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**In-Session Version**

## SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL COMMENTS

## (arising from ScC-SC4)

## COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND LIVELIHOODS

## UNEP/CMS/COP13/Doc.26.4.6

**ScC-SC4 Agenda Item 10.4.6**

**RECOMMENDATIONS TO COP13**

* Recommended for adoption with the proposed amendments set out below

**GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE DOCUMENT**

* The Secretariat should cooperate with other Multilateral Environmental Agreements such as the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) to avoid duplications of efforts. The CITES Secretariat has compiled case studies on species that are listed both on CMS and CITES and those should be taken into consideration. CBD also has a well-established mechanism for the participation of local communities and indigenous peoples and CMS can build on the knowledge produced under CBD.
* Intergovernmental organizations and non-governmental organizations as well as the IUCN Sustainable Use and Livelihood Specialist Group (SULi) should be invited to provide relevant case studies.
* Welcome the participation of the CMS Secretariat, as an observer, in the two CITES intersessional working groups on indigenous peoples and local and rural communities, and livelihoods.
* In Senegal, an institution was created to engage local communities in tourism. This contributed not only to the conservation of habitat but also to poverty reduction.
* The Government of Australia has submitted information on community-based management of dugongs and marine turtles as part of its National Report.
* Recommend noting that community-based management is also crucial for Trans-frontier Conservation Areas.
* Trade is not the only way of engaging communities in the management of migratory species. Other types of sustainable use such as ecotourism needs to be considered when compiling case studies.
* The submission of the compilation and the analysis as suggested in 13.AA (d) may not have to be submitted to the Scientific Council if it does not contain scientific information.

**COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC SECTIONS/ INCLUDING POSSIBLE PROPOSALS FOR TEXT REVISION**

Page 5, para. 13.AA (12.98)

* Add a paragraph new paragraph stating “CMS Secretariat to review already available case studies on the livelihood section of the CITES website which includes best case study examples of CITES/CMS listed species such as vicuna, polar bear, saltwater crocodile, and hammerhead sharks”
* Page 5, para. 13.AA (12.98) (b)

“Analyze the case studies referred to in paragraph (a) and assess implications for future actions to assist Parties in using community-based management for the conservation and management of CMS-listed species in addition to what is already available under CITES”

* Page 5, para. 13.AA (12.98)

Consider adding a similar paragraph to (c) stating “Explore possible cooperation with the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) Secretariat to tap on best-practices on community participation, and, where feasible, lessons learnt and facilitate joint workshops and side-events for sharing community experiences and lessons learnt in advancing the conservation of migratory species and habitat” as CBD already has mechanisms and institutional framework in place for community engagement.