and swans
	October – November	Romania & Croatia	Over 180 waterbirds, mainly swans
2006	January	Coastal area in the vicinity of Baku, Azerbaijan	Unspecified number of birds reported to the OIE as “various migratory birds”
	January – May	23 countries in Europe including Turkey and European Russia	Most cases occurred in ducks, geese and swans but a wide variety of species was infected including other waterbirds and raptors
	February	Rasht, Iran	153 wild swans
	May	Multiple locations in Qinghai province, China	Over 900, mainly waterbirds, and mostly Bar-headed Geese <i>Anser indicus</i>
	May	Naqu, Tibet	Over 2,300 birds – species composition unclear but 300 infected Bar-headed Geese <i>Anser indicus</i> were reported
	June	Lake Khunt in Mongolia	Twelve waterbirds including swans, geese and gulls
	Summer	Tuva Republic, Russia	Over 3100 wild birds mainly Great Crested Grebe <i>Podiceps cristatus</i>
2007	June	Germany, France and the Czech Republic	Over 290, mainly waterbirds, found mostly in Germany

Numerous species of wild birds, especially waterbirds, are susceptible to infection by the H5N1 HPAI virus. Close contact between poultry and wild birds can lead to cross-infection, from poultry to wild birds and from wild birds to poultry. Additionally, species that live in and around poultry farms and human habitations may hypothetically serve as “bridge species” that could transmit the virus between poultry and wild birds either by direct contact between wild birds and poultry kept outside or by indirect contact with contaminated materials. While there is no sound evidence that wild birds have carried the virus long distances on migration (Feare & Yasué 2006), analysis of genetic sequences and other largely indirect evidence suggests that wild birds are likely to have contributed to spread (Chen *et al.* 2006; Keawcharoen *et al.* 2008; Kilpatrick *et al.* 2006; Hesterberg *et al.* 2007; Weber & Stilianakis 2007). The relative importance of different modes of infection transfer, however, is unclear in the present state of knowledge.

Poor planning in response to development pressures has led to the increasing loss or degradation of wild ecosystems, which are the natural habitats for wild birds. This has resulted in closer contact between wild populations, domesticated birds such as chickens, ducks, geese, and other domestic fowl, and humans and has thus provided greater opportunities for the spread of HPAI H5N1 between wild and domestic birds, and thence to humans. The interplay between agriculture, animal (domestic and wild) health, human health, ecosystem health, and socio-cultural factors has been important in the emergence and spread of the virus.

\* Data sources include OIE disease information reports, FAO, the German Friedrich-Loeffler Institute epidemiological bulletins, and Russian, Bird Disease Laboratory – dates, locations and numbers may differ slightly in other sources.

## Avian influenza and wetlands

Given the ecology of the natural hosts of LPAI viruses, it is unsurprising that wetlands play a major role in the natural epidemiology of avian influenza. As with many other viruses, avian influenza virions survive longer in colder water (Lu *et al.* 2003; Stallknecht *et al.* 1990), and the virus is strongly suggested to survive over winter in frozen lakes in Arctic and sub-Arctic breeding areas. Thus, as well as the waterbird hosts, these wetlands are probably permanent reservoirs of LPAI virus (Rogers *et al.* 2004; Smith *et al.* 2004) (re-)infecting waterbirds arriving from southerly areas to breed (shown in Siberia by Okazaki *et al.* 2000 and Alaska by Ito *et al.* 1995). Indeed, in some wetlands used as staging grounds by large numbers of migratory ducks, avian influenza viral particles can be readily isolated from lake water (Hinshaw *et al.* 1980).

An agricultural practice that provides ideal conditions for cross-infection and thus genetic change is used on some fish-farms in Asia: battery cages of poultry are placed directly over troughs in pig-pens, which in turn are positioned over fish farms. The poultry waste feeds the pigs, the pig waste is either eaten by the fish or acts as a fertiliser for aquatic fish food, and the pond water is sometimes recycled as drinking water for the pigs and poultry (Greger 2006). These kinds of agricultural practices afford avian influenza viruses, which are spread via the faecal-oral route, an opportunity to cycle through a mammalian species, accumulating the mutations necessary to adapt to mammalian hosts. Thus, as the use of such practices increases, so does the likelihood that new influenza strains infectious to and transmissible between humans will emerge (Culliton 1990; Greger 2006).

As well as providing conditions for virus mutation and generation, agricultural practices, particularly those used on wetlands, can enhance the ability of a virus to spread. The role of Asian domestic ducks in the epidemiology of H5N1 HPAI has been closely researched and found to be central not only to the genesis of the virus (Hulse-Post *et al.* 2005; Sims 2007), but also to its spread and the maintenance of infection in several Asian countries (Shortridge & Melville 2006). Typically this has involved flocks of domestic ducks used for ‘cleaning’ rice paddies of unharvested grain and various pests, during which they can potentially have contact with wild ducks using the same wetlands. Detailed research (Gilbert *et al.* 2006; Songserm *et al.* 2006) in Thailand has demonstrated a strong association between the H5N1 HPAI virus and abundance of free-grazing ducks. Gilbert *et al.* (2006) concluded that in Thailand “wetlands used for double-crop rice production, where free-grazing duck feed year round in rice paddies, appear to be a critical factor in HPAI persistence and spread”.

## Wildlife conservation implications

Prior to H5N1HPAI, reports of HPAI in wild birds were very rare. The broad geographical scale and extent of the disease in wild birds is both extraordinary and unprecedented, and the conservation impacts of H5N1 HPAI have been significant.

It is estimated that between 5-10% of the world population of Bar-headed Goose *Anser indicus* died at Lake Qinghai, China, in spring 2005 (Chen *et al.* 2005; Liu *et al.* 2005). At least two globally threatened species have been affected: Black-necked Crane *Grus nigricollis* in China and Red-breasted Goose *Branta ruficollis* in Greece. Approximately 90% of the world population of Red-breasted Goose is confined to just five roost sites in Romania and Bulgaria, countries that have both reported outbreaks, as also have Russia and Ukraine where they also over-winter (BirdLife International 2007).

However, the total number of wild birds known to have been affected has been small in contrast to the number of domestic birds affected, and many more wild birds die of more common avian

diseases each year. Perhaps a greater threat than direct mortality has been the development of public fear about waterbirds resulting in misguided attempts to control the disease by disturbing or destroying wild birds and their habitats. Such responses are often encouraged by exaggerated or misleading messages in the media.

Currently, wildlife health problems are being created or exacerbated by unsustainable activities such as habitat loss or degradation, which facilitates closer contact between domestic and wild animals. Many advocate that to reduce risk of avian influenza and other bird diseases, there is a need to move to markedly more sustainable systems of agriculture with significantly lower intensity systems of poultry production. These need to be more biosecure, separated from wild waterbirds and their natural wetland habitats resulting in far fewer opportunities for viral cross-infection and thus pathogenetic amplification (Greger 2006). There are major animal and human health consequences (in terms of the impact on economies, food security and potential implications of a human influenza pandemic) of not strategically addressing these issues. However, to deliver such an objective in a world with an ever-growing human population and with issues of food-security in many developing countries, will be a major policy challenge.

## References

- BirdLife International 2007. BirdLife statement on avian influenza. Available from [http://www.birdlife.org/action/science/species/avian\\_flu/index.html](http://www.birdlife.org/action/science/species/avian_flu/index.html).
- Brown, J.D., Stallknecht, D.E., Beck, J.R., Suarez, D.L. & Swayne, D.E. 2006. Susceptibility of North American ducks and gulls to H5N1 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza viruses. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12(11): 1663-1670.
- Capua, I. & Mutinelli, F. 2001. Low pathogenicity (LPAI) and highly pathogenic (HPAI) avian influenza in turkeys and chicken. Pp. 13-20. In: Capua, I. & Mutinelli F. (eds.). *A Colour Atlas and Text on Avian Influenza*. Papi Editore, Bologna, Italy.
- Center for Infectious Disease Research & Policy. 2007. Avian Influenza (Bird Flu): Agricultural and Wildlife Considerations. Available from <http://www.cidrapforum.org/cidrap/content/influenza/avianflu/biofacts/avflu.html>.
- Chen, H., Smith, G.J.D., Zhang, S.Y., Oin, K., Wang, J., Li, K.S., Webster, R.G., Peiris, J.S.M. & Guan, Y. 2005. H5N1 virus outbreak in migratory waterfowl. *Nature Online*. Available from <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/nature03974.html>.
- Culliton, B.J. 1990. Emerging viruses, emerging threat. *Science* 247: 279-280.
- Diouf, J. 2005. Address on Avian Influenza to 33rd FAO Conference. Available from <http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/subjects/avian/conf05-AI/J6651e-DG.pdf>.
- Ellis, T.M., Bousfield, R.B., Bisset, L.A., Dyrting, K.C., Luk, G., Tsim, S.T., Sturm-Ramirez, K., Webster, R.G., Guan, Y., & Peiris, J.S. 2004. Investigation of outbreaks of highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza in waterfowl and wild birds in Hong Kong in late 2002. *Avian Pathology* 33(5): 492-505.
- Feare, C.J. & Yasué, M. 2006. Asymptomatic infection with highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 in wild birds: how sound is the evidence? *Virology Journal*. 3.96. doi:10.1186/1742-422X\_3\_96.
- Fouchier, R.A.M., Munster, V., Wallensten, A., Bestebroer, T.M., Herfst, S., Smith, D., Rimmelzwaan, G.F., Olsen, B. & Osterhaus, D.M.E. 2005. Characterization of a novel influenza A virus hemagglutinin subtype (H16) obtained from Black-headed Gulls. *Journal of Virology* 79: 2814-2822.
- Gilbert, M., Chaitaweesub, P., Parakamawongsa, T., Premasathira, S., Tiensin, T., Kalpravidh, W., Wagner, H. & Slingenbergh, J. 2006. Free-grazing ducks and highly pathogenic avian influenza, Thailand. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12(2): 227-234.
- Gorman, O.T., Bean, W.J. & Webster, R.G. 1992. Evolutionary processes in influenza viruses: divergence, rapid evolution, and stasis. *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology* 176: 75-97.
- GRAIN 2006. *Fowl play: The poultry industry's central role in the bird flu crisis*. GRAIN Briefing, February 2006. Available from <http://www.grain.org/briefings/?id=194>.
- Greger, M. 2006. *Bird Flu: a virus of our own hatching*. Lantern Books, New York. 465 pp.
- Guan Y, Peiris, J.S., Lipatov, A.S., Ellis, T.M., Dyrting, K.C. Krauss, S., Zhang, L.J., Webster, R.G. &

- Shortridge, K.F. 2002. Emergence of multiple genotypes of H5N1 avian influenza viruses in Hong Kong SAR. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99(13): 8950-8955.
- Hesterberg, U., Harris, K., Cook A. & Brown, I. 2007. *Annual Report. Surveillance for avian influenza in wild birds carried out by Member States. February- December 2006*. Community Reference Laboratory for avian influenza and Newcastle disease. 53 pp. Available from [http://ec.europa.eu/food/animal/diseases/controlmeasures/avian/annrepres\\_surv\\_wb\\_02-12-2006\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/food/animal/diseases/controlmeasures/avian/annrepres_surv_wb_02-12-2006_en.pdf).
- Hesterberg, U.W., Harris, K., Stroud, D.A., Guberti, V., Busani, L., Pittman, M., Piazza, V., Cook, A. & Brown, I.H. in press. Avian influenza surveillance in wild birds in the European Union in 2006. *Influenza and other respiratory diseases*.
- Hinshaw, V.S. & Webster, R.G. 1982. The natural history of influenza A viruses. In: *Basic and applied influenza research*. Beare, A.S. (ed.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. Pp. 79-104.
- Hinshaw, V.S., Webster, R.G. & Turner, B. 1980. The perpetuation of orthomyxoviruses and paramyxoviruses in Canadian waterfowl. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 26: 622-629.
- Hulse-Post, D.J., Sturm-Ramirez, K.M., Humberd, J., Seiler, P., Govorkova, E.A., Krauss, S., Scholtissek, C., Puthavathana, P., Buranathai, C., Nguyen, T.D., Long, H.T., Naipospos, T.S.P., Chen, H., Ellis, T.M., Guan, Y., Peiris, J.S.M. & Webster, R.G. 2005. Role of domestic ducks in the propagation and biological evolution of highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza viruses in Asia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102: 10682-10687. Available from <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/102/30/10682>.
- Ito, T., Okazaki, K., Kawaoka, Y., Takada, A., Webster, R.G. & Kida, H. 1995. Perpetuation of influenza A viruses in Alaskan waterfowl reservoirs. *Archives of Virology* 140: 1163-1172.
- Keawcharoen, J., van Riel, D., van Amerongen, G., Bestebroer, T., Beyer, W.E., van Lavieren, R., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., Fouchier, R.A.M. & Kuiken, T. 2008. Wild ducks as long-distance vectors of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N1). *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 14 (4): 600-607.
- Kilbourne, E.D. 2006. Influenza pandemics of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12(1): 9-14.
- Kilpatrick, M., Chmura, A.A., Gibbons, D.W., Fleischer, R.C., Marra, P.P. & Daszak, P. 2006. Predicting the global spread of H5N1 avian influenza. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103(15): 19368-19373. Available from <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/reprint/103/15/19368>.
- Lee, R.. 2008. Unpublished review of wild bird species reported as infected with HPAI H5N1. WWT, Slimbridge, UK.
- Li, K., Guan, S.Y., Wang, J., Smith, G.J., Xu K.M., Duan L., Rahardjo, A.P., Puthavathana, P., Buranathai, C., Nguyen, T.D., Estoepangestie, A.T., Chaisingh, A., Auewarakul, P., Long, H.T., Hanh, N.T., Webby, R.J., Poon, L.L., Chen, H., Shortridge, K.F., Yuen, K.Y., Webster, R.G. & Peiris, J.S. 2004. Genesis of a highly pathogenic and potentially pandemic H5N1 influenza virus in eastern Asia. *Nature* 430 (6996):209-213.
- Liu, J., Xiao, H., Lei, F., Zhu, Q., Qin, K., Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Zhao, D., Wang, G., Feng, Y., Ma, J., Liu, W., Wang, J. & Gao, F. 2005. Highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in migratory birds. *Science Online*. Available from <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/309/5738/1206>.
- Lu, H., Castro, A.E., Pennick, K., Liu, J., Yang, Q., Dunn, P., Weinstock, D., & Henzler, D. 2003. Survival of avian influenza virus H7N2 in SPF chickens and their environments. *Avian Diseases* 47: 1015-1021.
- Mase, M., Tsukamoto, K., Imada, T., Imai, K., Tanimura, N., Nakamura, K., Yamamoto, Y., Hitomi, T., Kira, T., Nakai, T., Kiso, M., Horimoto, T., Kawaoka, Y. & Yamaguchi, S. 2005. Characterization of H5N1 influenza A viruses isolated during the 2003-2004 influenza outbreaks in Japan. *Virology* 332(1): 167-176.
- OIE 2008. Terrestrial Animal Health Code. Available from [http://www.oie.int/eng/Normes/mcode/en\\_sommaire.htm](http://www.oie.int/eng/Normes/mcode/en_sommaire.htm).
- OIE 2008. Update on highly pathogenic avian influenza in animals (type H5 and H7). Available from [http://www.oie.int/download/AVIAN%20INFLUENZA/A\\_AI-Asia.htm](http://www.oie.int/download/AVIAN%20INFLUENZA/A_AI-Asia.htm).
- Okazaki, K., Takada, A., Ito, T., Imai, M., Takakuwa, H., Hatta, M., Ozaki, H., Tnizaki, T., Nagano, T., Ninomiya, A., Demenev, V.A., Tyaptirganov, M.M., Karatayeva, T.D., Yanmikova, S.S., Lvov, D.K. & Kida, H. 2000. Precursor genes of future pandemic influenza viruses are perpetuated in ducks nesting in Siberia. *Archives of Virology* 145: 885-893.
- Olsen, B., Munster, V.J., Wallensten, A., Waldenstrom, J., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E. & Fouchier, R.A.M. 2006. Global patterns of influenza a virus in wild birds. *Science* 312: 384-388.
- Rogers, S.O., Starmer, W.T., Castello, J.D. 2004. Recycling of pathogenic microbes through survival in

- ice. *Medical Hypotheses* 63: 773-777.
- Rohm, C., Zhou, N., Suss, J., Mackenzie, J. & Webster, R.G. 1996. Characterization of a novel influenza hemagglutinin, H15: criteria for determination of influenza A subtypes. *Virology* 217:508-516.
- Sabirovic, M., Wilesmith, J., Hall, S., Coulson, N., Landeg, F. 2006. Situation Analysis – Outbreaks of HPAI H5N1 virus in Europe during 2005/2006 – An overview and commentary. DEFRA, International Animal Health Division, United Kingdom. 40 pp. Available from <http://www.defra.gov.uk/animalh/diseases/monitoring/pdf/hpai-europe300606.pdf>.
- Senne, D.A., Panigrahy, B., Kawaoka, Y., Pearson, J.E., Suss, J., Lipkind, M., Kida, H. & Webster, R.G. 1996. Survey of the hemagglutinin (HA) cleavage site sequence of H5 and H7 avian influenza viruses: amino acid sequence at the HA cleavage site as a marker of pathogenicity potential. *Avian Diseases* 40(2): 425-437.
- Shortridge, K.F. & Melville, D.S. 2006. Domestic poultry and migratory birds in the interspecies transmission of avian influenza viruses: a view from Hong Kong. In *Waterbirds around the world*. Eds. G.C. Boere, C.A. Galbraith & D.A. Stroud. The Stationery Office, Edinburgh, UK. Pp. 427-431.
- Shortridge, K.F. 1997. Is China an influenza epicentre? *Chinese Medical Journal* 110: 637-641.
- Shortridge, K.F., Webster, R.G., Butterfield, W.K. & Campbell, C.H. 1977. Persistence of Hong Kong influenza virus variants in pigs. *Science* 196: 1454-1455.
- Sims, L.D. 2007. Lessons learned from Asian H5N1 outbreak control. *Avian Diseases* 50: 174-181.
- Smith, A.W., Skilling, D.E., Castello, J.D., Rogers, S.O. 2004. Ice as a reservoir for pathogenic human viruses: specifically, caliciviruses, influenza viruses, and enteroviruses. *Medical Hypotheses* 63: 560-566.
- Snacken, R., Kendal, A.P., Haaheim, L.R. & Wood, J.M.. 1999. The next influenza pandemic: lessons from Hong Kong, 1997. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 5:195-203.
- Songserm, T., Jam-on, R., Sae-Heng, N., Meemak, N., Hulse-Post, D.J., Sturm-Ramirez, K.M., & Webster, R.J. 2006. Domestic ducks and H5N1 Influenza Epidemic, Thailand. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12(4): 575-581.
- Stallknecht, D.E. & Shane, S.M. 1988. Host range of avian influenza virus in free-living birds. *Veterinary Research Communications* 12: 125-141.
- Stallknecht, D.E. & Brown, J.D. 2007. Wild birds and the epidemiology of avian influenza. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 43(3) Supplement: S15-20.
- Stallknecht, D.E., Shane, S.M., Kearney, M.T., Zwank, P.J. 1990. Persistence of avian influenza viruses in water. *Avian Diseases* 34: 406-411.
- Sturm-Ramirez, K.M., Ellis, T., Bousfield, B., Bissett, L., Dyrting, K., Rehg, J.E., Poon, L., Guan, Y., Peiris, M. & Webster, R.G. 2004. Re-emerging H5N1 influenza viruses in Hong Kong in 2002 are highly pathogenic to ducks. *Journal of Virology* 78: 4892-4901.
- Taubenberger, J.K., Reid, A.H., Lourens, R.M., Wang, R., Jin, G. & Fanning, T.G. 2005. Characterization of the 1918 influenza virus polymerase genes. *Nature* 437(7060):889-93.
- UN System Influenza Coordinator & World Bank 2007. Third global progress report on responses to avian influenza and state of pandemic readiness. Available from [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTTOPAVIFLU/Resources/UN\\_WB\\_AHI\\_ProgressReportFinal.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTTOPAVIFLU/Resources/UN_WB_AHI_ProgressReportFinal.pdf).
- USGS National Wildlife Health Center 2008. List of species affected by H5N1. Available from [http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease\\_information/avian\\_influenza/affected\\_species\\_chart.jsp](http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/avian_influenza/affected_species_chart.jsp).
- van Gils, J.A., Munster, V.J., Radersma, R., Liefhebber, D., Fouchier, R.A. & Klaasen, M. 2007. Hampered Foraging and Migratory Performance in Swans Infected with Low-Pathogenic Avian Influenza A Virus. *PLoS ONE* 2(1): e184. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000184.
- Weber, T.P. & Stilianakis, N.I. 2007. Ecologic immunity of avian influenza (H5N1) in migratory birds. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 13: 1139-1143.
- Webster, R.G., Peiris, M., Chen, H. & Guan, Y. 2006. H5N1 outbreaks and enzootic influenza. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12(1): 3-8.
- Webster, R.G., Bean, W.J., Gorman, O.T., Chambers, T.M. & Kawaoka, Y. 1992. Evolution and ecology of Influenza A viruses. *Microbiological Reviews* 56(1): 152-179.
- World Health Organisation 2008. Cumulative Number of Confirmed Human Cases of Avian Influenza A/(H5N1) Reported to WHO. Available from [http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian\\_influenza/country/cases\\_table\\_2008\\_03\\_11/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian_influenza/country/cases_table_2008_03_11/en/index.html) Accessed 11 March 2008.

