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**ANNEX 1**

DRAFT RESOLUTION

**CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT OF WHALES AND THEIR HABITATS IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC REGION**

*Aware* of international commitments and goals to conserve cetacean population of the South Atlantic Region, in particular pursuant to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) for which the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) is the lead partner in the global conservation of migratory species over their entire range;

*Recognizing* the importance of cetacean conservation in international fora, as reflected, inter alia, in the Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), the International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (IWC), the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, in particular regarding, but not limited to, Sustainable Development Goal 14 "Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development";

*Noting* that the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species 2015-2023, has the mission “to promote actions to ensure the favourable conservation status of migratory species and their habitats, and to ensure the ecological integrity, connectivity and resilience of migration systems”;

*Aware* that whales are highly migratory animals and may face multiple and cumulative threats with possible effects over a wide area, and that their conservation entail a concerted multilateral effort to halt population decrease and ensure recovery in a range of marine and coastal habitats, thus contributing also to the conservation of other species;

*Also recognizing* that no fewer than 51 species of cetaceans inhabit the waters of the South Atlantic Ocean and some of them are highly migratory baleen whales that feed in the Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic oceans during summer and breed in tropical, subtropical and temperate waters in winter and spring, and that several of these species are listed both on Appendices I and II of CMS, and that urgent action should be taken to establish and maintain a favourable conservation status;

*Concerned* that modern whaling has been seen as possibly the largest hunt in human history and that it is estimated that approximately 3,000,000 whales were killed around the world between 1900-1999, from which about 71 per cent were hunted in the southern hemisphere;

*Recalling* Article 2, paragraph 1 of the Convention, where “[t]he Parties acknowledge the importance of migratory species being conserved and of Range States agreeing to take action to this end whenever possible and appropriate, paying special attention to migratory species the conservation status of which is unfavourable, and taking individually or in co-operation appropriate and necessary steps to conserve such species and their habitat”;

*Further recalling* that Resolution 9.9 on Migratory Marine Species expresses concern that migratory marine species face multiple, cumulative and often synergistic threats with possible effects over vast areas, such as bycatch, over-fishing, pollution, habitat destruction or degradation, marine noise impacts and deliberate hunts as well as climate change;

*Also noting that* CMS calls for international cooperative action to conserve migratory species and that CMS Article IV encourages CMS Parties to conclude Agreements, including non-legally binding agreements, in respect of any population of migratory species;

*Bearing in mind* that knowledge of the biology, ecology, migratory routes, population and conservation status of many whales is still deficient and that international cooperation will facilitate research on these species and support the development and implementation of conservation measures;

*Further recognizing* that the CMS Scientific Council has scientific and technical expertise that can be shared to support the implementation of this Action Plan.

*The Conference of the Parties to the*

*Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals*

1. *Adopts* the “Action Plan for the Protection and Conservation of South Atlantic Whales” (heretofore referred to as the “Action Plan” contained in Annex 3), and urges Parties and encourages non-Parties and stakeholders to implement and support the Action Plan as a matter of priority;
2. *Calls on* Parties, in particular Range States, to strengthen existing measures under CMS and other relevant multilateral environmental agreements, including through collaborative arrangements with other Parties and institutions, especially where these contribute to the goals of the Action Plan, in order to address threats and promote the conservation of great whale species in the South Atlantic area, with special regard to those listed in Appendices I and II;
3. *Encourages* Parties to redouble their efforts to increase public awareness of, and support for, great whales conservation along migratory routes in the South Atlantic among the general public and stakeholders;
4. *Calls on* Parties and *invites* non-Parties and stakeholders to strengthen national and local capacity for cetacean conservation and the implementation of the Action Plan including, inter alia, by developing training courses, translating and disseminating examples of best practice, transferring technology, and promoting the use of online tools to address specific issues that are relevant to the Action Plan;
5. *Requests* the Scientific Council to promote work to address key gaps in knowledge and future research directions to support the implementation of the Action Plan and other conservation measures in the South Atlantic area;

**Annex 2**

DRAFT DECISIONS

**CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT OF WHALES AND THEIR HABITATS IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC REGION**

***Directed to the Secretariat***

12.AA The Secretariat shall, in collaboration with Parties and relevant international organizations, subject to the availability of external resources:

1. support capacity-building activities related to cetacean conservation;

1. organize a regional workshop to improve capacity for the implementation of the Action Plan.

***Directed to Parties***

12.BB Parties are requested to:

1. Report on progress in implementing the Action Plan, including monitoring and efficacy of measures taken, to the Conference of the Parties at each of its meetings.

**Annex 3**

**ACTION PLAN FOR THE PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION OF SOUTH ATLANTIC WHALES**

## Introduction

## The present Action Plan for the Protection and Conservation of South Atlantic Whales aims at reasserting conservation interests in the light of the growing and highly qualified regional contribution towards research, in addition to the undeniable economic interest of many developing countries in the reinforcement of sustainable non-lethal and non-extractive uses of whales.

## Most species of baleen whales have suffered from modern whaling in the 20th century when approximately 3,000,000 whales around the world have been killed, among which approximately 71 per cent were hunted in the southern hemisphere. All large whale species were exploited by commercial whaling in the South Atlantic Ocean. Each species suffered different degrees of exploitation and some were severely depleted. Although protected by an international moratorium on whaling, most of the species that inhabit the South Atlantic Ocean remain endangered or vulnerable.

## While species are protected by national laws and enforcement measures in the South Atlantic Range States, as well as at their feeding ground in the Southern Ocean Sanctuary, they remain highly vulnerable during their migration through waters beyond national jurisdiction.

## The implementation of the Action Plan for the Protection and Conservation of South Atlantic Whales under the auspices of CMS will address the protection during vulnerable phases of the whales’ life cycles and of important habitats to improve the conservation status of the whale baleen species to achieve and maintain a favourable conservation status for all whales and their habitats occurring in the region.

## This Action Plan aims to be socially, economically and scientifically useful for the peoples of the South Atlantic coastal States, and to contemplate the widest possible array of regional interests.

## The purpose of this Action Plan is twofold: 1) to inform CMS members about its goals and actions for the next ten years, and 2) to propose strategies toward the achievement of its goals using the best means available and point out clear performance measures for each proposed action.

## This Action Plan is a living document, which will require, for its adequate implementation, to take on board contributions from coastal States experiences, as well as from CMS, its bodies, and other relevant international instruments and organizations. The Plan will also adapt to range States' respective constitutional and legal requirements and management strategies, including through wide consultation with stakeholders, validation and approval by national decision-making processes, taking into account that this Action Plan is not intended to replace or supersede national efforts for cetacean conservation.

## The implementation of this Action Plan under the auspices of CMS will provide a global platform for the conservation and sustainable use of whales and their habitats. CMS will bring together Range States and provide the basis for internationally coordinated conservation measures throughout the migratory range.

All the actions presented in this Action Plan will take place in the South Atlantic Ocean enclosed by the following line: starting from the Equator, then generally south following the eastern coastline of South America and, starting from a point situated at Lat 55°07,3'S Long 066°25,0'W; thence to the point Lat 55°11,0'S Long 066°04,7'W; thence to the point Lat 55°22,9'S Long 065°43,6'W; thence due South to Parallel 56°22,8'S; thence to the point Lat 56°22,8'S Long 067°16,0'W; thence due South, along the Cape Horn Meridian, to 60°S to the point at 40°S; until it reaches the coast of South Africa; thence it follows the coastline of Africa to the west and north until it reaches the Equator; thence due west to the coast of Brazil, closing the perimeter at the starting point.

## Species and stocks assessment

This Action Plan focuses on all great whale species (all baleen whales, including the Pygmy Right Whale, plus the Sperm Whale) that occur in the South Atlantic area. Table 1 presents a list of the covered species with the current available data on their stock distribution, abundance, trends estimates and main known threats.

**Table 1.** List of recorded whale species and stocks, their abundance (with coefficient of variation (CV) or confidence interval (CI)), trends and known threats.

| **Species** | **Stock** | **Abundance (year)** | **Abundance CV or 95% CI** | **Trends** | **Threats** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Eubalaena australis* | South Western Atlantic | 4,030 1 | Unknown | 6.2% year 1 | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement, coastal development, die-offs. |
| *Eubalaena australis* | South Central Atlantic | 80 1 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| *Eubalaena australis* | Southern Africa | 4,410 1 | Unknown | 6.8% year 1 | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement, coastal development, chemical and noise pollution, oil and gas exploration |
| *Megaptera novaeangliae* | Breeding Stock A | 6,400 (2005)2 | 0.11 2 | 7.4% year 3 | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement, coastal development, chemical and noise pollution, oil and gas exploration |
| *Megaptera novaeangliae* | Breeding Stock B1 | 6,800 4 | 95% CI: 4,350-10,500 4 | Unknown | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement, coastal development, chemical and noise pollution, oil and gas exploration |
| *Megaptera novaeangliae* | Breeding Stock B2 | 510 4 | 95% CI: 230-790 4 | Unknown | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement |
| *Balaenoptera acutorostrasta* | South Atlantic | Unknown | --- | --- | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement, coastal development, chemical and noise pollution, oil and gas exploration |
| *Balaenoptera bonaerensis* | Areas II and III 1 | Unknown | --- | --- | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement |
| *Balaenoptera musculus* | Areas II and III 1 | Unknown | --- | --- | Unknown |
| *Balaenoptera physalus* | Areas II and III 1 | Unknown | --- | --- | Unknown |
| *Balaenoptera edeni* | South Atlantic | Unknown | --- | --- | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement |
| *Balaenoptera borealis* | Areas II and III 1 | Unknown | --- | --- | Unknown |
| *Caperea marginata* |  | Unknown | --- | --- | Unknown |
| *Physeter macrocephalus* | Divisions 1 and 2 5 | Unknown | --- | --- | Vessel collision, fishery entanglement |

1 IWC - International Whaling Commission (2014). 2 Andriolo et al. (2010). 3 Ward et al. (2011). 4 Barendse et al. (2011). 5 Revision of these regions is recommended as more data becomes available.

## Governance

**Coordination of the Action Plan**

Key stakeholders that may be involved in the development, implementation and review of this Action Plan include, but are not limited to, governmental and non-governmental agencies and organizations, in particular those involved with environmental, marine, scientific and regulatory activities.

## Duration of the Action Plan

This Action Plan should be reviewed and refined every ten years to account for ecological, oceanographic and other possible changes.

## ACTION PROGRAMS

Two Action Programs comprising 11 actions are proposed: *Research and Monitoring Action Plan* and *Education and Outreach Action Plan*.

## Outline of the Action Programmes:

**Goals.** Goals address what is the desired future situation concerning the conservation and management of whale species, with ambitious long-term envisaged outcomes.

**Objectives.** Objectives focus on measurable outcomes for evaluating progress and success in moving towards future desired conditions.

**Strategies.**  The strategies section explains how to achieve the objectives. Activities are developed and implemented to achieve the proposed goals and objectives.

**Performance measure.** The performance measure is a direct index of the success or failure of each action. One of the possible next steps would be the development of performance indicators with the support of the Scientific Committee, taking into account, as appropriate, national indicators where they exist.

## Implementation of the Action Plan for the Protection and Conservation of South Atlantic Whales

This Plan is designed to guide the management of threats faced by whales in the South Atlantic Ocean and monitor their recovery for the next ten years. The implementation of this Action Plan will require cooperation and coordination among federal government agencies, as well as private organizations and individuals. Information exchange, sharing facilities and human resources, and the coordination of policies and procedures within an ecosystem context are also features of this Action Plan.

## Limitations

The success of the actions proposed by this Action Plan is closely linked to the availability of budget and logistic/research staff.

## PERFORMANCE OF THE ACTION PLAN AND PRIORITIZATION OF ACTIONS

A fundamental aspect of this Action Plan is the requirement of continuous performance evaluations regarding its implementation and development. The progress of this Action Plan should be evaluated in order to assess which aspects need to be improved or given more attention/effort. The assessment of the effectiveness of performance measures for each Action is key to reaching a proper evaluation.

A Performance Evaluation Committee should be created, and performance results will be presented to the Scientific Council and to the Conference of Parties of the Convention on Conservation on Migratory Species of Wild Animals at regular intervals to be defined. This is important as a means of keeping informed the general public, researchers, and other interested parties on this Action Plan's effectiveness; helping identify resource gaps; improving communication among research sites, stakeholders and the general public; and providing basis for managers to comprehensively evaluate their outcomes in both the short and long term. The measures proposed to evaluate the performance of this Action Plan are linked to field monitoring, and are presented in the table of goals that specifies the actions needed to assess threats and monitor population abundance and trends.

A list of priority actions was defined and is presented in the table of this Action Plan's goals.

## Research and Monitoring Action Plan

The Research and Monitoring Action Plan (REAP) is key to achieve the main goals of this Action Plan concerning (1) assessing and addressing of threats and (2) monitoring of the recovery of whale populations.

## Goal 1. Assess the distribution, status and trends of whale populations.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** |  **Species/Stock** | **Objective** | **Strategy** | **Indicator** | **Priority** | **Time scale1** |
|  **Successful** | **Moderately Successful** | **Moderately Unsuccessful** |  **Unsuccessful** |  |  |
| A1 | All species | Define and refine whale stock identity. | Develop multi-methodological approaches, increase sampling effort and area coverage for stock identity. | Whale stocks identified for all species, with great increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Whale stocks identified for most of species, with moderate increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Whale stocks identified for some species, with some increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Whale stocks identified for few species, with poor sampling effort and area coverage. | High | Long term |
| A2 | All species/stocks | Determine habitat use patterns and critical areas.  | Develop multi-methodological approaches, increase sampling effort and area coverage for habitat use and critical areas identification. | Critical areas and habitat use identified for all species, with great increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Critical areas and habitat use identified for most of species, with moderate increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Critical areas and habitat use identified for some species, with some increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Critical areas and habitat use identified for few species, with poor increase on sampling effort and area coverage. | Low | Medium term |
| A3 | All species/stocks | Produce abundance estimates and trend estimates  | Conduct comprehensive field surveys for abundance estimation.Conduct long-term studies to detect temporal trends of whale populations. | Abundance estimates for all species/stocksTrends estimated for all species/stocks | Abundance estimates for most of species/stocksTrends estimated for most of species/stocks | Abundance estimates for some species/stocksTrends estimated for some species/stocks | Abundance estimates for few species/stocksTrends estimated for few species/stocks | High | Long term |

1Time scale (short term = 2 years, medium term = 5 years, long term = 10 years)

**Goal 2. Maintain or increase current whale populations**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** | **Species/Stock** | **Objective** | **Strategy** | **Indicator** | **Priority** | **Time scale1** |
| **Successful** | **Moderately Successful** | **Moderately Unsuccessful** | **Unsuccessful** |  |  |
| A4 | All species/stocks | Zero deliberate whale catches  | a) Maintain the existing international legal protection and measures for whales.b) Report on infractions to zero whale catches. | No deliberate whale catch reported, international legal protection and management measures maintained or increased. | Few deliberate whale catch reported, international legal protection and management measures maintained.  | Some deliberate whale catch reported, international legal protection and management measures maintained or decreased. | High deliberate whale catch reported, international legal protection and management measures decreased. | High | Medium term |
| A5 | All species/stocks | Reduce mortality due to entanglements in fishing gear. | a) Evaluate the degree of overlapping between fisheries and distribution of whale populations.b) Promote cooperation with fishermen, the fishing industry and other stakeholders in order to minimize entanglements.c) Develop or implement National Action Plans to mitigate entanglements.d)Promote capacity building. | Pronounced negative trend rates of whales reported dead due to entanglements. | Moderately Negative trend rates of whales reported dead due to entanglements. | Moderately positive trend rates of whales reported dead due to entanglements. | Pronounced positive trend rates of whales reported dead due to entanglements. | High | Medium term |
| A6 | All species/stocks | Reduce whale-vessel collision rates in breeding grounds. abundance estimates and trend estimates. | a) Initiate a broad and long-term program to evaluate the degree of overlapping between vessel routes and distribution of whales populations.b) Estimate rates of whale-vessel strikes and identify areas of higher risk.c) Incorporate information about areas of risk on international nautical charts.d) Evaluate and propose mitigation actions (e.g. lower vessel speed, changing, vessel routes) if appropriate.e) Contribute data to the IWC (International Whaling Commission) vessel-strike database. | Pronounced negative trend in estimated rates of whale-vessel strikes. | Moderately Negative trend in estimated rates of whale-vessel strikes. | Moderately positive trend in estimated rates of whale-vessel strikes. | Pronounced positive trend in estimated rates of whale-vessel strikes. | Low | Medium term |

1Time scale ( short-term = 2 years, medium-term = 5 years, long-term = 10 years)

**Goal 3. Foster coordinated research in the region.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** | **Species/Stock** | **Objective** |  **Strategy** | **Indicator** | **Priority** | **Time scale1** |
| **Successful** | **Moderately Successful** | **Moderately Unsuccessful** | **Unsuccessful** |  |  |
| **A7** | All species/stocks | Coordinate whale research in the South Atlantic Ocean | a) Organize periodic workshops for the coordination of whale research in the South Atlantic Ocean.b) Standardize research methodologies and promote capacity building.c) Establish a communication network of research institutions.  | Relevant research cooperation projects planned and developed.Relevant number of researchers trained. | Some research cooperation projects planned and developed.Reasonable number of researchers trained  | Few research cooperation projects planned and developed.Low number of researchers trained. | No research cooperation projects planned and developed.No researchers trained | HighHigh | Medium termMedium term |
| A8 | All species/stocks | Promote data sharing. | a) Create unified databases.b) Integrate information with other existing programs and databases (e.g. IWC Southern Ocean Research Program (SORP)), IWC photo identification catalogs and ship strikes database, Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF)).  | Relevant shared databases planned and developed. | Some shared databases planned and developed. | Few shared databases planned and developed. | No shared databases planned and developed. | Low | Medium term |

1Time scale (short term = 2 years, medium term = 5 years, long term = 10 years)

**Education and Outreach Action Plan**

The Education and Outreach Action Plan (EOAP) is key to increase the development of the sustainable use of whales and to disseminate the information gathered for local, national and international communities.

## Goal 4. Raise awareness and engagement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** | **Species/Stock** |  **Objective** |  **Strategy** | **Indicator** | **Priority** | **Time scale1** |
| **Successful** | **Moderately Successful** | **Moderately Unsuccessful** | **Unsuccessful** |  |  |
| **A9** | All species/stocks | Increase awareness about South Atlantic Whale Conservation. | a) Disseminate and share information about this Action Plan (e.g. social media, press releases).b) Develop a webpage within the CMS portal to spotlight the initiatives and results of this Action Plan's actions.   | High number of reports, conferences, press release, and media campaigns, etc. Internet metrics on this Action Plan's webpage. | Moderate number of reports, conferences, press release, and media campaigns, etc. Internet metrics on this Action Plan's webpage. | Few number of reports, conferences, press release, and media campaigns, etc. Internet metrics on this Action Plan's webpage. | No relevant reports, conferences, press release, and media campaigns, etc. Internet metrics on this Action Plan's webpage. | High | Medium term |

1Time scale (short-term = 2 years, medium-term = 5 years, long-term = 10 years)

**Goal 5. Develop sustainable, non-extractive and non-lethal economic and educational use of whales.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** | **Species/****Stock** | **Objective** |  **Strategy** | **Indicator** |  **Priority** | **Time scale1** |
|  **Successful** | **Moderately Successful** | **Moderately Unsuccess-ful** | **Unsuccess-ful** |  |  |
| **A10** | All species/stocks | Maintain and improve the quality of existing whale watching activities. | a) Develop international workshop on responsible whale watching considering best practices.b) Stimulate further research to evaluate the status of whale watching procedures in the range countries. c) Stimulate the implementation of IWC's Strategic Plan on Whale Watching.  | Strategic Plan on Whale Watching planned and implemented in most countries in the South Atlantic region based on IWC'S Handbook on Whale Watching as a guideline and considering research information. | Strategic Plan on Whale Watching planned and implemented in some countries in the South Atlantic region based on IWC's Handbook on Whale Watching as a guideline and considering research information. | Strategic Plan on Whale Watching planned and implemented in few countries in the South Atlantic region based on IWC's Handbook on Whale Watching as a guideline and considering research information. | No Strategic Plan on Whale Watching planned and implemented in countries in the South Atlantic region based on IWC's Handbook on Whale Watching as a guideline and considering research information. | High | Medium-term |
| A11 | All species/stocks | Contribute to the education of the general public about whales and their ecosystems in the South Atlantic Ocean | 1. Identity opportunities in educational policies to include information about this Action Plan.
2. Produce content for educational activities.
 | Educational policies and activities developed in most countries in the region of the South Atlantic Ocean. | Educational policies and activities developed in some countries in the region of the South Atlantic Ocean. | Educational policies and activities developed in a few countries in the region of the South Atlantic Ocean. | No Educational policies and activities developed in countries in the region of the South Atlantic Ocean. | Low | Medium- term |

1Time scale (short term = 2 years, medium term = 5 years, long term = 10 years)

## GOALS AND ACTIONS

In this section the Action Plan's goals, actions, strategies and performance measures are contextualized. The methodology suggested to achieve the objectives is not extensively detailed and should be investigated in the referenced literature, as well as in the vast published bibliography.

## Goal 1. Assess the distribution, status and trends of whale populations.

The distribution, abundance and stock structure of baleen whales and the sperm whale in the South Atlantic are poorly understood. This lack of information has serious management implications since resource managers require reliable data on stock structure and abundance, along with knowledge of the distribution patterns of the species to be managed. With the exception of the southern right whale and the humpback whale, which have been studied for a longer time in the South Atlantic area (e.g. Payne, 1983; Best, 1981; Findlay et al., 1994; Martins et al., 2001; Zerbini et al., 2006) and consequently have the best baseline information on some of these parameters, most species still need systematic research to establish a baseline.

This first Goal proposes four Actions to assess the distribution, status and trends of whale populations in the South Atlantic Ocean.

**Action 1.** Define and refine whale stock identity

The selection of the appropriate management unit is critical to the conservation of animal populations (Clapham et al., 2008). The understanding of the stock structure is fundamental in assessing the effects of previous exploitation and in making management decisions. Stocks have been regarded as population units that can be managed effectively (Donovan, 1991) and are referred to groups of individuals of the same species that are demographically, but not necessarily genetically, isolated (Taylor, 2005; Clapham et al., 2008).

Stock structure can be assessed using different tools, such as genetics, tagging, photo-identification, acoustics, differences in parasites and contaminant loads, or morphological and demographic data (e.g. Dizon et al*.*, 1992; Gorbics and Bodkin, 2001). A multidisciplinary approach to assess stock structure has been recommended by a number of authors (Donovan, 1991; Clapham et al., 2008) because it increases the power to detect differences of importance to management.

Action A1 aims at defining the stock identity of whales in the South Atlantic area, as well as to refine the existing information on humpback and southern right whales.

## Strategy.

Develop multi-methodological approaches, increase sampling effort and area coverage for stock identity.

A multi-methodological approach for assessing whale stock identity and also refine the current knowledge on the subject comprises the concomitant application of several methodologies, including (1) genetics, (2) isotopes, (3) contaminant load, (4) acoustics, (5) satellite tagging, (6) photo-identification, (7) parasite load and (8) morphology and demography (e.g. Dizon et al., 1992; Zerbini et al., 2006; Delarue et al., 2008; Vighi et al., 2014).

In order to increase the sampling effort and area coverage for stock identity, dedicated vessel survey programs must be created, mainly in areas less studied such as in offshore regions of the South Atlantic. This platform of observation is especially useful for sampling tissue through biopsies and carrying out the studies 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. The development of studies 7 and 8 depends on the sampling of carcasses and consulting of scientific collections.

## Performance measure

Action A1 will be considered fully successful if all whale species have their stocks satisfactorily identified, with great increase on sampling effort area coverage in the South Atlantic Ocean during the Action Plan's period.

**Action 2.** Determine habitat use patterns and critical areas

The understanding of the distribution and habitat use of a species is required for many aspects of conservation planning and resource management. It has been demonstrated that environmental heterogeneity influences marine mammal habitat use, with the presence of distinct core areas within individuals’ home-ranges (e.g. Ingram and Rogan, 2002; Whitehead and Rendell, 2004).

In order to make recommendations regarding habitat management, it is of paramount importance to have a comprehensive understanding about the habitat use of the species. In this sense, identifying critical areas within the whale species´ range and recognizing their critical habitats are central components of THIS Action Plan.

Action A2 aims at determining the habitat use patterns and critical areas for the whale species in this Action Plan.

## Strategy

A multi-methodological approach to determine habitat use and critical areas should include dedicated vessel and aerial surveys, applying traditional detection and analytical methods as well as new technologies. The development and application of acoustic detection methods (Mellinger and Barlow, 2003; Wade et al., 2006) in large scales is highly recommended to achieve the objectives of this Action Plan, especially in regard to the most elusive and low density species. Habitat use at an individual level can be assessed through photo-identification and tagging studies, in order to examine the ranging patterns of individual animals. Sampling effort and area covered in the surveys must be increased in relation to previous studies.

## Performance measure

Action A2 will be considered fully successful if all whale species have critical areas determined with great increase on sampling effort and area coverage in the South Atlantic Ocean during the Action Plan's period.

**Action 3.** Produce abundance estimates and trends

Knowledge of population size plays a crucial role in wildlife conservation and management. Population abundance is fundamental in evaluating management strategies and it is required as a means to assess population trends. Trends in population abundance are used to monitor species affected by human activities. It is an important component of population management (Forney, 2000). In the context of the South Atlantic Ocean, producing trends estimates of the whales' populations is key to the understanding whether the species are recovering, and what is its pace.

In this sense, this Action Plan stimulates systematic research in order to produce abundance estimates for whales and compute population trends for the whale species in the South Atlantic area.

## Strategy

Comprehensive field surveys for abundance estimation must be conducted. Abundance may be estimated through traditional methods such as surveys applying distance sampling (e.g. Buckland *et al*. 2001) and through capture-recapture methodologies using the recording of individuals´ unique characteristics (*e.g.* Katona and Whitehead, 1981; Payne et al., 1983), as well as through the application of new alternative approaches.

Distance sampling methods may be applied by vessel or aerial surveys. Aerial surveys cover more area in much less time, but need to be corrected for visibility biases (Marsh and Sinclair, 1989). In order to improve corrections for such biases, it is recommended the inclusion of new technologies such as satellite tagging with time-diving recorders (Heide-Jorgesen et al., 2007), the combination of two simultaneous observation platforms (Zerbini et al., 2011), among others.

Long-term studies should be conducted to detect temporal trends of the whale populations. The most direct method to assess population trends is through the temporal analysis of abundance estimates. Nevertheless, absolute population abundance estimates may be only feasible for coastal species with well-defined stocks breeding grounds, such as the Humpback Whales and Southern Right Whales in the South Atlantic area. Consequently, it is recommended the application of alternative indexes of population size, a statistic assumed to be correlated to actual population size (Bowen and Siniff, 1999) for the remainder whale species. Temporal variation in sighting rates and acoustic detection rates collected in systematic and carefully designed long-term surveys may be applied as alternative indexes to produce trends.

## Performance measure

Action A3 will be considered fully successful if abundance and trend estimates are produced for all whales in the South Atlantic Ocean during the Action Plan's period.

## Goal 2. Maintain or increase current whale population sizes.

One of the main objectives of this Action Plan is to maintain or increase current whale stocks levels by mitigating known threats to whale stocks. Several anthropogenic factors are known to affect the conservation of whale stocks worldwide. Present and potential threats to whale stocks and their habitats in the South Atlantic Ocean include contaminants, acoustic and noise pollution, hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation, interaction with fisheries, collision with ships, climate change and die-offs. However, in the South Atlantic area, two threats in particular are considered to be more dangerous: entanglements in fishing gear (nets or ropes) and collision with ships.

The second Goal of this Action Plan proposes three Actions aiming at maintaining or increasing current whale stock sizes in the South Atlantic Ocean: ensure zero deliberate whale catches, reduce mortality by fishery and reduce whale-vessel collision rates.

## Action 4. Zero deliberate whale catches in the South Atlantic area

The South Atlantic area must be regarded as a non-take zone for stocks of all whales. No animal could be deliberately caught for commercial, scientific or aboriginal subsistence purposes.

## Strategy

In order to guarantee the South Atlantic area as a non-take zone for whales, it is essential to maintain the existing international legal protection and management measures for whales. Any infraction to the zero whale catches must be reported.

## Performance measure

Action A4 will be considered fully successful if no whale catch is reported in the South Atlantic area.

## Action 5. Reduce mortality due to entanglements in fishing gear

Entanglement in commercial fishing gear is one of the main causes of serious injury and mortality in large whales (Knowlton and Kraus, 2001; Robbins and Mattila 2004, Johnson *et al.* 2005). Since the interaction with the fisheries may potentially compromise the recovery of whales' stocks it is important to develop management strategies aimed to prevent this. Action 6 aims to evaluate, monitor and reduce the magnitude of this anthropogenic impact on whales' stocks in the South Atlantic Ocean.

## Strategy

In order to reduce mortality due to entanglements in fishing gear it is necessary to evaluate the degree of overlapping between different types of fisheries and the distribution of whale populations. This should integrate data on spatial distribution and density of whale stocks, historical or achieved by Actions 2 and 3, with data on distribution and density of the fishery effort. Spatial analysis methods should be applied in order to identify higher risk areas.

It is also recommended to promote cooperation with fishermen, the fishing industry and other stakeholders in order to minimize entanglements. In some regions, cooperation with the fishermen may be the only way to achieve data on distribution of the fishery effort and entanglement rates. After the risk areas and fisheries in the South Atlantic Ocean are identified, cooperation with all stakeholders is required in order to achieve the reduction of entanglements.

It is important to recognize that similar actions have already been recommended regionally in National Action Plans. In this manner, the implementation of these Plans should be reinforced where they are available and new ones should be developed elsewhere.

The participation of marine mammal experts in national forums on fishery management is advised in order to discuss specific management questions, such as the proposition of non-fishery zones, restrictions in fishing gear and the reduction of lost or abandoned fishing gear in the sea. In this context, it is worth noting that the Marine and Coastal Protected Areas (GEF MAR) Project has been created to support the creation and implementation of a marine and coastal protected areas (MCPAs) system in Brazil to reduce the loss of biodiversity.

Finally, promoting capacity building in all countries in the South Atlantic area is recommended.

## Performance measure

Action 5 will be considered successful if the indexes of whales killed due to entanglements show negative trends during the Action Plan's period. Entanglement indexes are difficult to achieve and should, if possible, be collected through a cooperation system with fishermen and the fishing industry, including log-books and on-board observers. As an alternative, stranding data may be applied in combination with other entanglement indexes.

## Action 6. Reduce whale-vessel collision rates in breeding grounds.

Vessel-whale collisions are of growing concern worldwide (Ritter, 2012). It is not known how many whales are affected annually by vessel collisions, although it is widely accepted that numbers are underestimated and likely increasing (IWC, 2008). Vulnerability to vessel strikes varies among species, but most interactions are with right, fin, humpback and sperm whales (Van Waerebeek et al., 2007; Van Waerebeek and Leaper, 2008). Depending on the size of the whale stock and the rate of collision, this can be a concerning factor in the recovery of some species. Action 6 aims to evaluate, monitor and reduce the magnitude of this anthropogenic impact on whales' stocks in the South Atlantic Ocean.

## Strategy

A broad and long-term programme to evaluate the degree of overlapping between vessel routes and the distribution of whale populations should be initiated. This should integrate data on spatial distribution and density of whale stocks, historical or achieved by Action 2 and 3, with data on distribution and density of the vessel routes. The probability of whale-vessel strikes in an area may be modelled based on vessel size and speed, route lengths, stock density and the surfacing behaviour of whales (Bezamat et al., 2015). Rates of whale-vessel strikes may be also estimated through photography marks in breeding grounds where a systematic research effort has been conducted. Marks verified in stranded animals may also be an alternative approach to estimate collision rate.

As a management action, the information about areas of risk should be incorporated on international nautical charts in order to minimize the probability of whale-vessel strikes. If appropriate, mitigation actions such as lower vessel speed and changing vessel routes should be evaluated and proposed.

Finally, this Action must contribute with data to the IWC vessel-strike database and other relevant assessments. In this sense, every case should be informed to the IWC ship strikes database (*http://www.iwcoffice.org*).

## Performance measure

Action 6 will be considered successful if the indexes of collision rates show negative trends during the Action Plan's period.

## Goal 3. Foster coordinated research in the region.

**Action 7. Coordinate research on whales in the South Atlantic Ocean**

The central spirit of this Action Plan is the cooperation and collaboration among nations and researchers towards the conservation and management of whales in the region. The coordination of the whale research in the South Atlantic area is considerably beneficial to the achievement of several objectives of this Action Plan and may be done in several ways. Action 8 proposes strategies to stimulate the coordinated research in the South Atlantic area.

## Strategy

Workshops for the coordination of research on whales in the South Atlantic Ocean should be organized periodically during the Action Plan's period. The meetings' main objectives shall be to elaborate a standardized research protocol among nations, establish a network of research institutions and continuing evaluate the performance of the Action Plan.

The standardization of research methodologies is of paramount importance to the achievement of this Action Plan's objectives. Several actions of this Action Plan depend upon solid collaborative research, especially those in Goals 1 and 2. Standardization of methodologies allows researchers of different geographical areas to compare and integrate their data more properly. An effort to elaborate a detailed protocol of methods should initiate in the first workshop relating to this Action Plan.

Building local human capacity through training and collaborations is also a strategy to be followed. The training of researchers is considered an important component of this Action Plan, in order to improve and maximize research expertise. Training may take place during collaborative field surveys and laboratory research, as well as during the aforementioned workshops. In this context, research cooperation projects are highly recommended.

Finally, to establish a communication network of research institutions is recommended.

## Performance measure

The success of this Action will be measured by (1) the number of research cooperation projects and (2) the number of researchers trained. Since the goal is to maximize both the number of cooperation projects and the number of researchers trained, there is no specific metric to be achieved for both indexes. It is expected that both indexes increase their numbers during the Action Plan's period. This must be a continuous strategy during the lifetime of the Action Plan.

## Action 8. Promote data sharing

Data sharing is fundamental to a rapid transformation of research results into knowledge and procedures to improve the conservation status of whales' stocks. Data sharing among researchers is a central component to the success for the research coordination in the South Atlantic area. Making data available to other investigators is essential to put South Atlantic researchers on the same page, improve the quality of the data interpretations, accelerate the achievements of results and facilitate data-driven management and conservation decisions. In order to increase the success probability of the Actions from Goals 1 and 2, Action 8 aims to promote data sharing among South Atlantic scientists.

## Strategy

To encourage data sharing, the creation of unified databases to store collected and analyzed research data is advised. Online unified databases should include research guidelines and protocols, taxonomic and distribution maps, and biological and ecological datasets. Those datasets should be continuously updated during this Action Plan's lifetime. Intellectual property policies should be established.

Besides that, information collected and generated during this Action Plan's lifetime should be integrated with other existing programs and databases, such as the IWC SORP (International Whaling Commission's Southern Ocean Research Partnership), IWC photo-identification catalogues and ship strike database, and the Global Biodiversity Information Facility.

## Performance measure

The success of this Action will be measured by the number of records shared among databases. There is no specific metric to be achieved, although it is expected that this index presents an increasing trend during this Action Plan's period. This must be a continuous strategy during the lifetime of this Action Plan.

## Goal 4. Raise awareness and engagement.

## Action 9. Increase awareness about the Action Plan

Support from the population is essential to ensure that governments ratify and give long-term support for this Action Plan. People will only demand action from governments to support this Action Plan if they are aware of the Action Plan's goals and implementation. Therefore, increasing awareness is an essential step in order to achieve this Action Plan's goals.

**Strategy:** Disseminate and share information about this Action Plan (e.g. social media, press releases).

Even though other actions will raise important scientific information about whale species and stocks in the South Atlantic Ocean, in order to increase awareness in the general population scientific information must be translated to non-scientific terms and disseminated in other fora.

Nowadays social networks have the potential to disseminate information much faster than other traditional ways, such as books and reports.

However, even though they have a smaller audience, traditional news outlets must also be a target when disseminating information about this Action Plan. Press releases must also be produced and sent to news agencies, in order to increase the number of information nodes available.

**Performance measure:** Number of reports, conferences, press release, and media campaigns, etc.

Since the goal is to share information about this Action Plan, there is no specific metric to be achieved. This must be a continuous strategy during the lifetime of this Action Plan.

**Strategy:** Develop a webpage within the CMS portal to spotlight the initiatives and results of this Action Plan's actions.

Even though social media is important to disseminate information, a stable node must be created in the internet to hold information permanently available about the Action Plan. As it is an CMS initiative, the most logical place to hold this node is the CMS website.

The webpages dedicated to the Action Plan will contain links to reports, scientific articles, infographics, and any other media produced. These can be used as anchor points for information disseminated through other channels.

**Performance measure**: Internet metrics on the Action Plan's webpage.

Since the goal is to share information about the Action Plan, there is no specific metric to be reached. Changes in accesses to the webpage over time can be used to gauge the effectiveness of information released in different news channels.

## Goal 5. Develop sustainable, non-extractive and non-lethal economic and educational use of whales.

**Action 10. Maintain and improve the quality of existing whale watching activities**

Whale watching is a significant and growing tourism industry worldwide (Hoyt and Hvenegaard, 2002) and is defined by the IWC as: ‘any commercial enterprise which provides for the public to see cetaceans in their natural habitat’ (IWC, 1994). It has been recognized as “…contributing largely to the economy, education and to the furthering of scientific knowledge of a number of countries…” (IWC, 1993). Moreover, whale watching tourism is frequently presented as the economic and moral antithesis of whaling (Evans, 2005).

However, exposing animals in their natural environment to millions of tourists may present risks. The potential impact of whale watching on the animals has been studied for decades and several effects have been detected (e.g. Corkeron, 2004). It is crucial to ensure that the economic and conservation value of whale-watching does not cause excessive stress to individual whales or their stocks (Williams et al., 2002). In this sense, Action 10 proposes strategies in order to maintain and improve the quality of existing whale watching activities in the South Atlantic countries.

## Strategy

The development of international workshops on responsible whale watching considering best practices is highly recommended by the countries in the South Atlantic area. Those meetings would be important to systematically evaluate the status and development of this activity in different regions of the South Atlantic Ocean. It would also be a forum for knowledge and experience exchange on this activity, which is fundamental to the improvement of its quality.

The status of whale watching procedures in the South Atlantic countries should be continuously evaluated by long term research. Concerns have been expressed regarding concentration of whale watching vessel (or aircraft) traffic, which may negatively affect the whales. Consequently, this Action Plan stimulates research on the short and long-term effects of the presence of tourism platforms on the behaviour, habitat use and distribution patterns of whales (e.g. Lusseau, 2003, 2004; Bain et al., 2006).

Finally, the implementation of IWC’s Strategic Plan on Whale Watching is stimulated.

## Performance measure

The performance of Action 10 will be measured by the number of Strategic Plan on Whale Watching planned and implemented in countries in the region of the South Atlantic Ocean based on IWC´s Handbook on Whale Watching as a guideline and considering research information. Another index of the Action´s performance is the number of scientific papers published evaluating whale watching status in the South Atlantic countries. At least one comprehensive assessment is expected to be published in each country where whale watching occurs during this Action Plan's lifetime.

## Action 11. Contribute to the education of the general public about whales and their ecosystems in the South Atlantic area

Contributing to spread knowledge throughout all sectors of society is an important role of scientists and educators. This Action Plan's goals will be fully achieved in a broader context if the comprehension about its relevance to the conservation of whales and their ecosystems is not restricted to governmental, academic and environmentalist circles. In this manner, the creation of this Action Plan is a unique opportunity to increase the knowledge on marine mammal conservation and management among the general public. Action 11 aims to propose strategies to better achieve this objective.

## Strategy

The first step in Action 11 is to identify opportunities in educational policies towards including information about this Action Plan. In this sense, official national educational programs for undergraduate and graduate students should be consulted and, if appropriate, a collaborative network among researchers and educators should be initiated in order to include the subject in those programs.

As a means to maximize the outreach of information, it is recommended that appropriate content be offered for educational activities. Information must be diversified in content and format (press, video and digital formats) in order to reach people of different ages and educational levels, as well as to account for the heterogeneity of culture and logistics among the educational systems in the South Atlantic countries.

## Performance measure

The performance of Action 11 will be measured by the number of educational policies and activities developed in countries in the region of the South Atlantic Ocean. There is no specific metric to be achieved. However, it is expected that all South Atlantic countries initiate educational programs to disseminate information about the Action Plan.

NB: A more complete version of the Action Plan, including literature cited and an annex containing details of each of the Cetacean species of the South Atlantic Area can be found in UNEP/CMS/COP12/Inf.21. For reasons of economy these have not been translated.