



Memorandum of Understanding on the
Conservation and Management of Marine Turtles and
their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia

Distr. GENERAL

MT-IOSEA/SS.7/Doc. 11
Agenda item 10

5 August 2014

SEVENTH MEETING OF THE SIGNATORY STATES
Bonn, Germany, 8-11 September 2014

FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Status of voluntary contributions

1. Since the Sixth Meeting of the Signatory States (Bangkok, January 2012), IOSEA operational costs have been sustained mainly by the voluntary contributions of eight countries: United States, South Africa, United Kingdom, India, Australia, France, Oman and Thailand (in order of magnitude). Additionally, Maldives, Mauritius, Mozambique and United Republic of Tanzania have contributed at least a portion of the minimum recommended voluntary contribution. The UNEP Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific (UNEP/ROAP) has continued to provide vital administrative support to the Secretariat on an *ad hoc* basis. (For many years it also provided office space free of charge, whereas in 2012, it began collecting a reasonable amount for office space and basic operating costs.) The Secretariat has also benefitted from short-term internships and volunteer placements during the past three years. Members of the IOSEA Advisory Committee offer their services without remuneration and, in some cases, their host organisations or Governments have covered their expenses to attend meetings. All of these contributions of financial and in-kind support are gratefully acknowledged.

2. The table at Annex 1 summarises the status of contributions that have been received towards the operation of the IOSEA MoU Secretariat and related activities. The most recent statement from the United Nations Office at Nairobi (UNON) of the IOSEA (MR) Trust Fund status, as at 31 December 2013, is attached at Annex 2. The IOSEA Trust Fund began 2014 with a balance of about USD 204,000; an amount that has since been supplemented by contributions from all but two of the traditional key donors. The modest reserve and the voluntary contributions received so far in 2014 have enabled the Seventh Meeting to take place without a Signatory offering to host it.

3. IOSEA finances have also been helped by the fact that the past three years have witnessed a slight strengthening of the USD against the Thai Baht, after many years of erosion in the value of the contributions received. Nevertheless it remains the case that dependence on a relatively small number of donors carries the risk of shortfall if any major contributor were unable to maintain its historic contribution. For this reason, the UNEP administrator of the IOSEA Trust Fund strongly recommends that the Signatory States establish a reserve to ensure programme continuity for a certain number of months. Effectively, this means that the Trust Fund balance should not be allowed to fall below a certain level, at which point any non-essential expenditure would have to be curtailed.

Statement of expenditure for 2012-2014

4. Annex 3 is an unofficial statement of expenditure for 2012-2014, based on information provided by the local administration in Bangkok (UNESCAP) and UNON, set against the budget estimates for the years 2012-2014 which were presented to the Sixth Meeting of the Signatory States in January 2012. The figures for 2014 include projections for the balance of the year, which may need to be revisited and adjusted based on new information.

5. The budget agreed for 2012-2014 anticipated expenditure of about USD 990,000 over the triennium, whereas income in the form of voluntary contributions totalled only about USD 685,000, a shortfall of about 30 percent. Some unforeseen and deliberate cost savings have helped to bridge the gap. As mentioned in the Report of the Secretariat (Doc. 5), the post of Team Assistant has been vacant since early 2013; and did not incur any expenditure in 2013 and 2014. Instead, the functions of the post have been fulfilled satisfactorily through cost-effective consultancies and in-kind support from UNEP/ROAP.

6. Activities under a number of other budget lines were deliberately scaled back accordingly. Site Network Development activities (reported in Doc. 5) were accomplished mainly through in-house efforts without incurring external expenditure, conforming to the pace of site nominations by Signatory States. (With the formal launch of the Site Network at SS7, the relevance of this budget line will be renewed – at least in relation to countries whose nomination proposals are accepted.) The Secretariat had hoped to initiate a number of small-scale project activities arising from the two species assessments, for leatherback and loggerhead turtles, which were finalised only in October 2012 and October 2013, respectively. In the light of other priorities and unwelcome events over the past year or so, these aspirations did not materialise. A proposal for taking forward this important work in the latter part of 2014 is described in Doc. 9. The budget line for travel on official business was also under-expended, in line with available funds.

7. The 2012-2014 budget underestimated salaries in 2012-2013 by about 5 percent budget; in any case the actual amounts are always subject to fluctuation according to cost of living adjustments, entitlements etc. The salary projection for 2014 is discussed in more detail in the next section. A few budget lines incurred expenditures in 2012 for activities originally scheduled to take place in 2011. The Meeting of the Signatory States, which was to have been held in December 2011, was rescheduled to January 2012 because of severe flooding around Bangkok. Similarly, some expenditure related to the Western Indian Ocean – Marine Turtle Task Force meeting (Port Elizabeth, December 2011) was charged to 2012.

Secretariat arrangements during the Coordinator's special leave of absence

8. As announced in a communication from the Secretariat to all Focal Points on 12 March 2014, the Coordinator's request to undertake a one-year Special Leave without Pay, beginning in the last trimester of 2014, has been approved by UNEP management. The exact starting date remains under review, subject to exigencies of service. Discussions have been underway to ensure effective continuity of Secretariat operations during the period of the Coordinator's temporary absence.

9. In consultation with the Executive Secretary of the Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), the parent organisation of IOSEA, and the Regional Director of the UNEP Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, where IOSEA has been co-located for the past 11 years, it has been agreed that the secretariat functions will be provided temporarily from the offices of the CMS Secretariat, in Bonn, Germany. Recognising the importance of IOSEA as a flagship agreement within the CMS family of international instruments, the move will allow for closer supervision of the Secretariat's ongoing work over the coming year.

10. A process has been initiated to recruit a staff member to fill the temporary vacancy during the Coordinator's one-year absence, and arrangements are being made to assure continuity in the additional support that has been provided through consultancies over the past year. All of the Secretariat's operations will be served from Bonn, where staff will benefit from closer interaction with CMS colleagues. As the IOSEA website and related databases will continue to be housed in Bangkok and will be serviced remotely, IOSEA partners and other users should not notice any difference in the website's functionality.

11. The Secretariat will vacate its paid office space during the period of the Coordinator's absence, but the equipment and most of the physical files, library etc. will be put into storage so that its

operations can resume in Bangkok with minimal disruption in October 2015. A number of essential paper files and a copy of the entire IOSEA file server will be transferred to Bonn.

12. It is expected that all of the continuity arrangements will be in place by the time of the Seventh Meeting of Signatory States in early September, when meeting participants will have an opportunity to be introduced to the interim replacement. By that time also, it should be possible to provide a clearer indication of the cost implications of the temporary relocation. For the time being, it is assumed that some cost-savings will be realised because the temporary post will be filled at a lower grade; however, some of the gain will be offset by the fact that salaries in Bonn are higher than Bangkok, and that the outgoing and incoming officers will overlap for a short period in order to ensure a smooth transition.

13. The Coordinator expects to be able to commence his Special Leave in the first part of October, once the immediate follow-up from the Meeting has been dealt with. While he will be pre-occupied with a personal research project over the coming year, Douglas Hykle has indicated that he will still be available for colleagues to consult, as needed.

Budget estimates for 2015-2017

14. These considerations have already been factored into the indicative budget for the years 2015-2017 (Annex 4), which provides for basic Secretariat operating costs and consultancies that may arise from discussions at the present meeting. The Eighth Meeting of the Signatory States and Advisory Committee are tentatively proposed to be organised in the first half of 2017 (i.e. within two and a half years of the present meeting).

15. The decision to hold the Meeting of Signatory States in 2014 in the absence of a host country inevitably places a strain on the Secretariat finances, despite all efforts to economise in the organisation of the meeting. Heading into 2015, the operating reserve is estimated to be only 3 to 4 months, as has been experienced in the past, making it essential for key donors – particularly those that have yet to contribute in 2014 – to advance their voluntary contributions as soon as possible.

16. More generally, continuation of the IOSEA MoU programme as it currently exists through 2015 and beyond is contingent on existing donors continuing to provide voluntary contributions at least at historic levels; and securing funding from Signatory States that have yet to make a voluntary contribution, as well as new Signatories. Other new sources of funding (e.g. for the IOSEA Site Network) might include the private sector, foundations, and funds earmarked through special legislation; however it should be recognised that additional fund-raising by the Secretariat is a time-consuming activity that has the potential to detract from substantive work, especially for a secretariat with limited capacity.

17. The Fifth Meeting of the Signatory States acknowledged that while the IOSEA MoU is likely to be funded through voluntary contributions for the foreseeable future, some guidance would be helpful as to the level of funding needed from member States to cover operational costs. A formula was agreed making reference to the official United Nations Scale of Assessments¹, adapted to fit the circumstances of historical contributions to IOSEA. The table in Annex 5 calculates an indicative level of voluntary contribution for each IOSEA Signatory State, according to a modified UN Scale of Assessment, based on an annual budget averaging USD 315,000 for the years 2015, 2016, and 2017 (i.e. slightly lower than the indicative budget for 2012-2014). The modified scale maintains the historic levels of critical voluntary contributions and recognises the fact that more than 50% of IOSEA's membership comprises developing and least developed countries. The latter are unlikely to be in a position to offer substantial support for MoU activities in the near future – meaning that it falls to other more affluent countries to fill the gap. It is hoped that, increasingly, this shortfall will be

¹ This scale is agreed by the United Nations General Assembly and is periodically updated to reflect, as far as possible, the actual ability of countries to contribute to UN programmes. The current UN scale applies to the period 2013-2015; only the last year of the scale (2015) is used for purposes of our calculations.

filled by Signatory States that have never contributed to the IOSEA Trust Fund, but are considered to have the means to do so.

18. In agreeing to consider an alternative indicative scale of voluntary contributions as guidance for Signatory States to refer to when determining an appropriate level of contribution, the Fifth and Sixth Meetings of the Signatory States adopted a pragmatic approach that is continued in the calculations for 2015-2017. The indicative voluntary contributions of ten past donors – Australia, France, India, Mauritius, Myanmar, Oman, South Africa, Thailand, United Kingdom and United States – have been artificially fixed close to their historic levels; and the contributions of an additional 15 developing / least developed Signatory States have been set at a minimum of USD 500, as agreed by the Bali meeting. The suggested voluntary contributions of the remaining 10 Signatory States that have yet to contribute to the Trust Fund have been computed proportionately to reach the total average budget of USD 315,000 per annum. The 10 countries concerned are: Bahrain, Egypt, Indonesia, Islamic Republic of Iran, Malaysia, Pakistan, Philippines, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, and Viet Nam. Virtually all of the countries listed have a long-standing interest in conserving marine turtles, and their relative standing in the United Nations Scale of Assessments suggests that many have increasing levels of revenue to allocate at the discretion of their Governments.

19. It is hoped that this presentation will encourage many of these countries to re-examine their ability to contribute financially to the work of the IOSEA Marine Turtle MoU, and thereby assume a more equitable share of responsibility for assuring the long-term viability of this cooperative programme. As in the case of the indicative scale agreed in Bali, the hypothetical income scenario outlined above really only serves to stabilise the present financial situation. It does not provide for substantial funding of collective, cooperative actions that might be identified by the Signatory States as priorities to take forward over the next two to three years, such as more profound development of the network of sites of importance for marine turtles. And even this budget proposal will not put IOSEA on a more sound financial footing unless a significant number of the “Group of Ten” actually come forward and contribute according to their means.

Action requested / Expected outcome:

Ahead of the meeting, each Signatory State is requested to discuss and agree internally the level of funding which their Government is likely to make available for IOSEA over the coming year (at least), taking account of the suggested guidance in this document. Signatory States are further invited to consider additional means of providing financial or in-kind support for the implementation of the Memorandum of Understanding and the operation of the Secretariat, with a view to assuring continuity throughout 2015 and beyond; to note the report on financial and administrative matters; and to seek clarification of any points requiring further explanation.

Status of Voluntary Contributions / Interest and other Income / Grants as at 31 July 2014
(in United States dollars)

Annex 1

Technical Cooperation Trust Fund on the Conservation and Management of
Marine Turtles and their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South East Asia

Countries/Organisations	Year in which contribution was received (or due)*													
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
Australia	32,394	18,519	23,529	65,161	22,206	65,784	66,000	23,979	25,671	31,554		19,030	18,482	412,309
France	-	37,240	-	-				28,860	42,433	81,053	24,876	0		214,462
United Kingdom	20,000	39,850	37,900	94,782	68,709	39,334	21,405	24,477	16,171	32,600	31,148	39,530		465,906
United States of America	89,800	49,998	-	132,000	35,000	43,545	58,866	60,000	120,000	145,000	120,000	112,500	150,000	966,709
USA - Marine Turtle Conservation Fund					25,000		40,000	40,000	53,500			18,000		176,500
South Africa					25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	200,000
India								8,901	14,000	14,000	15,000		30,000	81,901
Myanmar								500	500	500				1,500
Oman								5,000	5,000	5,000		10,000	5,000	30,000
Thailand								3,687	3,687	3,687	3,687	3,687	3,687	22,122
Maldives											500	500		1,000
Mauritius									500	500			500	1,000
Mozambique											500	1,000	500	1,500
United Republic of Tanzania											494			494
Western Pacific Fishery Council (USA)			15,000	10,000	10,000									35,000
Subtotal	142,194	145,607	76,429	301,943	185,915	173,663	211,271	220,404	281,462	338,894	221,204	229,247	233,169	2,761,403
Cumulative Subtotal	142,194	287,801	364,230	666,173	852,088	1,025,751	1,237,022	1,457,426	1,738,888	2,077,782	2,298,986	2,528,234	2,761,403	
NGO: te mana o te moana (French Polynesia)							3,904							3,904
BOBLME									6,000	10,000	4,000			20,000
Subtotal							3,904	6,000	10,000	4,000				23,904
Cumulative Subtotal							3,904	9,904	19,904	23,904				
CMS - IOSEA Meeting support		22,500	45,027	32,114	5,744									105,385
CMS support for special IOSEA initiatives					7,886		11,310	12,867						32,063
UNEP/DEC		25,000												25,000
Sub Total		47,500	45,027	32,114	13,630		11,310	12,867						162,448
Cumulative Subtotal		47,500	92,527	124,641	138,271	138,271	149,581	162,448						
CMS: Payment for CMS Advisory Services		50,000			26,400	26,339	31,273	24,870	28,402	28,402	25,600	25,200	23,204	289,690
Cumulative Subtotal		50,000	50,000	50,000	76,400	102,739	134,012	158,882	187,284	215,686	241,286	266,486	289,690	
Interest Income	2,237	5,978	5,497	8,547	7,489	15,197	4,140	3,433	2,185	4,552	2,982	1,631		63,868
Grand Total	144,431	249,085	126,953	342,604	233,434	215,199	261,898	261,574	318,049	381,848	253,786	256,078	256,373	3,301,313
Cumulative Total	144,431	393,516	520,469	863,073	1,096,507	1,311,706	1,573,604	1,835,178	2,153,227	2,535,075	2,788,861	3,044,940	3,301,313	

Notes for 2012-14

India: Contributions for both 2013 and 2014 were received in 2014. Mozambique: USD 1,500 was applied to 2012-2014
United States' contribution for 2014 reflects: State Dept (100,000) + NOAA (50,000)

IOSEA Trust Fund - Statement of Income and Expenditure as at 31 December 2013

Statement of income and expenditure and changes in reserves and fund balances for the period of the biennium 2012-2013	Technical Co-operation TF on the Conservation and Management of Marine Turtles and their Habitats of the Indian Oceans and South East Asia (MRL)
	(United States Dollars)
Income	
Voluntary contributions	435,037
Other/Miscellaneous:	
Interest income	4,335
Miscellaneous income	278
Total Income	439,650
Expenditure	
Staff and other personnel costs	339,924
Contractual services	16,500
Travel	12,984
Operating expenses	82,691
Acquisitions	21,966
Programme support costs	61,628
Total Expenditure	535,693
Excess/(shortfall) of income over expenditure	(96,043)
Prior periods' adjustments	-
Net excess/(shortfall) of income over expenditure	(96,043)
Savings on prior periods' obligations	-
Transfers from/(to) other funds	-
Refund to member states and donors	-
Fund balances, beginning of period	300,489
Fund balances, end of period	204,446
Reserves, end of period	-
Total reserves and fund balances	204,446
Statement of assets, liabilities, reserves and fund balances as at 31 December 2013	
Assets	
Cash and term deposits	-
Cash pool - US dollar	200,774
Cash pool - Euro	3,371
Accounts receivable:	
Voluntary contributions receivable	1,000
Inter-fund receivable	7,114
Other accounts receivable	-
Other assets	-
Total assets	212,259
Liabilities	
Unliquidated obligations	7,813
Accounts payable:	
Inter-fund payable	-
Other accounts payable	-
Other liabilities	-
Total liabilities	7,813
Reserves and fund balances	
Cumulative surplus	204,446
Total reserves and fund balances	204,446
Total liabilities, reserves and fund balances	212,259

IOSEA Marine Turtle Memorandum of Understanding
Unofficial statement of IOSEA Trust Fund expenditure for 2012 - 2014 (in US Dollars)

Budget line	2012		2013		2014	
	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Projected
10 Personnel						
1100 Professional Staff						
1101 Co-ordinator / Senior CMS Advisor (1)	*175,000		*179,000		*176,000	
Salary covered by IOSEA Trust Fund	149,000	156,719	153,000	163,829	151,000	131,000
Temporary post (four months of 1/9/2014 - 31/8/2014)						55,000
1201 Project activities arising from Leatherback Assessment	10,000		5,000		0	
1202 Project activities arising from Loggerhead Assessment	0		10,000		5,000	
1203 Site Network Development activities	20,000		10,000		10,000	
1220 Unspecified consultancies	10,000	1,500	7,500	15,000	10,000	30,000
1300 Administrative Support						
1321 Team Assistant - balance paid from IOSEA Trust Fund (2)	19,500	19,082	21,500	0	23,000	0
1600 Travel on official business						
1601 Secretariat travel	25,000	14,722	26,500	10,310	28,000	16,000
1999 Personnel Subtotal	233,500	192,024	233,500	189,140	227,000	232,000
30 Meetings						
3101 Other Meetings (WIO-MTTF)		13,092				
3301 Meeting of Signatory States + Advisory Committee	0	59,796	0		125,000	100,000
3302 Strategic planning session	0		0		0	
3999 Meetings Subtotal	0	72,888	0	0	125,000	100,000
40 Equipment and Premises						
4100 Expendable equipment						
4101 Miscellaneous supplies (if not from UNEP/ROAP)	500	0	500	0	500	0
4200 Non-expendable equipment						
4201 Office equipment (computers, peripherals)	2,500	0	0	901	2,500	73
4300 Premises						
4301 Rent, maintenance costs	11,065	10,000	11,065	11,065	11,065	10,300
4999 Equipment and Premises Subtotal	14,065	10,000	11,565	11,966	14,065	10,373
50 Miscellaneous Costs						
5100 Operation and Maintenance						
5101 Operation/maintenance computers (c/o UNEP/ROAP)	0		0		0	
5102 Operation/maintenance of copier/fax (c/o UNEP/ROAP)	0		0		0	
5200 Reporting Costs						
5201 External production of info material (if not from UNEP/ROAP)	0		5,000	2,120	7,500	-1,557
5300 Sundry						
5301 Routine Telephone, Fax, Postage (c/o UNEP/ROAP)	0	871	0	-662	0	321
5303 Contingency	1,500		1,500		2,000	
5999 Miscellaneous Costs Subtotal	1,500	871	6,500	1,458	9,500	-1,236
SUBTOTAL	249,065	275,783	251,565	202,563	375,565	341,137
6000 UNEP programme support costs (13%)	32,378	35,852	32,703	26,333	48,823	44,348
GRAND TOTAL	281,443	311,634	284,268	228,896	424,388	385,485

(1) CMS has contributed € 19,200 (approx. USD 25,000) p.a. towards salary cost in exchange for CMS advisory services, through 2014.

(2) Funded from UNEP programme support costs, up to USD 24,500 p.a.; amounts reflect balance to be paid from IOSEA Trust Fund

**IOSEA Marine Turtle Memorandum of Understanding
Budget Estimates for 2015 - 2017 (in US Dollars)**

Budget line	2015	2016	2017	Total
10 Personnel				
1100 Professional Staff				
1101 Co-ordinator / CMS Senior Advisor (1)	*173,000	*189,000	*189,000	
Salary covered by IOSEA Trust Fund	146,000	162,000	162,000	470,000
1201 Project activities arising from Leatherback Assessment	10,000	5,000		15,000
1202 Project activities arising from Loggerhead Assessment	5,000	5,000	5,000	15,000
1203 Site Network Development activities	10,000	5,000	5,000	20,000
1220 Unspecified consultancies	5,000	5,000	5,000	15,000
1221 Specialised consultancies	32,000	0	0	32,000
1300 Administrative Support				
1321 Team Assistant - balance paid from IOSEA Trust Fund (2)	8,000	21,500	23,000	52,500
1600 Travel on official business				
1601 Secretariat travel	17,500	17,500	22,500	57,500
1999 Personnel Subtotal	233,500	221,000	222,500	677,000
30 Meetings				
3301 Meeting of Signatory States + Advisory Committee (3)	0	0	120,000	120,000
3999 Meetings Subtotal	0	0	120,000	120,000
40 Equipment and Premises				
4100 Expendable equipment				
4101 Miscellaneous supplies (if not from UNEP/ROAP)	250	275	300	825
4200 Non-expendable equipment				
4201 Office equipment (computers, peripherals)	0	2,000	0	2,000
4999 Equipment and Premises Subtotal	250	2,275	300	2,825
50 Miscellaneous Costs				
5100 Operation and Maintenance				
5101 Operation/maintenance computers (c/o UNEP/ROAP)	0	0	0	0
5102 Operation/maintenance of copier/fax (c/o UNEP/ROAP)	0	0	0	0
5103 Rent, maintenance costs (UNEP/ROAP)	2,778	11,065	11,065	24,908
5200 Reporting Costs				
5201 External production of info material	2,500	0	0	2,500
5300 Sundry				
5301 Routine Telephone, Fax, Postage (c/o UNEP/ROAP)	1,000	1,000	1,250	3,250
5303 Contingency	1,800	2,000	2,000	5,800
5999 Miscellaneous Costs Subtotal	8,078	14,065	14,315	36,458
SUBTOTAL	241,828	237,340	357,115	836,283
6000 UNEP programme support costs (13%)	31,438	30,854	46,425	108,717
GRAND TOTAL	273,266	268,194	403,540	945,000

(1) CMS will contribute € 20,376 (approx. USD 27,000) per annum towards salary cost in exchange for CMS advisory services, from 2015 through 2017. Figures for 2015 reflect temporary staffing arrangement.

(2) Bangkok-based Team Assistant (post currently vacant), to be funded from UNEP programme support costs, up to USD 24,500 p.a.; amounts reflect balance to be paid from IOSEA Trust Fund

(3) Total meeting cost may be reduced by earmarked contributions/grants

Suggested indicative scale of contributions, based USD 315,000 average annual budget

Based on standard UN scale modified to approximate historical contributions of past donors, plus minimum contribution of USD 500, and enhanced contributions from "Group of Ten" *

No.	Signatory State	Current UN scale %	Scale adjusted to 100 %	Amended scale adjusted to 100 %	Indicative Voluntary Contribution
1	Australia	2.074	5.28260	12.69841	40,000
2	Bahrain	0.039	0.09934	0.21516	678
3	Bangladesh	0.010	0.02547	0.15873	500
4	Cambodia	0.004	0.01019	0.15873	500
5	Comoros	0.001	0.00255	0.15873	500
6	Egypt	0.134	0.34131	0.73928	2,329
7	Eritrea	0.001	0.00255	0.15873	500
8	France	5.593	14.24569	15.87302	50,000
9	India	0.666	1.69634	4.76190	15,000
10	Indonesia	0.346	0.88128	1.90890	6,013
11	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.356	0.90675	1.96407	6,187
12	Jordan	0.022	0.05604	0.15873	500
13	Kenya	0.013	0.03311	0.15873	500
14	Madagascar	0.003	0.00764	0.15873	500
15	Maldives	0.001	0.00255	0.15873	500
16	Malaysia	0.281	0.71572	1.55029	4,883
17	Mauritius	0.013	0.03311	0.15873	500
18	Mozambique	0.003	0.00764	0.15873	500
19	Myanmar	0.010	0.02547	0.15873	500
20	Oman	0.102	0.25980	1.58730	5,000
21	Pakistan	0.085	0.21650	0.46895	1,477
22	Papua New Guinea	0.004	0.01019	0.15873	500
23	Philippines	0.154	0.39225	0.84963	2,676
24	Saudi Arabia	0.864	2.20066	4.76673	15,015
25	Seychelles	0.001	0.00255	0.15873	500
26	South Africa	0.372	0.94751	7.93651	25,000
27	Sri Lanka	0.025	0.06368	0.15873	500
28	Sudan	0.010	0.02547	0.15873	500
29	Thailand	0.239	0.60875	1.26984	4,000
30	United Arab Emirates	0.595	1.51550	3.28265	10,340
31	United Kingdom	5.179	13.19121	12.69841	40,000
32	United Republic of Tanzania	0.009	0.02292	0.15873	500
33	United States of America (1)	22.000	56.03525	25.39683	80,000
34	Viet Nam	0.042	0.10698	0.23172	730
35	Yemen	0.010	0.02547	0.15873	500
	Total	39.261	100.00000	100.89802	315,000

* Historical donors > USD 500 shown in green; minimum contribution of USD 500 applied to developing/least developed countries; all other countries shown in gray

(1) United States: reflects only indicative contribution from State Dept; excludes potential contributions from NOAA and USFWS (Marine Turtle Conservation Act)