DRAFT NATIONAL REPORT FORMAT

(Prepared by the Secretariat)

1. MoU Paragraph 6 refers to reporting. CMS Parties also signatory to the MoU should in their national reports to the CMS Conference of Parties make specific reference to activities undertaken in relation to the MoU. In addition, non-CMS Party MoU Signatory States are to prepare a report on their implementation of the MoU.

2. With the assistance of BirdLife International, the Secretariat has developed for the consideration of the meeting a comprehensive draft national report format to be used as the basis for future reporting under the MoU. The report format is based on the Action Plan. The questions presented go beyond the scope of information already requested from CMS Contracting Parties for national reports to the CMS Conference of the Parties.

3. It is foreseen that the report format would provide a basis to make reports from the Signatory States more consistent, while making the information provided amenable to synthesis and analysis for the Secretariat’s Overview Report.

4. It is also hoped that the report format would facilitate future input of the information generated from the MoU into the CMS Information Management System (IMS), available on the CMS website, a step foreseen in the CMS Information Management Plan provided in Resolution 6.5 of the Sixth Meeting of the CMS Conference of the Parties. The UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre developed under contract to CMS the Information Management System. The IMS is seen as a key tool to be used in measuring the achievement by CMS and its instruments of the target set by the World Summit on Sustainable Development to significantly reduce the loss of biodiversity by 2010.

5. Under this agenda item the meeting may wish to consider other aspects of information management potentially relevant for the MoU, such as those noted in the draft the Medium Term International Work Programme, including the ways and means to achieve them. For example, the proposed activity associated with action point 1.7 under the draft work programme suggests establishing a web-based information management system for the MoU with inter alia a register of ongoing and completed projects and their outcomes, research reports and other relevant information. This information would not only potentially support the MoU’s implementation, but it would also support measuring the achievement of the 2010 target. The possibility may exist for reporting to be undertaken on line as well but this would need to be explored. Other considerations include the financing necessary to achieve information management objectives.
6. Finally the meeting may wish to consider the response rate for national reports submitted to this meeting and what options there may be to improve the response rate for future meetings.

**Action requested:**

The Signatory States are invited to:

- Consider and adopt the draft national report format.
- Identify and consider other reporting issues, as well as general information management issues, potentially relevant for the MoU and the ways and means to address them.
DRAFT
REPORTING FORMAT FOR THE GREAT BUSTARD MOU AND ACTION PLAN

This reporting format is designed to monitor the implementation of the Action Plan associated with the Memorandum of Understanding on the Conservation and Management of the Middle-European Population of the Great Bustard (*Otis tarda*). Reporting on the Action Plan’s implementation will support exchange of information throughout the range and assist the identification of necessary future actions by the Signatory States. The questions presented here go beyond the scope of information already requested from CMS Contracting Parties for national reports to the CMS Conference of the Parties.

## GENERAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Agency or institution responsible for the preparation of this report</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>List any other agencies, institutions, or NGOs that have provided input</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Reports submitted to date:** |
| **First:** (Period covered) |
| **Second:** |

| **Period covered by this report** |
| (day) (month) (year) to (day) (month) (year): |

| **Memorandum in effect in country since:** |
| [Date: dd / mm / yy]: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Designated Focal Point (and full contact details):</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
PART I. GENERAL

This questionnaire follows the structure and numbering of the Action Plan annexed to the Memorandum of Understanding to make it easier to read the relevant action points before the form is filled in. In some cases, however, sub-actions were not listed separately for the sake of simplicity and to avoid duplications. They should however be taken into consideration when answering the questions.

0. National work programme

Is there a national work programme or action plan already in place in your country for the Great Bustard pursuant to Paragraph 4(g) of the Memorandum of Understanding?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

1. Habitat protection

1.1 Designation of protected areas.
To what extent are the display, breeding, stop-over and wintering sites covered by protected areas?

☐ Fully (>75%)
☐ High (50-75%)
☐ Medium (10-49%)
☐ Low (<10%)
☐ None

What measures were taken to ensure the adequate protection of the species and its habitat at these sites?

Where are the remaining gaps?

Are currently unoccupied, but potential breeding habitats identified in your country?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

If yes, please explain how these areas are protected or managed to enable the re-establishment of Great Bustard.

1.2 Measures taken to ensure the maintenance of Great Bustard habitats outside of protected areas.
Please describe what measures have been taken to maintain land-use practices beneficial for Great Bustard outside of protected areas (e.g., set-aside and extensification schemes, cultivation of alfalfa and oilseed rape for winter, maintenance of rotational grazing, etc.).

To what extent do these measures, combined with site protection, cover the national population?

☐ Fully (>75%)
☐ Most (50-75%)
☐ Some (10-49%)
☐ Negligible (<10%)
☐ Not at all
Are recently (over the last 20 years) abandoned Great Bustard breeding habitats mapped in your country? □ Yes □ No

What habitat management measures have been taken to encourage the return of Great Bustard?

If there were any measures taken, please provide information on their impact.

1.3 Measures taken to avoid fragmentation of Great Bustard habitats.
Are new projects potentially causing fragmentation of the species’ habitat (such as construction of highways and railways, irrigation, planting of shelterbelts, afforestation, power lines, etc.) subject to environmental impact assessment in your country? □ Yes □ No

Is there any aspect of the existing legislation on impact assessment that limits its effective application to prevent fragmentation of Great Bustard habitats? □ Yes □ No

If yes, please provide details.

Have there been any such projects implemented in any Great Bustard habitat in your country since signing this Memorandum of Understanding? □ Yes □ No

Please, give details and describe the outcome of impact monitoring if available.

2. Prevention of hunting, disturbance and other threats

2.1 Hunting.
Is Great Bustard afforded strict legal protection in your country? □ Yes □ No

Please, give details of any hunting restrictions imposed for the benefit of Great Bustard including those on timing of hunting and game management activities.

Please, indicate to what extent these measures ensure the protection of the national Great Bustard population?
□ Fully (>75%)
□ Most (50-75%)
□ Some (10-49%)
□ Negligible (<10%)
□ Not at all

2.2 Prevention of disturbance.
What measures have been taken to prevent disturbance of Great Bustard in your country, including both breeding birds and single individuals or small flocks on migration?
Please, indicate to what extent these measures have ensured the protection of the national population.
☐ Fully (>75%)
☐ Most (50-75%)
☐ Some (10-49%)
☐ Negligible (<10%)
☐ Not at all

2.3.1 Prevention of predation.
What is the significance of predation to Great Bustard in your country?

What are the main predator species?

What measures have been taken to control predators in areas where Great Bustard occurs regularly?

How effective were these measures?
☐ Effective (predation reduced by more than 50%)
☐ Partially effective (predation reduced by 10–49%)
☐ Ineffective (predation reduced by less than 10%)

2.3.2 Adoption of measures for power lines.
What is the significance of collision with power lines in your country?

What proactive and corrective measures have been taken to reduce the mortality caused by existing power lines in your country?

What is the size of the populations affected by these corrective measures?

How effective were these measures?
☐ Effective (collision with power lines reduced by more than 50%)
☐ Partially effective (collision with power lines reduced by 10–49%)
☐ Ineffective (collision with power lines reduced by less than 10%)

2.3.3 Compensatory measures.
What is the size (in hectares) of Great Bustard habitat lost or degraded for any reasons since the Memorandum of Understanding entered into effect (1 June 2001)?

What is the size of the populations affected?

Were these habitat losses compensated?  ☐ Yes  ☐ Partially  ☐ No

If yes, please explain how.
Were these measures effective?  □ Yes  □ Partially  □ No

Please, give details on the effectiveness or explain why they were not effective if that is the case.

### 3. Possession and trade

Is collection of Great Bustard eggs or chicks, the possession of and trade in the birds and their eggs prohibited in your country?  □ Yes  □ No

How are these restrictions enforced? What are the remaining shortcomings, if any?

Please indicate if any exemption is granted or not all of these activities are prohibited.

### 4. Recovery measures

#### 4.1 Captive breeding in emergency situations.

Is captive breeding playing any role in Great Bustard conservation in your country?  □ Yes  □ No

Please, describe the measures, staff and facilities involved and how these operations comply with the IUCN criteria on reintroductions.

#### 4.2 Reintroduction.

Have there been any measures taken to reintroduce the species in your country?  □ Yes  □ No

If yes, please describe the progress. If there was any feasibility study carried out, please summarize its conclusions.

#### 4.3 Monitoring of the success of release programmes.

Are captive reared birds released in your country?  □ Yes  □ No

If yes, please summarize the experience with release programmes in your country. What is the survival rate of released birds? What is the breeding performance of released birds?

What is the overall assessment of release programmes based on the survival of released birds one year after release?

□ Effective (the survival is about the same as of the wild ones)
□ Partially effective (the survival rate is lower than 75% of the wild birds)
□ Ineffective (the survival is less than 25% of wild birds)

### 5. Cross-border conservation measure

Has your country undertaken any cross-border conservation measures with neighbouring countries?  □ Yes  □ No

Please, give details of your country’s collaboration with neighbouring countries on national surveys, research, monitoring and conservation activities for Great Bustard. Especially, list any measures taken to harmonise legal instruments protecting Great Bustard and its habitats, as well as funding you have provided to Great Bustard for particular conservation actions in other Range States.
6. Monitoring and research

6.1.1 Monitoring of population size and population trends.

Are the breeding, migratory or wintering Great Bustard populations monitored in your country? □ Yes □ No

What proportion of the national population is monitored?
□ All (>75%)
□ Most (50-75%)
□ Some (10-49%)
□ Negligible (<10%)
□ None

What is the size and trend in the national population?

Breeding/resident population

- No. of adult males: ______
- No. of females: ______
- No. immature males: ______

Trend: □ Declined by __% over the last 10 years
□ Stable
□ Increased by __% over the last 10 years

Non-breeding population (on passage, wintering) (only if it is different from the resident population)

- No. of adult males: ______
- No. of females: ______
- No. immature males: ______

Trend: □ Declined by __% over the last 10 years
□ Stable
□ Increased by __% over the last 10 years

6.1.2 Monitoring of the effects of habitat management.

Is the effect of habitat conservation measures monitored in your country? □ Yes □ Partially □ No

Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published.

What can be learned from these studies?

What are the remaining gaps and what measures will your country do to address these gaps?

6.2.1 Comparative ecological studies.

Have there been any comparative studies carried out on the population dynamics, habitat requirements, effects of habitat changes and causes of decline in your country in collaboration with other Range States? □ Yes □ No

Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published.

What can be learned from these studies?

What are the remaining gaps where the Memorandum of Understanding could assist?
6.2.2 Studies on mortality factors.
Are the causes of Great Bustard mortality understood in your country? □ Yes □ Partially □ No
Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published.

What can be learned from these studies?

What are the remaining gaps and what measures will your country do to address these gaps?

6.2.3 Investigation of factors limiting breeding success.
Are the factors limiting breeding success in core populations understood in your country? □ Yes □ Partially □ No
Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published.

What can be learned from these studies?

What are the remaining gaps and what measures are you going to take to address these gaps?

6.2.4 Studies on migration.
Were there any studies on migration routes and wintering places carried out in your country? □ Yes □ No
Where are the key sites and what is the size of the population they support?
Do you have any knowledge about the origin of these birds supported by ringing or other marking methods?
What are the remaining gaps and what measures will your country do to address these gaps?

7. Training of staff working in conservation bodies
Is there any mechanism in place in your country to share information on biological characteristics and living requirements of Great Bustard, legal matters, census techniques and management practices to personnel working regularly with the species? □ Yes □ No
If yes, please describe it.

Have personnel dealing with Great Bustard participated in any exchange programme in other Range States? □ Yes □ No
If yes, please give details on number of staff involved, country visited and how the lessons were applied in your country.
8. Increasing awareness of the need to protect Great Bustards and their habitat

What measures have been taken to increase the awareness about the protection needs of the species and its habitat in your country since signing the Memorandum of Understanding?

Do farmers, shepherds, political decision makers and local and regional authorities support Great Bustard conservation?  
☐ Yes  ☐ Partially  ☐ No

What are the remaining gaps or problems and how are you going to address them?

9. Economic measures

Have there been any initiatives taken to develop economic activities that are in line with the conservation requirements of Great Bustard in your country?  
☐ Yes  ☐ No

What percentage of the population is covered in total by these measures?  
☐ All (>75%)  
☐ Most (50-75%)  
☐ Some (10-49%)  
☐ Negligible (<10%)  
☐ None

How effective were these measures?  
☐ Effective (more than 50% of the targeted area is managed according to the species’ needs)  
☐ Partially effective (10–49% of the targeted area is managed according to the species’ needs)  
☐ Ineffective (less than 10% according to the species’ needs)

10. Threats

Please, fill in the table below on main threats to the species in your country. Use the threat scores categories below to quantify their significance at national level. Please, provide an explanation on what basis you have assigned the threat score and preferably provide reference. Add additional lines, if necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat scores:</th>
<th>Threat name</th>
<th>Threat score</th>
<th>Explanation and reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>Habitat loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Losses of eggs and chicks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Predation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Collision with powerlines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low:</td>
<td>Human disturbance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local:</td>
<td>Pesticides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Illegal hunting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threat scores:
- Critical: a factor causing or likely to cause very rapid declines (>30% over 10 years).
- High: a factor causing or likely to cause rapid declines (20-30% over 10 years).
- Medium: a factor causing or likely to cause relatively slow, but significant, declines (10-20% over 10 years).
- Low: a factor causing or likely to cause fluctuations.
- Local: a factor causing local declines but likely to cause negligible declines at population level.
- Unknown: a factor that is likely to affect the species but it is unknown to what extent.
PART II. COUNTRY-SPECIFIC ACTIONS

Please report on the implementation of the country-specific actions listed for your country in Part II of the Action Plan and provide information if that is not already covered by your answers under Part I. Please describe not only the measures taken but also their impact on Great Bustard or its habitat in the context of the objectives of the Memorandum of Understanding and the Action Plan. Where you have already answered on country-specific actions in Part I, please only add a reference to the relevant answer here.