

2014 NATIONAL REPORT OF PARTIES ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF MIGRATORY SPECIES OF WILD ANIMALS

The deadline for submission of the reports is 1 May 2014. The reporting period is 15 June 2011 to 1 May 2014.

Parties are encouraged to respond to all questions. Parties are also requested to provide comprehensive answers, including, where appropriate, a summary of activities, information on factors limiting action and details of any assistance required.

Reporting format agreed by the Standing Committee at its 40th Meeting (Bonn, November 2012) for mandatory use by Parties, for reports submitted to the Eleventh Meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP11) 2014.

The questions below combine elements of Resolution 4.1 (Party Reports) adopted by the Fourth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties (Nairobi, June 1994) and Resolution 6.4 (Strategic Plan for the Convention on Migratory Species 2000-2005), adopted by the Sixth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties (Cape Town, November 1999), the COP8 Strategic Plan 2006-2011 and Resolution 8.24 adopted by the Conference of the Parties (Nairobi 2005), as well as commitments arising from other operational Resolutions and Recommendations of the Conference of the Parties.

COP Resolution 9.4 adopted at Rome called upon the Secretariats and Parties of CMS Agreements to collaborate in the implementation and harmonization of online reporting implementation. The CMS Family Online Reporting System (ORS) has been successfully implemented and used by AEWA in their last Meeting of the Parties (MOP 5, 2012) reporting cycle. CMS now offers the Convention's Parties to use the ORS for submitting their national reports for the COP11 (2014) reporting cycle.

Please enter here the name of your country

> Sweden

Which agency has been primarily responsible for the preparation of this report?

> Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA)

Please list any other agencies that have provided input

> Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management

I(a). General Information

Please enter the required information in the table below:

Party

Date of entry into force of the Convention in your country
> 1st November 1983

Period covered
> 2011-2014

Territories to which the Convention applies
> Sweden

Designated National Focal Point

Full name of the institution
> Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA)

Name and title of designated Focal Point
> Mr Peter Örn

Mailing address
> Swedish Environmental Protection Agency
S- 106 48 STOCKHOLM
SWEDEN

Telephone
> +46 10 6981526

Fax
> +46 10 698 14 02

E-mail
> Peter.orn@naturvardsverket.se

Appointment to the Scientific Council

Full name of the institution
> The Swedish Biodiversity Center

Name and title of contact officer
> Ph. D. Torbjörn Ebenhard, Scientific Research Director

Mailing address
> The Swedish Biodiversity Center
P.O. Box 75007

Telephone
> +46 18 67 22 68

Fax
> +46 18 67 35 37

E-mail
> Torbjorn.ebenhard@cbm.slu.se

Submission

Name and Signature of officer responsible for submitting national report

Name:
> David Schönberg Alm

Address:
> See under NFP above

Implementation

Competent Authority:

> SEPA

Relevant implemented legislation:

> Environmental Code (1998:808)

Species conservation ordinance (2007:845)

Cites ordinance (EG 338/97)

Game Act (1987:259)

Hunting Ordinance (1987:905)

Other relevant Conventions/ Agreements (apart from CMS) to which your country is a Party:

> Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and

Flora 1973 (CITES)

Bern convention

Ramsar

CBD

National policy instruments (e.g. national biodiversity conservation strategy, etc.):

> 16 National Environmental Objectives (<http://www.miljomal.se/Environmental-Objectives-Portal/>)

CMS Agreements/MoU

Please indicate whether your country is part of the following Agreements/MoU. If so, please indicate the competent national institution

Wadden Sea Seals (1991)

Wadden Sea Seals (1991)

Non Range State

EUROBATS (1994)

EUROBATS (1994)

Party

Appointed member of the Advisory Committee

Name

> Marie Nedinge

Address

> Swedish Environmental Protection Agency

S- 106 48 STOCKHOLM

SWEDEN

Tel

> +46 (0) 10 698 1272

Fax

> +46 (0) 10 20 29 25

E-mail

> marie.nedinge@naturvardsverket.se

Administrative Focal Point

Name

> Same as above

ASCOBANS (1994)

ASCOBANS (1994)

Party

National Coordinator

Name

> Erland Lettevall

Address

> The Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management
Box 11930, SE-404 39 Göteborg
Sweden

Tel

> +46 (0)10-698 62 19

E-mail

> erland.lettevall@havochvatten.se

Appointed member of the Advisory Committee**Name**

> See above

AEWA (1999)**AEWA (1999)**

Party

National Focal Point**Name**

> David Schönberg Alm

Address

> The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency
S-106 48 STOCKHOLM
Sweden

Tel

> +46 10 698 16 88

Fax

> +46 10 698 10 42

E-mail

> david.schonberg.alm@naturvardsverket.se

ACAP (2001)**ACAP (2001)**

Non Range State

Gorilla Agreement (2008)**Gorilla Agreement (2008)**

Non Range State

ACCOBAMS (2001)**ACCOBAMS (2001)**

Non Range State

Birds of Prey (Raptors) MoU (2008)

Birds of Prey (Raptors) MoU (2008)

Signatory

Competent authority**Name**

> SEPA

Address

> See above

Contact point

Name

> David Schönberg Alm

Address

> SEPA, See above

Sharks MoU (2010)

Sharks MoU (2010)

Non-signatory Range State

Competent authority

Name

> SEPA

Address

> See above

Involvement of other government departments/NGOs/private sector

1. Which other government departments are involved in activities/initiatives for the conservation of migratory species in your country? (Please list.)

> Other than the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency: Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management, Swedish Board of Agriculture, Swedish Forest Agency

2. If more than one government department is involved, describe the interaction/relationship between these government departments:

> They are being consulted by the government in issues related to their competence and species concerned

3. Has a national liaison system or committee been established in your country?

Yes

> There is not a formal liaison system, but the different agencies cooperate regularly in a number of issues, such as work related to Sweden's 16 environmental quality objectives <http://www.miljomal.se/Environmental-Objectives-Portal/>

No

4. List the main non-governmental organizations actively involved in activities/initiatives for the conservation of migratory species in your country, and describe their involvement:

> The Swedish Ornithological Society (BirdLife Sweden): A number of activities such as inventories, single species project, support to international projects

WWF-Sweden: Support to single species project, support to international projects

Swedish Society for the Conservation of Nature: Support to single species project

Swedish Hunters Association: Support to single species projects

4a. Please provide detail on any devolved government/overseas territory authorities involved.

> Not relevant

5. Describe any involvement of the private sector in the conservation of migratory species in your country:

> Several private companies support activities performed by NGOs, money mostly being directed to management or restoration of habitats, to a lesser scale to the protection of single species.

6. Note any interactions between these sectors in the conservation of migratory species in your country:

> There are no formal interaction between the sponsors.

I(b). Information about involved Authorities

Identify the ministry, agency/department or organization that is responsible for leading actions relating to Appendix I species

1- Birds

> SEPA, S-106 48 Stockholm

2- Aquatic Mammals

> SEPA and The Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management (SwAM)

3- Reptiles

> SEPA, S-106 48 Stockholm

4- Terrestrial Mammals

> SEPA, S-106 48 Stockholm

5- Fish

> SwAM

II. Appendix I species

1. BIRDS

1.1 General questions on Appendix I bird species

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I bird species prohibited by the national implementing legislation cited in Table I(a) (General Information)?

Yes

1a. If the taking of Appendix I bird species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?

No

2. Identify any obstacles to migration that exist in relation to Appendix I bird species:

Electrocutation

Wind turbines

Other (please provide details):

> Three species are concerned; *Anser erythropus*, *Polysticta stelleri* (small numbers) and *Haliaeetus albicilla*. The increasing number of wind turbines may locally be a threat to *Haliaeetus albicilla*.

2a. What actions are being undertaken to overcome these obstacles?

> Occurrence of *Haliaeetus albicilla* is taken into account when adapting the position of wind power turbines

2b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.

> So far the problem is limited and very localized and the *Haliaeetus albicilla* population still increases.

2c. What assistance, if any, does your country require in order to overcome these obstacles?

> None, but perhaps international guidelines would give added strength to the importance of taking account of species like eagles.

3. What are the major pressures to Appendix I bird species (transcending mere obstacles to migration)?

Other (please specify)

> Regarding *Anser erythropus* the population is decreasing, although great effort is put into strengthening it through a project managed by The Swedish Hunting and Wildlife Management Association.

3a. What actions have been taken to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger bird species beyond actions to prevent disruption to migrating behaviour?

> Legislation. Protection of – or management agreements to conserve – valuable breeding habitats, breeding sites, staging and feeding sites.

3b. Please report on the progress / success of the actions taken.

> County administrative boards doing an overview of the N2000-network, SEPA supplies guidelines. Appointing new SPA-areas for the LWfG is regarded as a priority action.

3c. Describe any factors that may limit action being taken in this regard:

> Budget, difficulties in finding farmers with grazing animals, rules in the agricultural environmental schemes that require grazing pressure which is not optimal for the birds.

3d. What assistance, if any, does your country require to overcome these factors?

> For species covered by a national action plan, the farmer can obtain economical compensation for a management suitable for the species.

1.2 Questions on specific Appendix I bird species

In the following section, using the table format below, please fill in each Appendix I bird species for which your country is considered to be a Range State. Please complete each table as appropriate, providing information in summary form. Where appropriate, please cross-reference to information already provided in national reports that have been submitted under other conventions (e.g. Convention on Biological Diversity, Ramsar Convention, CITES). (Attach annexes as necessary.)

Species name: *Anser erythropus*

1. Please provide published distribution reference:

> <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/22679886/0>

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):

decreasing

> Present-day population size is estimated at 10 breeding pairs, ca 40 individuals. Nationally red-listed as threatened during 1975-2010, and currently as Critically Endangered (CR) in 2010 (www.artdata.slu.se).

stable

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):

stable

> The known current breeding area is situated in the County of Norrbotten in Northern Sweden.

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):

Research

> Projekt Fjällgås (niklas.liljeback@jagareforbundet.se).

Identification and establishment of protected areas

> The County Administrative Boards (see above)

Monitoring

> Projekt Fjällgås (the SAHWM, see above); the Swedish Ornithological Society (henri.engstrom@ebc.uu.se), the Swedish Species Information Centre (www.artdata.slu.se, www.artportalen.se)

Education/awareness rising

> Projekt Fjällgås (see above); the Swedish Ornithological Society (henri.engstrom@ebc.uu.se), Nordens Ark (see above)

Species protection

> SEPA (registrator@naturvardsverket.se)

Control hunting / poaching

> SEPA, the SAHWM (see above)

Species restoration

> Projekt Fjällgås (the Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management, Nordens Ark (www.nordensark.se), SEPA (see above))

Habitat protection

> County Administrative Boards of Norrbotten, Västerbotten, Gävleborg and Uppsala, the Swedish EPA (see above)

Habitat restoration

> Planned to be carried out during 2014-2016 by some of the County Administrative Boards (above)

Other

> National Species Recovery/Action Plan produced and implemented, ongoing captive-breeding and population-supplementation programme; the Swedish EPA, the Norrbotten County Adm. Board, Projekt Fjällgås (the SAHWM), Nordens Ark.

4. If no activities have been carried out for this species in the reporting period, what has prevented such action being taken?

> Too limited national action plan budget

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:

> Habitat restoration, releases of captive-reared LWfGs to supplement local populations in Sweden, protective hunting of red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) that predate on LWfG and their nests. Application for Life project focusing on establishing new breeding grounds and protection of the population.

Species name: *Polysticta stelleri*

1. Please provide published distribution reference:

> No specific actions have been taken to this species as it is found only irregular on Swedish territorial waters (Baltic Sea) during wintertime

Species name: *Haliaeetus albicilla*

1. Please provide published distribution reference:

> <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/22695137/0>

2a. Summarise information on population size (if known):

increasing

> 550-700

2b. Summarise information on distribution (if known):

increasing

> In the last 20 years, the population has expanded along the east coast and has also re-colonized a good part of the species' former breeding grounds further inland

3. Indicate and briefly describe any activities that have been carried out in favour of this species in the reporting period. (Please provide the title of the project and contact details, where available):

Research

> Project Havsörn (Swedish Society for the Conservation of Nature)

Identification and establishment of protected areas

> County Administrative Boards in cooperation with SSCN and the Swedish Ornithological Society

Monitoring

> Swedish Society for the Conservation of Nature, the Swedish Species Information Center (www.artportalen.se)

Education/awareness rising

> Project Havsörn (Swedish Society for the Conservation of Nature)

Species protection

> SEPA (registrator@naturvardsverket.se)

Control hunting / poaching

> SEPA, the County Administrative Boards

Habitat protection

> The County Administrative Boards, the Swedish EPA

5. Describe any future activities that are planned for this species:

> Establishment of county protection plans are being planned based on white-tailed eagle localities and suitable biotopes. Investigation of mortality caused by collisions with trains and measures to reduce this.

2. AQUATIC MAMMALS

2.1 General questions on Appendix I aquatic mammals

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I aquatic mammals species prohibited by the national implementing legislation cited in Table I(a) (General Information)?

Yes

1a. If the taking of Appendix I aquatic mammals species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?

No

2. Identify any obstacles to migration that exist in relation to Appendix I aquatic mammals:

Other threats to migration (please provide details)

> No App. 1 species occurs regularly in Sweden

Miscellaneous information or comments on Appendix I marine mammals in general:

> No App. 1 species occurs regularly in Sweden

5. FISH

5.1 General questions on Appendix I fish species

1. Is the taking of all Appendix I fish species prohibited by the national legislation listed as being implementing legislation in Table I(a) (General Information)?

Yes

1a. If the taking of Appendix I fish species is prohibited by law, have any exceptions been granted to the prohibition?

No

6. LISTING OF OTHER ENDANGERED MIGRATORY SPECIES IN APPENDIX I

1. Is your country a Range State for any other endangered migratory species currently listed in Appendix I? (according to the latest IUCN red data list). N.B.: States in which a species occurs as a vagrant (i.e. not "on its normal migration route") should not be treated as Range States. Please refer to Article 1 of the Convention for clarification.

Yes

If Yes, please provide details:

> *Anguilla anguilla*, eel

1a. Is your country taking any steps to propose listing any of these species?

Yes

If yes, please provide details:

> A one-year ban is in place for export/import of eel from/to EU. Eel fishing has been gradually reduced and as from 2012, all eel fishery is banned on the Swedish west-coast. A revised National Management Plan have been drafted and are being circulated for comments

1b. What assistance/measures, if any, does your country require to initiate the listing of these species?

> For the moment none.

III. Appendix II Species

1. INFORMATION ON APPENDIX II SPECIES

Information pertaining to the conservation of Appendix II species that are the object of CMS Agreements will have been provided in periodic Party reports to those instruments. It will suffice therefore to reference (below), and preferably append, a copy of the latest report that has been submitted to the Secretariat of each of the Agreement/MoUs to which your country is a Party.

EUROBATS (1994)

Date of last report:
> 2012

ASCOBANS (1994)

Date of last report:
> 2013

Period covered:
> 2012

AEWA (1999)

Date of last report:
> 2012

Period covered
> 2009-2011

2. QUESTIONS ON CMS AGREEMENTS

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Bird Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Bird Species ?
 No
2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Bird Species ?
 No
4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Bird Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
 No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Marine Mammal Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Marine Mammal Species ?
 No
2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Marine Mammal Species ?
 No
4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Marine Mammal Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?
 No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Marine Turtle Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Marine Turtle Species ?

No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Marine Turtle Species ?

No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Marine Turtle Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?

No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species ?

No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species ?

No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Terrestrial Mammal (other than bats) Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?

No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Bat Species

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Bat Species ?

No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Bat Species ?

No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Bat Species, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?

No

Questions on the development of new CMS Agreements relating to Fish

1. In the current reporting period, has your country **initiated** the development of any CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, to address the needs of Appendix II Fish ?

No

2. In the current reporting period, has your country **participated** in the development of any new CMS Agreements, including Memoranda of Understanding, which address the conservation needs of Appendix II Fish ?

No

4. Is the development of any CMS Agreement for Fish, including Memoranda of Understanding, planned by your country in the foreseeable future?

No

3. LISTING OF MIGRATORY SPECIES IN APPENDIX II

1. Is your country a Range State for any migratory species that has an unfavourable conservation status, but is not currently listed in Appendix II and could benefit from the conclusion of an Agreement for its

conservation?

N.B.: States in which a species occurs as a vagrant (i.e. not "on its normal migration route") should not be treated as Range States. Please refer to Article 1 of the Convention for clarification.

Yes

If Yes, please provide details:

> The European Eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) has undergone a drastic decline in recent years.

1a. Is your country taking any steps to propose the listing of this/these species in Appendix II?

No

IV. National and Regional Priorities

1. What priority does your country assign to the conservation and, where applicable, sustainable use of migratory species in comparison to other biodiversity-related issues

Medium

2. Are migratory species and their habitats addressed by your country's national biodiversity strategy or action plan?

Yes

2.1. If Yes, please indicate and briefly describe the extent to which it addresses the following issues:

Conservation, sustainable use and/or restoration of migratory species

> Through hunting and species protection legislation, and National Species Action Plans

Conservation, sustainable use and/or restoration of the habitats of migratory species, including protected areas

> Through the Natura 2000 network, protection of other important sites and through restoration of habitats, mainly wetlands

Actions to prevent, reduce or control factors that are endangering or are likely to further endanger migratory species (e.g. alien invasive species or by-catch)

> Included in the 16 Environmental Objectives, information to relevant sectors in the society and through efforts to prevent alien species (such as *Nyctereutes procyonoides*)

Research and monitoring of migratory species

> Monitoring of migrating species by standardized methods since 1970's

Transboundary co-operation

> Some cooperation with Russia and the Netherlands concerning Lesser white-fronted goose

3. Does the conservation of migratory species currently feature in any other national or regional policies/plans (apart from CMS Agreements)

Yes

3.1. If Yes, please provide details:

> Several National Action Plans for migrating species, such as Lesser white-fronted goose (*Anser erythropus*) and peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) have been adopted or are under production/being updated

3a. Do these policies/plans cover the following areas?

Exploitation of natural resources (e.g. fisheries, hunting, etc.)

Yes

If Yes, please provide details

> Restrictions in fisheries (eel).

Economic development

No

Land-use planning

Yes

If Yes, please provide details

> Guidelines concerning e.g. location of disturbing activities

Pollution control

Yes

If Yes, please provide details

> Monitoring of toxic substances such as organochlorines

Designation and development of protected areas

Yes

If Yes, please provide details

> Natura 2000 and national network of protected areas, including classification of Ramsar sites.

Development of ecological networks

Yes

If Yes, please provide details

> See above

Planning of power lines

Yes

If Yes, please provide details

> Consultation and information to relevant authorities and companies

4. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken

> Positive population trends recorded for several raptor species (such as peregrine falcon and white-tailed eagle).

V. Protected Areas

1. Are migratory species taken into account in the selection, establishment and management of protected areas in your country?

Yes

If Yes, please provide details:

> County Administrative Boards are instructed when classifying SPA:s to take account of specially mentioned migratory species

1a. Please identify the most important national sites for migratory species and their protection status:

> Not easily done in a format like this. Several Ramsar sites, most of them also nature reserves or SPAs are important elements. There are a very large number of nature reserves or bird sanctuaries designated along the Swedish coastline. In addition there are several important inland wetlands such as Lake Tåkern, Kvismaren area, Lake Hornborgasjön, River Helgeå and Lake Änn. Offshore feeding areas for wintering seabirds, such as Hoburgs bankar.

1b. Do these protected areas cover the following areas?

Terrestrial

Yes

If Yes, please provide details and include the amount of protected areas coverage and the number of protected areas

> National Park 6 313 km² (29), Nature reserve 35 874 km² (3 352)

Aquatic

Yes

Marine

Yes

If Yes, please provide details and include the amount of protected areas coverage and the number of protected areas

> Partly included in figures for Aquatic. The total figure for the marine SPA network is 4 018 km²

1c. Identify the agency, department or organization responsible for leading on this action in your country:

> Main responsibilities lies with the County Administrative Boards and SEPA

2. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken

> In all about 11 % of land territory is protected according to national legislation. There are no overall evaluation of the outcome of this effort.

VI. Policies on Satellite Telemetry

1. In the current reporting period, has your country undertaken conservation/research projects that use satellite telemetry?

Yes

If yes what is the state of those projects

on-going

Please provide details

> Projekt Fjällgås (administered by The Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management) uses satellite telemetry on captive bred Lesser white fronted geese released into the wild.

2. Are any future conservation/research projects planned that will use satellite telemetry?

Yes

If Yes, please provide details (including the expected timeframe for these projects):

> Projekt Fjällgås are planning on putting transmitters on wild LwFG as well, in collaboration with a German project. Despite efforts to catch wild birds during two efforts in 2013 and 2014, there has been no result so far. There are plans for another effort during the autumn 2014.

3. Results - please describe the positive outcomes of any actions taken

> For captive bred birds the data is not yet fully analysed, although it indicate that they are more mobile earlier than expected, after release. The results also sheds some light on the reasons for the decline, it showed that predation is not the only reason.

For wild birds, no

VII. Membership

1. Have actions been taken by your country to encourage non- Parties to join CMS and its related Agreements?

No

VIII. Global and National Importance of CMS

1. Have actions been taken by your country to increase national, regional and/or global awareness of the relevance of CMS and its global importance in the context of biodiversity conservation?

No

IX. Mobilization of Resources

1. Has your country made financial resources available for conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in your country?

No

2. Has your country made voluntary contributions to the CMS Trust Fund to support requests from developing countries and countries with economies in transition?

No

3. Has your country made other voluntary financial contributions to support conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in other countries (particularly developing countries)?

No

4. Has your country provided technical and/or scientific assistance to developing countries to facilitate initiatives for the benefit of migratory species?

No

5. Has your country received financial assistance/support from the CMS Trust Fund, via the CMS Secretariat, for national conservation activities having direct benefits for migratory species in your country?

No

6. Has your country received financial assistance/support from sources other than the CMS Secretariat for conservation activities having direct benefit for migratory species in your country?

No

X. Implementation of COP Resolutions and Recommendations

Please provide information about measures undertaken by your country relating to recent Resolutions and Recommendations since the last Report. For your convenience please refer to the list of COP Resolutions and Recommendations listed below:

Resolutions

Oil Pollution and Migratory Species (7.3)

> Monitoring carried out by Swedish Coast Guard, which also carries out actions in order to minimize the damage afflicted by oil spill.

Electrocution of Migratory Birds (7.4 / 10.11)

> Environmental Impact Assessment required for all new power lines. Including careful planning of location etc.

Migratory Species and Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (8.27 / 10.22)

> Monitoring station at Ottenby Bird observatory, where sampling of migrating ducks have been carried out the past 10 years, Fully operational program on standby in case of new outbreak.

Marine Debris (10.4)

> Work in progress to reduce plastic litter according to the proposal of the amendment of EU Directive 94/62 EC.

Poisoning Migratory Birds (10.26)

> Banned the use of led shots in wetlands.

Saker Falcon (9.20 / 10.28)

> Supported the proposal to list the species on Appendix 1.

Annex: Updating Data on Appendix II Species

1. The drop-down lists below contain the list of all species listed in Appendix II. New Parties which have acceded since COP10 in 2011 and Parties which did not submit a National Report in time in 2011 are requested to complete the entire form.

Parties that did submit a timely report in 2011 are requested to review and update the data (e.g. new published distribution references and details concerning species added to Appendix II at COP9 and COP10).

Anseriformes

Anatidae spp

Please choose the one that applies.

Range State

2. All species of each of the Families below are listed in Appendix II. If your country is a Range State for any of the species in these Families, please indicate whether your country is a Range State or the species is extinct and, where appropriate, please provide published distribution references.

Order FALCONIFORMES, Family ACCIPITRIDAE

Accipiter gentilis

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Accipiter nisus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Aquila chrysaetos

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Aquila clanga

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Aquila pomarina

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Buteo buteo

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Circus aeruginosus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Circus cyaneus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Circus macrourus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Circus pygargus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Haliaeetus albicilla

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Milvus migrans

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Milvus milvus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Pernis apivorus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Order FALCONIFORMES, Family FALCONIDAE**Falco columbarius**

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Falco peregrinus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Falco rusticolus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Falco subbuteo

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Falco tinnunculus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Falco vespertinus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Order ANSERIFORMES, Family ANATIDAE**Anser albifrons**

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Anser anser

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Anser brachyrhynchus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Anser erythropus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Anser fabalis

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Aythya fuligula

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Aythya marila

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Aythya nyroca

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Branta bernicla

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Branta canadensis

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Branta leucopsis

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Branta ruficollis

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Clangula hyemalis

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Cygnus columbianus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Cygnus cygnus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Cygnus olor

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Melanitta fusca

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Melanitta nigra

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Mergus merganser

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Mergus serrator

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Polysticta stelleri

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Somateria mollissima

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Tadorna ferruginea

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Tadorna tadorna

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Order CHARADRIIFORMES, Family RECURVIROSTRIDAE

Recurvirostra avosetta

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Order CHARADRIIFORMES, Family CHARADRIIDAE

Charadrius alexandrinus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Charadrius apricarius

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Pluvialis apricaria

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Vanellus vanellus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State

Order CHIROPTERA, Family VESPERTILIONIDAE (European populations)

Barbastella barbastellus

Choose the one that applies.

Range State