



# Indian Ocean – South-East Asian Marine Turtle Memorandum of Understanding



## Yemen

### GENERAL INFORMATION

#### Agency or institution primarily responsible for the preparation of this report:

Environment Protection Authority  
Republic of Yemen

#### Other agencies, institutions, or NGOs that have provided input:

#### Designated Focal Point:

Mr. Maeen Lutf Alsewari National Coordinator, Convention Migratory Species ( CMS ( Environment Protection Authority ( EPA ) Sana'a, Republic of Yemen P. O. Box 19719 Tel: +967 711488943 Fax: +967 1 207327 Email: maeen\_swary@hotmail.com

**Memorandum signed:** 20 August 2008

**Effective Date:** 1 November 2008

**This report was last updated:** 30 June 2014

## OBJECTIVE I. REDUCE DIRECT AND INDIRECT CAUSES OF MARINE TURTLE MORTALITY

### 1.1 Introduction to marine turtle populations and habitats, challenges and conservation efforts. [INF]

Several workers have studied turtles of the Arabian region including Hirth & Carr (1970), and Ross & Barwam (1981). Turtles of the Gulf of Aden coast of Yemen were accounted for few studies, e.g. Watt (1996). A total of 6 species of sea turtle have been reported to nest within the CZM Areas. The most common species in the CZM Areas is the Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) followed by the Hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) (Plate 24d) and Loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*). The other species observed include the Olive Ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*), Leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), and Flat-backed turtle (*Natator depressus*). While not all six turtle species were observed during the 2005 surveys, they have all been observed in the CZM Areas on more than one occasion, and their presence was confirmed by several authors (Ross & Barwani 1981, Frazier 1987, Almansi 1999, Pilcher 2001), although Leatherbacks and Flat-backed turtles have not been sighted recently.

The coastline in CZM Area 02 has been identified as the second most important nesting site for Green turtles in the Indian Ocean and is therefore of global significance. Formerly around 10,000 females are believed to have nested in this area each year but this is thought to have now dwindled to a fraction of this number. In total four species of turtles are reported to nest there, although only two probably nest there regularly.

The peak-nesting season is thought to be between July and September, however turtles continue to nest throughout the rest of the year. Counts of nesting pits along Sharma beach, using 10 random 20 m x 20 m quadrats, in November 1995 revealed that pit density ranged between 53 and 69 pits per 400 m<sup>2</sup>, with an average of 61 pits per 400 m<sup>2</sup>. In December 2003 the number of nesting pits ranged between 11 and 34 pits per 400 m<sup>2</sup> with an average of 25 pits per 400 m<sup>2</sup>. On the Jethmun beach, there were between 25 and 37 pits per 400 m<sup>2</sup>, with an average of 29 pits per 400 m<sup>2</sup>. This indicates that

there may have already been a decline in the number of turtles nesting on these beaches, but also demonstrates the importance of the Jethmun coastline as well as the Sharma coastline.

In CZM Area 01 there is a significant turtle nesting beach to the south of Burum, and a large number of lower density nesting beaches scattered throughout the Bir Ali??Belhaf area, particularly around Bir Ali. The rapid growth of Bir Ali over the past few years has the potential to pose a serious threat to these nesting areas.

Killing of turtles and damage to nests by feral dogs, and illegal poaching of both adult turtles and eggs by humans, are very serious threats. Introduction of effective control measures is urgently required: although guards have been introduced at Sharma and Jethmun beaches, a high number of turtles are still being killed.

All of the species found in the CZM Areas are listed on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species , with the exception of the Flatbacked Turtle due to a lack of data. The other species are at very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future and are listed "Endangered". This categorization is based upon an observed, estimated, inferred or suspected reduction of at least 50% or more over the last 10 years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on direct observation, an index of abundance or actual or potential levels of exploitation. Leatherback turtles are at extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future and are listed as "Critically Endangered" based upon a reduction of least 80% over the last 10 years or three generations.

**1.2.1 Describe any protocol or approaches practiced in your country, which you consider exemplary, for minimising threats to marine turtle populations and their habitats, which may be suitable for adaptation and adoption elsewhere. [BPR]**

We have drafted the Coastal Zone Management Plan (2007 - 2011) for BIR ALI - BURUM AND SHARMA - JETHMUN but not active until now. There is an agreement between EPA and private sector to enter and to manage the protected area in SHARMA - JETHMUN in south yemenie coast.

**1.3.1 Describe any socio-economic studies or activities that have been conducted among communities that interact with marine turtles and their habitats. [BPR, INF]**

Not yet

**1.3.2 Which of these adverse economic incentives are underlying threats to marine turtles in your country? [TSH]**

- High prices earned from turtle products relative to other commodities
- Lack of affordable alternatives to turtle products
- Ease of access to the turtle resource (eg. by virtue of proximity or ease of land/water access)
- Low cost of land near nesting beaches**
- Low penalties against illegal harvesting
- Other1:
- Other2:
- Other3:
- None of the above or Not Applicable

Some of customs and traditions in south yemenie coast

**1.3.3 Has your country has taken any measures to try to correct these adverse economic incentives? [BPR]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE (no adverse economic incentives exist)

**1.4.1 Indicate, and describe in more detail, the main fisheries occurring in the waters of your country, as well as any high seas fisheries in which flag vessels of your country participate, that could possibly interact with marine turtles. [INF]**

**a) Shrimp trawls:**  YES  NO

**b) Set gill nets:**  YES  NO

**c) Anchored Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs):**  YES  NO

**d) Purse seine (with or without FADs):**

**e) Longline (shallow or deepset):**  YES  NO

yellow fin tuna and sardena

**f) Driftnet:**  YES  NO

**g) Other1:**

**h) Other2:**

None of the above

**1.4.2 Please indicate the relative level of fishing effort and perceived impact of each of the above fisheries on marine turtles (e.g. in terms of by-catch). [TSH]**

**a) Shrimp trawls**

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

***b) Set gill nets***

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

***c) Anchored Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs)***

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

***d) Purse seine (with or without FADs)***

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

***e) Longline (shallow or deepset)***

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source: Ministry of Fisheries Wealth

***f) Driftnet***

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

**g) Other1 (from 1.4.1):**

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

**h) Other2 (from 1.4.1):**

**Fishing effort:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

**Perceived Impact:**

RELATIVELY HIGH  MODERATE  RELATIVELY LOW  NONE  UNKNOWN

Source:

**1.4.3 Describe any illegal fishing that is known to occur in or around the waters of your country that may impact marine turtles. Describe the measures being taken to deal with this problem and any difficulties encountered in this regard. [TSH]**

Yes. We have settled the monitoring programme by using GPS mobiles.

**1.4.4 Which of the following methods are used by your country to minimise incidental capture/mortality of marine turtles in fishing activities? [IND]**

a) **Appropriate handling** of incidentally caught turtles (e.g. resuscitation or release by fishers using equipment such as de-hooking, line cutting tools and scoop nets)

**YES**  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

b) **Devices that allow the escape of marine turtles** (e.g. turtle excluder devices (TEDs) or other measures that are comparable in effectiveness)

YES  **NO**  NOT APPLICABLE

c) **Measures to avoid encirclement** of marine turtles in purse seine fisheries

YES  NO  **NOT APPLICABLE**

d) **Appropriate combinations** of hook design, type of bait, depth, gear specifications and fishing practices

YES  NO  **NOT APPLICABLE**

e) **Monitoring and recovery of fish aggregating devices** (FADs)

**YES**  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

f) **Net retention and recycling schemes**

YES  NO  **NOT APPLICABLE**

g) **Spatial and temporal control of fishing** (e.g. seasonal closures of fishing activities)

YES  NO  **NOT APPLICABLE**

h) **Effort management control**

YES  NO  **NOT APPLICABLE**

Other (list and explain):

None of the above

**1.4.5 Which of the following programmes has your country developed - in consultation with the fishing industry and fisheries management organisations - to promote implementation of measures to minimise incidental capture and mortality of turtles in national waters and in the high seas? [IND]**

**Onboard observer programmes**

YES  **NO**  NOT APPLICABLE

**Vessel monitoring systems**

YES  **NO**  NOT APPLICABLE

**Inspections** (i.e. at sea, in port, at landing sites)

YES  **NO**  NOT APPLICABLE

**Training programmes / workshops** to educate fishers

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**Informative videos, brochures, printed guidelines** etc.

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**Other (list and explain):**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

None of the above

**1.4.6 Are the mitigation measures described in 1.4.4 and 1.4.5, periodically reviewed and evaluated for their efficacy? [SAP]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**1.4.7 In your country, what types of data collection, research and development have been undertaken to support the reduction of marine turtle incidental catch (while taking into consideration the impact of various mitigation measures on other species)? [SAP]**

Interview fishermen only

**1.4.8 Has your country exchanged information and provided technical assistance (formally or informally) to other Signatory States to promote the activities described in 1.4.4, 1.4.5 and 1.4.7 above? [SAP]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

Yemen is a member of PERSGA. We have participated in the convention and protocol biodiversity etc.

**1.4.9 What legislative and practical measures has your country taken in support of UN General Assembly Resolution 46/215 concerning the moratorium on the use of large-scale driftnets? [SAP]**

The Ministry of Fisheries Wealth has implemented the FAW roles

**1.5.1 Does your country have legislation to prohibit direct harvest and domestic trade in marine turtles, their eggs, parts and products; and to protect important turtle habitats? [IND]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

1.5.2 Which, among the following list, are economic uses and cultural values of marine turtles in your country? Please rate the relative prevalence / importance of each consumptive or non-consumptive use. [INF]

**USES / VALUES**

**RELATIVE PREVALENCE / IMPORTANCE**

**Meat consumption**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Egg consumption**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Shell products**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Fat consumption**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Traditional medicine**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Eco-tourism programmes**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Cultural / traditional significance**

YES  NO

HIGH  MODERATE  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Other**

1.5.3 Please indicate the relative level and impact of traditional harvest on marine turtles and their eggs. [IND, TSH]

Level of harvest:

RELATIVELY HIGH       MODERATE       RELATIVELY LOW       NONE       UNKNOWN

**Impact of harvest:**

RELATIVELY HIGH       MODERATE       RELATIVELY LOW       NONE       UNKNOWN

Source of information:

**1.5.4 Have any **domestic** management programmes been established to limit the levels of intentional harvest? [SAP]**

YES  NO  UNKNOWN

**1.5.5 Describe any management agreements negotiated **between your country and other States** in relation to sustainable levels of traditional harvest, to ensure that such harvest does not undermine conservation efforts. [BPR]**

**1.6.1 First, select one of the options at left to indicate whether or not your country has any of the following measures in place to minimise the mortality of eggs, hatchlings and nesting females. If yes, then estimate the relative effectiveness of these measures. [IND, SAP]**

**MEASURES**

**RELATIVE EFFECTIVENESS**

**Monitoring/protection programmes**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Education/awareness programmes**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Egg relocation/hatcheries**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Predator control**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Vehicle / access restrictions**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Removal of debris / clean-up**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Re-vegetation of frontal dunes**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Building location/design regulations**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Light pollution reduction**

YES  NO  N/A

EXCELLENT  GOOD  LOW  UNKNOWN

**Other (list and rate them)**

YES  NO  N/A

**1.6.2 Has your country undertaken any evaluation of its nest and beach management programmes? [SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**OBJECTIVE II. PROTECT, CONSERVE AND REHABILITATE MARINE TURTLE HABITATS**

**2.1.1 What is being done to protect critical habitats *outside* of established protected areas? (NB: It is assumed that legislation relating to established protected areas will have been described in Section 1.5.1) [BPR, SAP]**

**2.1.2 Are assessments routinely made of the environmental impact of marine and coastal development on marine turtles and their habitats? [IND, SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**2.1.3 Is marine water quality (including marine debris) monitored near turtle habitats? If yes, describe the nature of this monitoring and any remedial measures that may have been taken. [SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**2.1.4 Are measures in place to prohibit the use of poisonous chemicals and explosives? [SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**2.2.1 Are efforts being made to recover degraded coral reefs? If yes, give details (location, duration, effectiveness, lessons learned, future plans etc). [IND, SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE (no degraded coral reefs)

**2.2.2 Are efforts being made to recover degraded mangrove habitats that are important for turtles? If yes, give details (location, duration, effectiveness, lessons learned, future plans etc.) [IND, SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE (no mangrove habitats important for turtles)

**2.2.3 Are efforts being made to recover degraded sea grass habitats? If yes, give details (location, duration, effectiveness, lessons learned, future plans etc.). [IND, SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE (no degraded sea grass habitats)

### **OBJECTIVE III. IMPROVE UNDERSTANDING OF MARINE TURTLE ECOLOGY AND POPULATIONS THROUGH RESEARCH, MONITORING AND INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

**3.1.1 Give a list of available literature that includes baseline information from studies carried out in your country on marine turtle populations and their habitats. [INF]**

**3.1.2 Have long-term monitoring programmes (i.e. of at least 10 years duration) been initiated or planned for priority marine turtle populations frequenting the territory of your country? [IND, BPR]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.1.3 Has the genetic identity of marine turtle populations in your country been characterised? [INF, PRI]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.1.4 Which of the following methods have been or are being used to try to identify migration routes of turtles? Use the text boxes to provide additional details. [INF, PRI]**

**Tagging**  YES  NO

**Satellite tracking**  YES  NO

**Other**

**None of the above**

**3.1.5 Have studies been carried out on marine turtle population dynamics and survival rates (e.g. including studies into the survival rates of incidentally caught and released turtles)? [INF, PRI]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.1.6 Has research been conducted on the frequency and pathology of diseases in marine turtles? [INF, PRI]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.1.7 Is the use of traditional ecological knowledge in research studies being promoted? [BPR, PRI]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.2.1 List any regional or sub-regional action plans in which your country is already participating, which may serve the purpose of identifying priority research and monitoring needs. [INF]**

**3.2.2 On which of the following themes have collaborative studies and monitoring been conducted? Use the text boxes to describe the nature of this international collaboration or to clarify your response. Answer 'NO' if the studies/monitoring undertaken do not involve international collaboration. [INF, PRI]**

**a) Genetic Identity**  YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**b) Conservation status**  YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

c) Migrations  YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

d) Other biological and ecological aspects  YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

Other

**3.3.1 List, in order of priority, the marine turtle populations in your country in need of conservation actions, and indicate their population trends. [PRI]**

**3.3.2 Are research and monitoring activities, such as those described above in Section 3.1 periodically reviewed and evaluated for their efficacy? [SAP]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.3.3 Describe how research results are being applied to improve management practices and mitigation of threats (in relation to the priority populations identified in 3.3.1, among others). [SAP]**

**3.4.1 Has your country undertaken any initiatives (nationally or through collaboration with other Range States) to standardise methods and levels of data collection? [BPR, INF]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**3.4.2 To what extent does your country exchange scientific and technical information and expertise with other Range States? [SAP, IND]**

OFTEN (SYSTEMATICALLY)  OCCASIONALLY  RARELY  NEVER

**3.4.3 If your country shares scientific and technical information and expertise with other Range States, what mechanisms have commonly been used for this purpose? Comment on any positive benefits/outcomes achieved through these interactions. [INF]**

**3.4.4 Does your country compile and make available to other countries data on marine turtle populations of a regional interest? [INF]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

## **OBJECTIVE IV. INCREASE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE THREATS TO MARINE TURTLES AND THEIR HABITATS, AND ENHANCE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES**

**4.1.1 Describe the educational materials, including mass media information programmes that your country has collected, developed and/or disseminated. [INF, PRI]**

**4.1.2 Which of the following groups have been the targets of these focused education and awareness programmes described in above in Section 4.1.1? [PRI, INF]**

- Policy makers
- Fishing industry
- Local/Fishing communities
- Indigenous groups
- Tourists
- Media
- Teachers
- Students
- Military, Navy, Police
- Scientists
- Other:
- None of the above

**4.1.3 Have any community learning / information centres been established in your country? [BPR, SAP]**

YES  NO

**4.2 Alternative livelihood opportunities [IND, BPR] Describe initiatives already undertaken or planned to identify and facilitate alternative livelihoods (including income-generating activities) for local communities.**

**4.3.1 Describe initiatives already undertaken or planned by your country to involve local communities, in particular, in the planning and implementation of marine turtle conservation programmes. Please include details of any incentives that have been used to encourage public participation, and indicate their efficacy. [BPR, IND]**

**4.3.2 Describe initiatives already undertaken or planned to involve and encourage the cooperation of Government institutions, NGOs and the private sector in marine turtle conservation programmes. [IND, BPR]**

## **OBJECTIVE V. ENHANCE NATIONAL, REGIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION**

**5.1.1 Has your country undertaken a national review of its compliance with Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) obligations in relation to marine turtles? [SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**5.1.2 Does your country have, or participate/cooperate in, CITES training programmes for relevant authorities? [SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**5.1.3 Does your country have in place mechanisms to identify international illegal trade routes (for marine turtle products etc.)? Please use the text box to elaborate on how your country is cooperating with other States to prevent/deter/eliminate illegal trade. [SAP]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**5.1.4 Which international compliance and trade issues related to marine turtles has your country raised for discussion (e.g. through the IOSEA MoU Secretariat, at meetings of Signatory States etc.)? [INF]**

**5.1.5 Describe measures in place to prevent, deter and eliminate domestic illegal trade in marine turtle products, particularly with a view to enforcing the legislation identified in Section 1.5.1. [INF]**

**5.2.1 Has your country already developed a national action plan or a set of key management measures that could eventually serve as a basis for a more specific action plan at a national level? [IND]**

YES  NO

**5.2.2 From your country's perspective, which conservation and management activities, and/or which particular sites or locations, ought to be among the highest priorities for action? [PRI]**

**5.2.3 Please indicate, from your country's standpoint, the extent to which the following local management issues require international cooperation in order to to achieve progress. [PRI]**

**Illegal fishing in territorial waters**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL

**Incidental capture by foreign fleets**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL

**Enforcement/patrolling of territorial waters**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL

- Hunting/harvest by neighboring countries**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Poaching, illegal trade in turtle projects**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Development of gear technology**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Oil spills, pollution, marine debris**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Training / capacity-building**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Alternative livelihood development**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Identification of turtle populations**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Identification of migration routes**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Tagging / satellite tracking**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Habitat studies**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL
- Genetics studies**  ESSENTIAL  IMPORTANT  LIMITED  NOT AT ALL

**5.3.1 Identify existing frameworks/organisations that are, or could be, useful mechanisms for cooperating in marine turtle conservation at the sub-regional level. Please comment on the strengths of these instruments, their capacity to take on a broader coordinating role, and any efforts your country has made to enhance their role in turtle conservation. [INF, BPR]**

**5.3.2 Has your country developed, or is it participating in, any networks for cooperative management of shared turtle populations? [BPR, INF]**

YES  NO  NOT APPLICABLE

**5.3.3 What steps has your country taken to encourage Regional Fishery Bodies (RFBs) to adopt marine turtle conservation measures within Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) and on the high seas? [SAP]**

**5.4.1 Describe your country's needs, in terms of human resources, knowledge and facilities, in order to build capacity to strengthen marine turtle conservation measures. [PRI]**

**5.4.2 Describe any training provided in marine turtle conservation and management techniques (e.g. workshops held, training manuals produced etc.), and indicate your plans for the coming year. [PRI, INF]**

5.4.3 Specifically in relation to **capacity-building**, describe any partnerships developed or planned with universities, research institutions, training bodies and other relevant organisations. [\[BPR\]](#)

5.5.1 National policies and laws concerning the conservation of marine turtles and their habitats will have been described in Section 1.5.1. Please indicate their effectiveness, in terms of their practical application and enforcement. [\[SAP, TSH\]](#)

5.5.2 Has your country conducted a review of policies and laws to address any gaps, inconsistencies or impediments in relation to marine turtle conservation? If not, indicate any obstacles encountered in this regard and when this review is expected to be done. [\[SAP\]](#)

YES  NO  UNSURE

5.5.3 From the standpoint of law enforcement, has your country experienced any difficulties achieving cooperation to ensure compatible application of laws across and between jurisdictions? [\[TSH\]](#)

YES  NO  UNSURE

## OBJECTIVE VI. PROMOTE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MoU INCLUDING THE CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN

6.1.1 What has your country already done, or will it do, to encourage other States to sign the IOSEA MoU? [\[INF\]](#)

6.1.2 Is your country **currently** favourable, in principle, to amending the MoU to make it a legally binding instrument? [\[INF\]](#)

YES  NO  NO VIEW

6.1.3 Would your country be favourable, over a **longer time horizon**, to amending the MoU to make it a legally-binding instrument? [\[INF\]](#)

YES  NO  NO VIEW

### 6.2 Secretariat and Advisory Committee

6.2.1 What efforts has your country made, or can it make, to secure funding to support the core operations of the IOSEA MoU (Secretariat and Advisory Committee, and related activities)? [\[IND\]](#)

**6.3.1 What funding has your country mobilised for domestic implementation of marine turtle conservation activities related to the IOSEA Marine Turtle MoU? Where possible, indicate the specific monetary values attached to these activities/programmes, as well as future plans. [IND]**

**6.3.2 Has your country tried to solicit funds from, or seek partnerships with, other Governments, major donor organisations, industry, private sector, foundations or NGOs for marine turtle conservation activities? [IND]**

YES  NO

**6.3.3 Describe any initiatives made to explore the use of economic instruments for the conservation of marine turtles and their habitats. [BPR]**

TEST

**6.4.1 Has your country designated a lead agency responsible for coordinating national marine turtle conservation and management policy? If not, when is this information expected to be communicated to the IOSEA MoU Secretariat? [IND]**

YES  NO

**6.4.2 Are the roles and responsibilities of all government agencies related to the conservation and management of marine turtles and their habitats clearly defined? [IND]**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**6.4.3 Has your country ever conducted a review of agency roles and responsibilities? If so, when, and what was the general outcome? If not, is such a review planned and when? [SAP],**

YES  NO  UNSURE

**Comments/suggestions to improve the present reporting format:**

**Additional information not covered above:**