Outline of proposed revised format for CMS National Reports

Contents

HIGH-LEVEL SUMMARY OF KEY MESSAGES

| I. | ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION | <u>3</u> 2 |
|------------|--|----------------------------|
| II. | ACCESSION/RATIFICATION OF CMS AGREEMENTS/MOUS | <u>64</u> 3 |
| III. | SPECIES ON THE CONVENTION APPENDICES | <u>74</u> 3 |
| IV. | LEGAL PROHIBITION OF THE TAKING OF APPENDIX I SPECIES | <u>1077</u> |
| ٧. | AWARENESS | <u>131010</u> |
| VI. | MAINSTREAMING MIGRATORY SPECIES IN OTHER SECTORS AND PROCESSES | <u>14111</u> 1 |
| VII. | GOVERNANCE, POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE COHERENCE | <u>151212</u> |
| VIII. | INCENTIVES | <u>161313</u> |
| IX. | SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION | <u>171313</u> |
| X. MIGI | THREATS AND PRESSURES AFFECTING MIGRATORY SPECIES; INCLUDING OBSTACLE | |
| XI. | CONSERVATION STATUS OF MIGRATORY SPECIES | |
| XII. | COOPERATING TO CONSERVE MIGRATION SYSTEMS | 2 <u>320</u> 19 |
| XIII. | AREA-BASED CONSERVATION MEASURES | <u>242120</u> |
| XIV. | ECOSYSTEM SERVICES | 2 <u>262322</u> |
| XV. | SAFEGUARDING GENETIC DIVERSITY | <u>272423</u> |
| XVI. | NATIONAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGIES AND ACTION PLANS | <u>282524</u> |
| | . TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE, INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES OF INDIGENOUS AND LOG | |
| XVIII | II. KNOWLEDGE, DATA AND CAPACITY-BUILDING | <u>312725</u> |
| XIX. | RESOURCE MOBILIZATION | 32 <u>28</u> 27 |

| HIGH-LEVEL SUMMARY OF KEY MESSAGES |
|---|
| In your country, in the reporting period, what does this report reveal about: |
| The most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention? (List up to five items): |
| |
| |
| The greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention? (List up to five items): |
| |
| <u></u> |
| The main priorities for future implementation of the Convention? (List up to five items): |
| <u></u> |
| |

| I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Name of Contracting Party | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Date of entry into force of the Convention in your country | DDMMYY [automatic population] |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Any territories which are excluded from the application of the Convention | |
| | [this question can be left blank] |

| Report compiler | Name and title: |
|---|---|
| Designated CMS National Focal Point | Name and title of designated Focal Point: Full name of institution: Mailing address: Telephone: Email: [automatic population] |
| Representative on Scientific Council | Name and title: |
| Representative(s) on CMS Working Groups (if applicable) | Name and title: Full name of institution: CMS Working Group(s) concerned: Mailing address: Telephone: Email: [this question can be left blank / possible to add details for multiple representatives] |

II. ACCESSION/RATIFICATION OF CMS AGREEMENTS/MOUS

Please confirm the status of your country's participation in the following Agreements/MOUs, and indicate any updates or corrections required:

 $\hfill \square$ Yes the lists are correct and up to date, or

Updates or corrections:

[free text box]

CMS Instrument [automatic population]

| CMS Instrument | Party/Signatory | Range State, but not a Party/SignatoryNon- Party/Non-Signatory Range State | Not applicable (= not a Range State) |
|--|-----------------|--|--|
| ACAP | | | |
| ACCOBAMS | | | |
| AEWA | | | |
| Aquatic Warbler | | | |
| ASCOBANS | | | |
| Atlantic Turtles | | | |
| Birds of Prey (Raptors) | | | |
| Bukhara Deer | | | |
| Dugong | | | |
| EUROBATS | | | |
| Gorilla Agreement | | | |
| High Andean Flamingos | | | |
| IOSEA Marine Turtles | | | |
| Middle-European Great Bustard | | | |
| Monk Seal in the Atlantic | | | |
| Pacific Islands Cetaceans | | | |
| Ruddy-headed Goose | | | |
| Saiga Antelope | | | |
| Sharks | | | |
| Siberian Crane | | | |
| Slender-billed Curlew | | | |
| South Andean Huemul | | | |
| Southern South American Grassland Birds | | | |
| Wadden Sea Seals | | | |
| West African Elephants | | | |
| Western African Aquatic Mammals | | | |
| | | | |

Species X

| III. SPECIES ON THE CONVENTION APPENDICES | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | g <mark>roup</mark> co et and up | | r which the |
| Terrestrial mammals (not inclu Addax nasomaculatus Bos grunniens etc | iding bat | rs): | |
| Aquatic mammals: Balaena mysticetus Megaptera novaeangla | iae | | |
| Bats: \[\triangle Tadarida brasiliensis \] | | | |
| Birds: Oxyura leucocephala Anser erythropus etc | | | |
| Reptiles: Chelonia mydas Eretmochelys imbricato | а | | |
| Fish: Acipenser sturio Pangasianodon gigas etc | | | |
| Appendix I but is categorized a | ı <mark>s Endan</mark> (| ther migratory species that is not current gered, Critically Endangered or Extinct in enefit from listing in Appendix I? | |
| If yes, please list these species and whether your country is taking any steps to propose listing in Appendix I. | | | |
| Species | Are ste Append | ps being taken by your country to propo dix 1? | No |

Expected date of proposal submission

 \Box

| Species Y | | | | |
|---|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Please confirm that the [autor | matically | populated] lists provided below for each | | |
| | | orrectly identify the Appendix II species for | | |
| country is a Range State | | | | |
| Yes the lists are correct | ct and u | p to date | | |
| ☐ No, the following ame [free text box] | endment | ts need making: | | |
| Terrestrial mammals (not incl | ıding ha | its). | | |
| ☐ Equus hemionus | ading bu | | | |
| ☐ Loxodonta africana | | | | |
| etc | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Aquatic mammals: | | | | |
| | ensis | | | |
| ☐ Caperea marginata | | | | |
| □ <mark>etc</mark> | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Bats: | | | | |
| □ Eidolon helvum | | | | |
| Otomops madagascar | riensi | | | |
| □ <mark>etc</mark> | | | | |
| Birds: | | | | |
| □ Crex crex | | | | |
| □ Sarothrura boehmi | | | | |
| □ <mark>etc</mark> | | | | |
| Reptiles: | | | | |
| ☐ Crocodylus porosus | | | | |
| ☐ Podocnemis expansa | | | | |
| □ <mark>etc</mark> | | | | |
| Fish: | | | | |
| ☐ Carcharodon carchari | ac | | | |
| ☐ Rhincodon typus | us | | | |
| | | | | |
| □ <mark>etc</mark> | | | | |
| Insects: | | | | |
| ☐ Danaus plexippus | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Is your country a Range State for any other migratory species that is not currently listed in | | | | |
| Appendix II but has an unfavourable conservation status and/or could benefit from listing in | | | | |
| Appendix II and potential conclusion of an agreement (legally binding or otherwise) for its | | | | |
| conservation? | | | | |
| □ Yes | | | | |
| NI. | | | | |

If yes, please list these species and whether your country is taking any steps to propose listing in Appendix II.

| Species | Are steps being taken by your country to propose listing in Appendix II? | | |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Yes | Expected date of proposal submission | No |
| | | Expected date or proposal submission | |
| Species X | Ф | | |
| Species Y | Ф | | |
| | Ф | | |

IV. LEGAL PROHIBITION OF THE TAKING OF APPENDIX I SPECIES

| Is the taking of Appendix I species prohibited by national or territorial legislation in accordance with CMS Article III(5)? |
|--|
| ☐ Yes for all Appendix I species |
| ☐ Yes for some species |
| Yes for part of the country, or a particular territory or territoriesNo |
| [If selected yes for 'all' this question will appear] Please identify the legal statute(s) concerned |
| |
| |
| [If selected yes for 'some' this appears] |
| Please indicate the species for which taking is prohibited: |
| (select all that apply) ☐ Species X |
| □ Species Y |
| [we will consider ways to pre-populate this section with relevant species] Please identify the legal statute(s) concerned |
| |
| |
| [If selected yes for 'part of the country' this appears] |
| Please indicate which part of the country or territory/territories: |
| Please indicate the species for which taking is prohibited: |
| (select all that apply) All Appendix I species |
| □ Species X |
| □ Species Y |
| [we will consider ways to pre-populate this section with relevant species] |
| Please identify the legal statute(s) concerned |
| |
| |
| |
| Exceptions : Where the taking of Appendix I species is prohibited by national legislation, have any |
| exceptions been granted to the prohibition? [only to appear if the user selects 'Yes for all Appendix I species' or "Yes for some" in previous |
| question] |
| □ Yes |
| \square No |

If yes, please indicate which species, which reasons among those in CMS Article III(5) (a)-(d) (given in the table below) justify the exception, the date on which the exception was notified to the CMS Secretariat in accordance with CMS Article III(7), any temporal or spatial limitations applying to the exception, and the nature of the "extraordinary circumstances" that make the exception necessary.

[we will consider ways to prepopulate species list from earlier question on Appendix I species]

| Species | Reasons for exception (as defined in Art III(5)) | Date CMS notified of exception | Details of any applicable time or area limitations, and the nature of the "extraordinary circumstances" that make the exception necessary |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Species X | a) the taking is for scientific purposes. b) the taking is for the purpose of enhancing the propagation or survival of the affected species. c) the taking is to accommodate the needs of traditional subsistence users of such species. d) extraordinary circumstances so require. | DD/MM/YY [NOTE: This column deleted] | [free text] |
| Species Y | | | |

| Where the taking of <u>all</u> Appendix I species is not prohibited and the <u>reasons for</u> ex <u>ceptionsemptions</u> in Article III(5) do not apply, are steps being taken to develop new legislation to prohibit the taking of all relevant species? [only appears if 'Yes for some species' or 'Yes for part of the country' or 'No' was selected in 'Is the taking of Appendix I species prohibited by national legislation in accordance with CMS Article |
|---|
| III(5)?'] |
| □ Yes |
| □ No |
| If yes, please indicate which of the following stages of development applies: |
| ☐ Legislation being considered |
| ☐ Legislation in draft |
| ☐ Legislation fully drafted and being considered for adoption in [insert year] |
| ☐ Other (please specify) [free text] |
| |
| Are any vessels flaggedregistered in your country engaged in intentionally taking Annendix I |

| species | outside the limits of national jurisdiction? |
|--------------------|---|
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Don't know |
| | |
| If yes, p | llease provide more information on the circumstances of the takesummarize what is |
| <mark>known</mark> | about this; including any future plans in respect of such take. |
| Ifree te | xt box] [question to be refined based on working group discussions on draft] |

V. AWARENESS

(SPMS Target 1: People are aware of the multiple values of migratory species and their habitats and migration systems, and the steps they can take to conserve them and ensure the sustainability of any use.)

| During the reporting period, please indicate the actions that have been taken by your country to increase people's awareness of the values of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems (note that answers given in section XVIII on SPMS Target 15 may also be relevant) (select all that apply) Campaigns on specific topics Teaching programmes in schools or colleges Press and media publicity, including social media Community-based celebrations, exhibitions and other events Engagement of specific stakeholder groups Special publications Interpretation at nature reserves and other sites Other (please specify) [free text] No actions taken |
|--|
| [the following questions only appear if an action was selected in 'During the reporting period, please indicate the actions that have been taken by your country to increase people's awareness of the values of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems'] Please indicate any specific elements of CMS COP Resolutions 11.8 (Rev. COP12) (Communication, Information and Outreach Plan) and 11.9 (World Migratory Bird Day) which have been particularly taken forward by these actions. [free text] |
| Overall, how successful have these awareness actions been in achieving their objectives? Tick one box 1 very little impact 2 small impact 3 good impact 4 large positive impact not known Please identify the main form(s) of evidence that has/have been used to make this assessment. [free text] |

VI. MAINSTREAMING MIGRATORY SPECIES IN OTHER SECTORS AND PROCESSES

(SPMS Target 2: Multiple values of migratory species and their habitats have been integrated into international, national and local development and poverty reduction strategies and planning processes, including on livelihoods, and are being incorporated into national accounting, as appropriate, and reporting systems.)

| | appropriate, and reporting systems.) |
|-----|--|
| an | es the conservation of migratory species currently feature in any national or local strategies d/or planning processes in your country relating to development, poverty reduction and/or elihoods? Yes No |
| | ves, please provide a short summary: ee text] |
| | the values of migratory species and their habitats currently feature in national accounting occasses in your country? — Yes — No |
| | res, please provide a short summary: ee text] |
| | the 'values of migratory species and their habitats' referred to in SPMS Target 2 currently ature in any other national reporting processes in your country? Yes No |
| | ves, please provide a short summary: ee text] |
| the | scribe the main involvements (if any) of non-governmental organizations and/or civil society in e conservation of migratory species in your country. ee text] |
| sp | scribe the main involvements (if any) of the private sector in the conservation of migratory ecies in your country. ee text] |

VII. GOVERNANCE, POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE COHERENCE

(SPMS Target 3: National, regional and international governance arrangements and agreements affecting migratory species and their migration systems have improved significantly, making relevant policy, legislative and implementation processes more coherent, accountable, transparent, participatory, equitable and inclusive.)

| transparent, participatory, equitable and inclusive.) |
|---|
| Have any governance arrangements affecting migratory species and their migration systems in your country, or in which your country participates, improved during the reporting period? |
| □ Yes |
| □ No, but there is scope to do so |
| □ No, because existing arrangements already satisfy all the points in Target 3 |
| If yes, please provide a short summary: |
| [free text] |
| [if answered yes for previous question] To what extent have these improvements helped to achieve Target 3 of the Strategic Plan for |
| Migratory Species (see text above "National, regional and international governance arrangements and agreements affecting migratory species and their migration systems have improved significantly, making relevant policy, legislative and implementation processes more coherent, |
| accountable, transparent, participatory, equitable and inclusive")? Tick one box |
| □ 1 minimal contribution |
| □ 2 partial contribution |
| ☐ 3 good contribution |
| ☐ 4 major contribution |
| □ not known |
| Please describe briefly how this assessment was made |
| [free text] |
| Has any committee or other arrangement for liaison between different sectors or groups been established system or committee at national or other territorial level been established in your country that addresses CMS implementation migratory species conservation issues? Yes No |
| If yes, please provide a short summary: [free text] |
| Does collaboration between the focal points of CMS and other relevant Conventions take place in your country to develop the coordinated and synergistic approaches described in paragraphs 23-25 of CMS COP Resolution 11.10 (Rev. COP12) (Synergies and partnerships)? Yes No |
| If yes, please provide a short summary: [free text] |
| Has your country or any jurisdictional subdivision within your country adopted legislation, policies or action plans that promote community involvement in conservation of CMS-listed species? Ves No |

| If yes, please identify the legislation, policies or action plans concerned: [free text] |
|---|
| VIII. INCENTIVES (SPMS Target 4: Incentives, including subsidies, harmful to migratory species, and/or their habitats are eliminated, phased out or reformed in order to minimize or avoid negative impacts, and positive incentives for the conservation of migratory species and their habitats are developed and applied, consistent with engagements under the CMS and other relevant international and regional obligations and commitments.) |
| Has there been any elimination, phasing out or reforming of harmful incentives in your country resulting in benefits for migratory species? YesPartly / in some areasNo, but there is scope to do soNo, because no such incentives have existed If yes or partly, please indicate what measures were implemented and the time-periods concerned. [free text] |
| Has there been development and/or application of positive incentives in your country resulting in benefits for migratory species? YesPartly / in some areasNo, but there is scope to do soNo, because there is no scope to do soNo, because there is no scope to do so |
| concerned. |

[free text]

IX. SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION

(SPMS Target 5: Governments, key sectors and stakeholders at all levels have taken steps to achieve or have implemented plans for sustainable production and consumption, keeping the impacts of use of natural resources, including habitats, on migratory species well within safe ecological limits to promote the favourable conservation status of migratory species and maintain the quality, integrity, resilience, and ecological connectivity of their habitats and migration routes.)

| ecological limits to promote the favourable conservation status of migratory species and maintain the quality, integrity, resilience, and ecological connectivity of their habitats and migration routes.) |
|---|
| During the reporting period, has your country implemented plans or taken other steps concerning sustainable production and consumption which are contributing to the achievementing of the results defined in SPMS Target 5? Yes In development / planned No |
| [if answered 'yes' or 'in development/planned' for previous question] Please describe the measures that have been planned, developed or implemented [free text; or would providing a choice of categories here be feasible/helpful?] |
| Please describe what evidence exists to show that the intended results of these measures are being achieved. [free text] |
| [if answered no for first question above] What is preventing progress? [free text; or would providing a choice of categories here be feasible/helpful?] |

X. THREATS AND PRESSURES AFFECTING MIGRATORY SPECIES; INCLUDING OBSTACLES TO MIGRATION

(SPMS Targets 6+7: Fisheries and hunting have no significant direct or indirect adverse impacts on migratory species, their habitats or their migration routes, and impacts of fisheries and hunting are within safe ecological limits; Multiple anthropogenic pressures have been reduced to levels that are not detrimental to the conservation of migratory species or to the functioning, integrity, ecological connectivity and resilience of their habitats.)

Which of the following pressures on migratory species or their habitats are having an adverse impact <u>in your country</u> on migratory species <u>included in the CMS Appendicesin your country</u>? (tick the boxes that apply)

| D | | | Construction ! | T 0 " |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|
| Pressure | Appendix I species | Appendix IIOther | Species/species groups affected; and any other | Overall relative |
| | _ | migratory | details | severity of |
| | | species | | impact |
| | | | | 1 = severe |
| | | | | 2 = moderate |
| D: .1:111 1:11 | | | | 3 = low |
| Direct killing and taking | | l I | | |
| Hunting (legal/ illegal/ unspecified) | | | | |
| Other harvesting and | | | | |
| take , including trapping | | | | |
| Illegal trade | | | | |
| Deliberate poisoning | | | | |
| Bycatch | | | | |
| Bycatch | | | | |
| Collisions and electrocut | ion | | | |
| Electrocution | | | | |
| Wind turbines | | | | |
| Other collisions | | | | |
| Other mortality | T | | | |
| Predation | | | | |
| Disease | | | | |
| Accidental/indirect | | | | |
| poisoning | | | | |
| Unexplained stranding | | | | |
| events | | | | |
| Alien and/or invasive spe | ecies | , , | | |
| Alien and/or invasive | | | | |
| species | | | | |
| Disturbance & disruption | 1 | , | | |
| Disturbance | | | | |
| Light pollution | | | | |
| Underwater noise | | | | |
| Other disruption of | | | | |
| behaviour or of | | | | |
| reproduction, life-cycle, access to habitats etc | | | | |

| Habitat destruction/degradation | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Habitat | | | | | |
| loss/destruction | | | | | |
| (including | | | | | |
| deforestation) | | | | | |
| Habitat degradation | | | | | |
| Mineral | | | | | |
| exploration/extraction | | | | | |
| Unsustainable | | | | | |
| land/resource use | | | | | |
| Urbanization | | | | | |
| Marine debris | | | | | |
| (including | | | | | |
| plastics) Pollution | | | | | |
| Other pollution Garbage | | | | | |
| Too much/too little | | | | | |
| water | | | | | |
| Fire | | | | | |
| Physical barriers | | | | | |
| Climate change | | 1 | | | |
| Climate change | | | | | |
| Levels of knowledge, awa | areness, leg | islation. mar | nagement etc. | | |
| Lack of knowledge | | | | | |
| Inadequate | | | | | |
| legislation /enforcement | | | | | |
| Inadequate | | | | | |
| enforcement of | | | | | |
| legislation | | | | | |
| Inadequate | П | П | | | |
| transboundary | | _ | | | |
| management | | | | | |
| Other (please specify) | | | | | |
| Carrer (presses speem yy | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | |
| _ | | | een made since the previous re | • | |
| • , , | sures identif | ried above? (| Identify the pressures concerne | d). | |
| [free text] | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| What are the most signific | <mark>ant negative</mark> | trends since | e the previous report concerning | the pressures | |
| identified above? (Identify the pressures concerned). | | | | | |
| [free text] | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Have you adopted new legislation or other domestic measures in the reporting period in response | | | | | |
| to CMS Article III(4) (b) ("Parties that are Range States of a migratory species listed in Appendix I | | | | | |
| shall endeavor to prevent, remove, compensate for or minimize, as appropriate, the adverse | | | | | |
| effects of activities or obstacles that seriously impede or prevent the migration of the species")? | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | |
| □ No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| If so, please give the title or other reference (and date) for the measure concerned: | | | | | |

| [add link] | |
|---|--|
| [options to add more links and legislation numbers] | |

Please add any further comments on the implementation of specific provisions in relevant CMS COP Resolutions, including for example:

- Resolution 12.22 on by-catch.
- Resolution 12.14 on underwater noise.
- Resolution 12.20 on marine debris.
- Resolution 7.3 (Rev. COP12) on oil pollution
- Resolution 11.22 (Rev. COP12) on live captures of cetaceans (and Decision 12.48).
- Resolutions 7.5 (Rev. COP12) and 11.27 (Rev. COP12) on renewable energy.
- Resolutions 7.4 and 10.11 on power lines and migratory birds.
- Resolution 11.15 (Rev. COP12) on poisoning of migratory birds.
- Resolution 11.16 (Rev. COP12) on illegal killing, taking and trade of migratory birds (and Decision 12.26).
- Resolution 11.31 on wildlife crime.
- Resolution 12.21 on climate change (and Decision 12.72).
- Resolution 11.28 on invasive alien species.
- Resolution 12.6 on wildlife disease.
- Resolution 12.25 on conservation of intertidal and coastal habitats.
- Resolution 10.2 on conservation emergencies
- Resolution 7.2 (Rev. COP12) on impact assessment.

[free text]

XI. CONSERVATION STATUS OF MIGRATORY SPECIES

(SPMS Target 8: The conservation status of all migratory species, especially threatened species, has considerably improved throughout their range.)

What (if any) major changes in <u>the conservation status of migratory species included in the CMS Appendices conservation status (for example national Red List category changes)</u> have been recorded in your country in the current reporting period?

Terrestrial mammals (not including bats)

| • | <u> </u> | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| Species/subspeciesother | Change in status | Source reference | Comments |
| taxon | (including time | | |
| (indicate CMS Appendix | period concerned) | | |
| where applicable) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Aquatic mammals

| Species/ <u>subspecies</u> other | Change in status | Source reference | Comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| taxon | (including time | | |
| (indicate CMS Appendix | period concerned) | | |
| where applicable) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Bats

| - | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------|----------|--|--|
| | Species/ <u>subspecies</u> other taxon | Change in status (including time | Source reference | Comments | | |
| | (indicate CMS Appendix where applicable) | period concerned) | | | | |
| ĺ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Birds

| Species/ <u>subspecies</u> other taxon (indicate CMS Appendix where applicable) | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|---|--|------------------|----------|
| | | | |

Reptiles

| Species/subspeciesother taxon (indicate CMS Appendix where applicable) | Change in status (including time period concerned) | Source reference | Comments |
|--|--|------------------|----------|
| | | | |

Fish

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| Species/ <u>subspecies</u> other | Change in status | Source reference | Comments |
| taxon | (including time | | |
| (indicate CMS Appendix | period concerned) | | |
| where applicable) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Insects

| Species/ <u>subspecies</u> other | | Source reference | Comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| taxon | (including time | | |
| (indicate CMS Appendix | period concerned) | | |
| where applicable) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

[ability to add more species/subspecies]

XII. COOPERATING TO CONSERVE MIGRATION SYSTEMS

(SPMS Target 9: International and regional action and cooperation between States for the conservation and effective management of migratory species fully reflects a migration systems approach, in which all States sharing responsibility for the species concerned engage in such actions in a concerted way.)

| In the current reporting period, has your country initiated or participated in the development of any proposals for new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II species (following the advice in COP Resolution 12.8)? Yes No |
|--|
| If yes, please provide a short summary. [free text] |
| In the current reporting period, have actions been taken by your country to encourage non-Parties to join CMS and its related Agreements? Yes No |
| If yes, please specify which countries have been approached. [Add country drop-down list, allowing multiple selections] |
| In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development or implementation of concerted actions under CMS (as detailed in COP Resolution 12.28, and see species list [here]) to address the needs of relevant migratory species? Yes No |
| If yes, please describe the results of these actions achieved so far: [free text] |
| In the current reporting period, has your country participated in the development of new concerted actions under CMS (as detailed in COP Resolution 12.28) to address the needs of relevant migratory species? — Yes — No |
| If yes, please describe the steps taken towards this so far: [free text] [NOTE: Addition in Rev1, deletion in Rev2] |
| Have any other steps been taken which have contributed to the achievement of the results defined in Target 9 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species (all relevant States engaging in cooperation on the conservation of migratory species in ways that fully reflect a migration systems approach), including for example (but not limited to) measures to implement Resolution 12.11 (and Decision 12.34) on flyways and Resolution 12.17 (and Decision 12.54) on South Atlantic whales? Yes No |
| If yes, please provide details: [free text] |

XIII. AREA-BASED CONSERVATION MEASURES

(SPMS Target 10: All critical habitats and sites for migratory species are identified and included in area-based conservation measures so as to maintain their quality, integrity, resilience and functioning in accordance with the implementation of Aichi Target 11, supported where necessary by environmentally sensitive land-use planning and landscape management on a wider scale.)

| Have critical habitats and sites for migratory species been identified (for example by an invent | ory) |
|--|------|
| in your country? | |
| ☐ Yes, fully☐ Partially – to a large extent | |
| □ Partially – to a small or moderate extent | |
| | |
| | |
| What are the main gaps and priorities to address, if any, in order to achieve full identification relevant critical habitats and sites as required to achieve SPMS target 10? [free text] | of |
| Has any assessment has been made of the contribution made by the country's protected area network specifically to migratory species conservation? | 5 |
| □ Yes | |
| ☐ Partly / for some areas | |
| □ <u>In development</u> | |
| □ No | |
| [ability also to add hyperlinks and/or upload documents] | |
| Has your country adopted any new legislation or other domestic measures in the reporting per in response to CMS Article III(4) (a) ("Parties that are Range States of a migratory species listed Appendix I shall endeavor to conserve and, where feasible and appropriate, restore those habitats of the species which are of importance in removing the species from danger of extinction")? | |
| □ Yes | |
| □ No | |
| If yes, please give the title or other reference (and date) for the measure concerned: | |
| | |
| [insert link] | |
| [ability to add additional rows of text and hyperlinks] | |
| In respect of protected areas in your country that are important for migratory species, have a assessments of management effectiveness been undertaken in the reporting period? | ıy |
| Yes | |
| ☐ Partly / for some areas | |
| □ In development | |

[free text]

| □ No |
|---|
| If yes, please provide a reference and/or summarise what is covered: [free text] |
| Beyond Protected Areas, are other effective area-based conservation measures implemented in your country in ways which benefit migratory species? Yes No If yes, please describe: [free text] |
| |
| Please add any particular information about key steps taken to implement specific provisions in |
| relevant CMS COP Resolutions, including for example: |
| Resolution 12.7 on ecological networks. |
| Resolution 12.13 on Important Marine Mammal Areas. |
| Resolution 12.24 on Marine Protected Area networks in the ASEAN region. |
| Resolution 12.25 on intertidal and other coastal habitats. |

XIV. ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

(SPMS Target 11: Migratory species and their habitats which provide important ecosystem services are maintained at or restored to favourable conservation status, taking into account the needs of women, indigenous and local communities and the poor and vulnerable.)

| Has any assessment of ecosystem services associated with migratory species (contributing to the achievement of SPMS Target 11) been undertaken in your country since the adoption of the SPMS in 2014? | | |
|--|----------------------|---|
| Y | es | |
| □ No | | |
| If yes, ple | • | nmary (including source references where applicable): |
| Source: | Year of publication: | Link: |
| Source: | Year of publication: | Link: |
| Source: | Year of publication: | Link: |
| [add mor | e] | |

XV. SAFEGUARDING GENETIC DIVERSITY

(SPMS Target 12 : The genetic diversity of wild populations of migratory species is safeguarded, and strategies have been developed and implemented for minimizing genetic erosion.)

| Are strategies of relevance to migratory species being developed or implemented to minimize genetic erosion of biodiversity in your country? Yes No |
|---|
| If yes, please select the relevant strategies: (select all that apply) |
| □ captive breeding |
| |
| □ captive breeding & release |
| ☐ gene typing research |
| □ reproductive material archives/repositories |
| [this list to be refined based on working group discussions on draft] |
| ☐ Other (please specify) [free text] |
| |

XVI. NATIONAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGIES AND ACTION PLANS

(SPMS Target 13: Priorities for effective conservation and management of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems have been included in the development and implementation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans, with reference where relevant to CMS agreements and action plans and their implementation bodies.)

| agreements and action plans and their implementation bodies.) |
|---|
| Are priorities for the conservation and management of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems explicitly addressed by your country's national biodiversity strategy or action plan? Yes No |
| If yes: a. please provide a link to or attachment of the strategy/action plan [insert link] [upload attachment / relevant extract] |
| b. please identify the elements in the plan/strategy that are particularly relevant to migratory species, and highlight any specific references to the CMS/CMS instruments [free text] |
| c. please add comments on the implementation of the strategy or action plan concerned. [free text] |

XVII. TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE, INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES OF INDIGENOUS AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES

(SPMS Target 14: The traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems, and their customary sustainable use of biological resources, are respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations, with the full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities, thereby contributing to the favourable conservation status of migratory species and the ecological connectivity and resilience of their habitats.)

| ทินชิเนิร.) |
|--|
| Have actions been taken in your country to foster consideration for the traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities that are relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems? Yes |
| Have actions been taken in your country to foster effective participation of indigenous and local communities in the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems? YesPartly / in some areas No Not applicable |
| If 'yes' or 'partly/in some areas' to either of the preceding two questions, please select which actions have been taken: (select all that apply) research & documentation engagement initiatives formal recognition of rights inclusion in governance mechanisms management strategies & programmes that integrate traditional & indigenous interests Qother (please specify) [free text] |
| Please add comments on the implementation of the actions concerned. [free text] |
| How would you rank progress since the previous report in your country to achieving Target 14 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species (see text above)? |
| Please select one option: 1 little or no progress 2 some progress but more work is needed 3 positive advances have been made 4 target substantially achieved (traditional knowledge is fully respected and there is effective participation from communities) |

<u>Please add comments on the progress made (where applicable).</u> [free text]

XVIII. KNOWLEDGE, DATA AND CAPACITY-BUILDING

(SPMS Target 15: The science base, information, training, awareness, understanding and technologies relating to migratory species, their habitats and migration systems, their value, functioning, status and trends, and the consequences of their loss, are improved, widely shared and transferred, and effectively applied.)

| In the current reporting period, which steps taken in your country have contributed to the achievement of the results defined in Target 15 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species? (see text above, and the answers given in Section V concerning SPMS Target 1 on awareness)? | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| (select | (select all that apply) | | |
| | Education campaigns in schools Public awareness campaigns Capacity building Knowledge and data-sharing initiatives Capacity assessments/gap analyses Agreements at policy level on research priorities [this list to be refined based on working group discussions on draft] | | |
| | Other (please specify): [free text] | | |
| | No steps have been taken | | |
| Please describe the contribution these steps have made towards achieving the results defined in Target 15: [question will only appear for those strategies selected above] | | | |
| E.g. | | | |
| Educati | on campaigns in schools | | |
| [free te | xt] | | |
| Public a | awareness campaigns xt] | | |
| implem | ssistance (if any) does your country require in order to build sufficient capacity to ent its obligations under the CMS and relevant Resolutions of the COP? all that apply) | | |
| | Funding support | | |
| | Technical assistance | | |
| | Education/training/mentoring | | |
| | Other skills development | | |
| | Provision of equipment or materials | | |
| | Exchange of information & know-how | | |
| | Research & innovation | | |
| | Mobilizing volunteer effort (eg citizen science) | | |
| | [this list to be refined based on working group discussions on draft] | | |
| | Other (please specify): [free text] | | |

XIX. RESOURCE MOBILIZATION

(SPMS Target 16: The mobilization of adequate resources from all sources to implement the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species effectively has increased substantially.)

| During the reporting period, has your country made financial or other resources available for conservation activities specifically benefiting migratory species? Yes, made available for activities within the country Yes, made available for activities in one or more other countries No | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| If yes, to which particular targets [texts of targets to be linked here] in the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species has this made a contribution? (Identify all those that apply). | | | |
| If yes, please indicate whether the overall levels of resourcing concerned are the same or different from those in the previous reporting period: Substantially increased | | | |
| During the reporting period, has your country received financial or other resources for conservation activities specifically benefiting migratory species? Ves No | | | |
| If yes, please select the source(s) concerned (select all that apply) Multilateral investment bank The Global Environment Facility (GEF) Other intergovernmental programme Private sector Non-governmental organization(s) Individual country governments/government agencies [drop down list allowing multiple selections] Other (please specify): [free text] | | | |
| If yes, to which particular targets [texts of targets to be linked here] in the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species has this made a contribution? (Identify all those that apply). | | | |
| If yes, which migratory species have benefited as a result of this support? [option to add more rows] | | | |
| If yes, please indicate whether the overall levels of resourcing concerned are the same or different from those in the previous reporting period: Substantially increased Moderately increased The same | | | |

| -Substantially | , docroscod |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Jubstantian | , ucci cascu |

□ Not known

Which are the most important CMS implementation priorities requiring future support in your country? (name up to three specific types of activity)

[free text]

Please add any further comments you may wish on the implementation of specific provisions in COP Resolution 10.25 (Rev. COP12) on *Enhancing Engagement with the Global Environment Facility*.

[free text]