

Assessing the importance of indicators to monitor actions addressing illegal take of birds

Contact info: Dingli.yong@birdlife.org and Shelby.wee@birdlife.org

Please rank the relative importance and feasibility of measuring each of the following indicators (prioritised earlier during the EAAFP Pre-MOP12 workshop) for a monitoring framework to track progress on actions addressing the illegal take of birds at the national level. Your input will guide the allocation of weightages for each indicator

* Required

Background Information

This is an optional question, but your response will greatly help us understand the context of your answers

1. Name of country you are providing input on:

National monitoring of bird hunting status and extent

These indicators measure the extent and knowledge of the scale of illegal take at national level, looking at the magnitude birds illegally (or presumed illegally) hunted, taken or traded per year, as well as geographical hotspots of hunting

2. Please rank the relative importance of the following indicators. With 1 being least important, and 5 being most important. *

	1	2	3	4	5
The extent to which information and knowledge on illegal bird hunting is available in the assessed country.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Information and knowledge on the number of species affected by illegal hunting in the assessed country.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Information and knowledge on where the key hotspots of bird hunting are in the assessed country.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. Is it feasible to measure the following indicators? *

	Yes	No	Unsure
The extent to which information and knowledge on illegal bird hunting is available in the assessed country.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Information and knowledge on the number of species affected by illegal hunting in the assessed country.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Information and knowledge on where the key hotspots of bird hunting are in the assessed country.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comprehensiveness of national legislation

These indicators assess the extent to which national legislation addresses the illegal take of birds through regulations.

4. Please rank the relative importance of the following indicators. With 1 being least important, and 5 being most important. *

	1	2	3	4	5
Comprehensive ness of national legislation (e.g. national laws, decrees) for wildlife conservation, including laws that regulate and/or prohibit hunting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comprehensive ness of subnational legislation (e.g. provincial level laws, community regulations) for wildlife conservation, including laws that regulate and/or prohibit hunting.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comprehensive ness of national legislation concerning the sustainable and lawful use of wildlife, (including legal hunting, quotas).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comprehensive ness of subnational legislation (if any) concerning the sustainable and lawful use of wildlife, (including legal hunting, quotas).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent of hunting activities forbidden or restricted under national and subnational legislation.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

	1	2	3	4	5
The extent of regulatory scrutiny concerning any authorization of exemptions (in relation to national and subnational legislation concerning wildlife conservation and the use of wildlife).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The comprehensiveness and severity of penalties against illegal hunting (i.e. fines, prison terms).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which a combination of criminal laws in the assessed country, and any other relevant national legislation used to prosecute wildlife crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Is it feasible to measure the following indicators? *

	Yes	No	Unsure
Comprehensiveness of national legislation (e.g. national laws, decrees) for wildlife conservation, including laws that regulate and/or prohibit hunting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comprehensiveness of subnational legislation (e.g. provincial level laws, community regulations) for wildlife conservation, including laws that regulate and/or prohibit hunting.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comprehensiveness of national legislation concerning the sustainable and lawful use of wildlife, (including legal hunting, quotas).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comprehensiveness of subnational legislation (if any) concerning the sustainable and lawful use of wildlife, (including legal hunting, quotas).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent of hunting activities forbidden or restricted under national and subnational legislation.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent of regulatory scrutiny concerning any authorization of exemptions (in relation to national and subnational legislation concerning wildlife conservation and the use of wildlife).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

	Yes	No	Unsure
The comprehensive ness and severity of penalties against illegal hunting (i.e. fines, prison terms).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which a combination of criminal laws in the assessed country, and any other relevant national legislation used to prosecute wildlife crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Enforcement response

These indicators measure the enforcement response to illegal take in terms of the existence of a plan of actions with appropriate priorities shared among law enforcement agencies who are properly trained and staffed.

6. Please rank the relative importance of the following indicators. With 1 being least important, and 5 being most important. *

	1	2	3	4	5
The presence of a national strategy, initiative or action plan for illegal hunting (including illegal bird hunting) and the extent of its implementation .	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent in which addressing/combatting wildlife crime is recognised as a high national level priority for crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent and level of stakeholder involvement participation in (illegal) bird hunting-related policymaking.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The level (and magnitude) of staff resources in national law enforcement agencies (in the relevant ministries) to combat wildlife crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent and/or the proportion of enforcement officers trained per year to address and enforce laws to address illegal bird hunting.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Is it feasible to measure the following indicators? *

	Yes	No	Unsure
The presence of a national strategy, initiative or action plan for illegal hunting (including illegal bird hunting) and the extent of its implementation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent in which addressing/combatting wildlife crime is recognised as a high national level priority for crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent and level of stakeholder involvement participation in (illegal) bird hunting-related policymaking.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The level (and magnitude) of staff resources in national law enforcement agencies (in the relevant ministries) to combat wildlife crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent and/or the proportion of enforcement officers trained per year to address and enforce laws to address illegal bird hunting.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Prosecution and sentencing

These indicators assess the effectiveness of the judicial system in meting out penalties reflective of the seriousness of illegal take

8. Please rank the relative importance of the following indicators. With 1 being least important, and 5 being most important. *

	1	2	3	4	5
The extent of awareness and effectiveness of prosecution agencies in meting out appropriate penalties for illegal bird hunting-related offences	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The existence and availability of national guidelines for the sentencing of offenders for wildlife crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which prosecutors and judges have received specialised training to handle wildlife crime cases (including illegal bird hunting).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which prosecution outcomes are publicised and documented in public records	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Is it feasible to measure the following indicators? *

	Yes	No	Unsure
The extent of awareness and effectiveness of prosecution agencies in meting out appropriate penalties for illegal bird hunting-related offences	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The existence and availability of national guidelines for the sentencing of offenders for wildlife crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which prosecutors and judges have received specialised training to handle wildlife crime cases (including illegal bird hunting).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which prosecution outcomes are publicised and documented in public records	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Prevention

These indicators measure the effectiveness of wider initiatives and instruments in reducing illegal take such as public awareness, addressing drivers of illegal take, international coordination and stakeholder engagement.

10. Please rank the relative importance of the following indicators. With 1 being least important, and 5 being most important. *

	1	2	3	4	5
<p>The extent to which national institutions take advantage of the international initiatives and working groups to address illegal bird hunting (for e.g. EAAPF and CMS ITTEA, bilateral agreements).</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>The extent to which the drivers and main causes of illegal bird hunting in the assessed country are known, understood and addressed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>The extent to which initiatives and activities to reduce the demand of illicit wildlife products are implemented.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>The extent of awareness-raising initiatives and/or programmes are in place to increase the awareness of the regulated community, of the laws regulating the sustainable use of wild birds. (This may include initiatives and activities of both government agencies and non-government organisations)</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1 2 3 4 5

The extent of awareness-raising initiatives and/or programmes in place to increase indigenous awareness of illegal bird hunting, created with the involvement of indigenous people. (This may include initiatives and activities of both government agencies and non-government organisations)

11. Is it feasible to measure the following indicators? *

	Yes	No	Unsure
The extent to which national institutions take advantage of the international initiatives and working groups to address illegal bird hunting (for e.g. EAAFP and CMS ITTEA, bilateral agreements).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which the drivers and main causes of illegal bird hunting in the assessed country are known, understood and addressed.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent to which initiatives and activities to reduce the demand of illicit wildlife products are implemented.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The extent of awareness-raising initiatives and/or programmes are in place to increase the awareness of the regulated community, of the laws regulating the sustainable use of wild birds. (This may include initiatives and activities of both government agencies and non-government organisations)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Yes

No

Unsure

The extent of awareness-raising initiatives and/or programmes in place to increase indigenous awareness of illegal bird hunting, created with the involvement of indigenous people. (This may include initiatives and activities of both government agencies and non-government organisations)

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

